arXiv:1012.1699v1 [math.MG] 8 Dec 2010

Möbius structures and Ptolemy spaces: boundary at infinity of complex hyperbolic spaces

Sergei Buyalo* & Viktor Schroeder†

Abstract

The paper initiates a systematic study of Möbius structures and Ptolemy spaces. We conjecture that every compact Ptolemy space with circles and many space inversions is Möbius equivalent to the boundary at infinity of a rank one symmetric space $\mathbb{K}\,\mathrm{H}^n$ of noncompact type. We prove this conjecture for the class of complex hyperbolic spaces $\mathbb{C}\,\mathrm{H}^n$ as our main result.

1 Introduction

The paper initiates a systematic study of Möbius structures and Ptolemy spaces. A Möbius structure on a set X is a class of Möbius equivalent metrics. If a Möbius structure is fixed then X is called a Möbius space. Ptolemy spaces are Möbius spaces with property that the inversion operation preserves the Möbius structure. A classical example of a Ptolemy space is an extended $\widehat{\mathbb{R}}^n = \mathbb{R}^n \cup \infty = S^n$, $n \geq 0$, where the Möbius structure is generated by an Euclidean metric on $\mathbb{R}^n$, and $\mathbb{R}^n \cup \infty$ is identified with the unit sphere $S^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ via the stereographic projection. For more detail see Section 2.

Our motivation is to find a Möbius characterization of the boundary at infinity of rank one symmetric spaces Y of noncompact type. In the case $Y = \mathrm{H}^n$ this problem is solved in [FS2] for every $n \geq 1$: *every compact Ptolemy space such that through any three points there is a Ptolemy circle is Möbius equivalent to* $\widehat{\mathbb{R}}^n = \partial_\infty \mathrm{H}^{n+1}$. Here a Ptolemy circle is a subspace Möbius equivalent to the Ptolemy space $\widehat{\mathbb{R}} = S^1$.

Given distinct points ω, ω' in a Ptolemy space X, there is a well defined notion of a *metric sphere* S between ω, ω', and a notion of a *space inversion* w.r.t. ω, ω', S, which is a Möbius involution $\varphi_{\omega,\omega',S} : X \to X$, see sect. 4.1.

We consider a Ptolemy space X with the following basic properties.
(E) Existence: there is at least one Ptolemy circle in X.

---

[*] Supported by RFBR Grant 08-01-00079-a and SNF Grant 20-119907/1

[†] Supported by Swiss National Science Foundation Grant 20-119907/1

(I) Inversion: for each distinct ω, $\omega' \in X$ and every metric sphere $S \subset X$ between ω, ω' there is a unique space inversion $\varphi_{\omega,\omega',S} : X \to X$ w.r.t. ω, ω' and S.

Conjecture 1.1. *Let X be a compact Ptolemy space with properties (E) and (I). Then X is Möbius equivalent to the boundary at infinity of a rank one symmetric space $\mathbb{K}\,\mathrm{H}^k$ of noncompact type taken with a canonical Möbius structure.*

Our main result is the proof of the following particular case of Conjecture 1.1, which gives a Möbius characterization of the boundary at infinity $\partial_\infty \mathrm{H}^k$ of a real hyperbolic space H^k as well as of the boundary at infinity $\partial_\infty \mathbb{C}\,\mathrm{H}^k$ of a complex hyperbolic space $\mathbb{C}\,\mathrm{H}^k$.

Theorem 1.2. *Let X be a compact Ptolemy space with properties (E) and (I). Then X is homeomophic to a sphere S^n, $n \geq 1$, and for every $\omega \in X$ there is a fibration π_ω of $X_\omega = X \setminus \omega$ with fibers homeomophic to $\mathbb{R}^p$ for some p, $0 \leq p < n$, such that for ω, ω' the fibrations π_ω, $\pi_{\omega'}$ are transformed to each other by any space inversion $\varphi : X \to X$ with $\varphi(\omega) = \omega'$. So the number p is a Möbius invariant of X. In the case $p = 0$ the space X is Möbius equivalent to $\partial_\infty \mathrm{H}^{n+1} = \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^n$. In the case $p = 1$ the space X is Möbius equivalent to $\partial_\infty \mathbb{C}\,\mathrm{H}^k$ with $n = 2k-1$, $k \geq 2$, taken with a canonical Möbius structure.*

In sections 2 and 3 we give an introduction to Möbius structures and Ptolemy spaces. We emphasize that a Ptolemy space is not just a metric space, and there is no distinguished metric in its Möbius structure. This is a source of a duality phenomenon between Busemann and distance functions which takes place in any Ptolemy space and which cannot be even formulated for an individual metric space, see Section 3.1. The duality plays an important role in our paper.

The proof of Theorem 1.2 consists of two parts. In the first part, which occupies sections 4 – 7, we prove Theorem 4.5. That theorem gives a much more detailed information about Ptolemy spaces discussed in Conjecture 1.1 than it is formulated in Theorem 1.2. The second part of the proof occupies sections 8 – 14 and it is dedicated to a particular case when fibers of fibrations π_ω are homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}$.

Acknowledgements. We are thankful to J.-H. Eschenburg for consulting us on isometry groups acting transitively on spheres. The first author is also grateful to the University of Zürich for hospitality and support.

Contents

1 Introduction **1**

2 Möbius structures and Ptolemy spaces **4**
2.1 Möbius structures 4
2.2 Ptolemy spaces 5
2.3 Circles in Ptolemy spaces 7

3 Properties of Ptolemy spaces **8**
3.1 Duality between Busemann and distance functions 8
3.2 Busemann flat Ptolemy spaces 9

4 Ptolemy spaces with circles and many space inversions **11**
4.1 Space inversions 11
4.2 Möbius automorphisms of X 13
4.3 Busemann parallel lines, pure homotheties and shifts 14
4.4 Enhancing the existence property (E) 17
4.5 Busemann functions on X_ω 18
4.6 Slope of two Ptolemy lines 20
4.7 Tangent lines to a Ptolemy circle 23

5 Fibration $\pi_\omega : X_\omega \to B_\omega$ **24**
5.1 Semi-$\mathbb{K}$-planes 25
5.2 Zigzag curves 26
5.3 Orthogonalization procedure 30
5.4 Properties of the base B_ω 33

6 Extension of Möbius automorphisms of circles **36**
6.1 Distance and arclength parameterizations of a circle 36
6.2 Slope of Ptolemy circles 39
6.3 Extension property 40

7 Topology of the space X and of $\mathbb{K}$-lines **42**
7.1 Groups of shifts 42
7.2 Non-integrability of the canonical distribution 44

8 Semi-$\mathbb{C}$-planes **45**
8.1 Foliations of semi-$\mathbb{C}$-planes 46
8.2 Flips of semi-$\mathbb{C}$-planes and self-duality 47
8.3 Both foliations of semi-$\mathbb{C}$-planes are equidistant 50

9 Isometry group of X_ω **51**
9.1 Isometries of $\mathbb{C}$-lines 52
9.2 Existence of an unclosed parallelogram 53
9.3 The maximal unipotent subgroup 55

10 Area law of lifting and metrics of $\mathbb{C}$-lines 56
10.1 Lifting of polygons 56
10.2 Area law of lifting 57
10.3 Metrics on $\mathbb{C}$-lines 59

11 Canonical complex structure on the base 59
11.1 Functional ξ_u 59
11.2 Complex structure on the base 61

12 Coordinates in X_ω 63
12.1 Multiplication law in coordinates 63
12.2 The distance function D 64
12.3 Existence of a vertical flip 65

13 Ptolemy circles in X_ω 66
13.1 Ptolemy circles meeting a $\mathbb{C}$-line twice 66
13.2 Computing the distance function D 69
13.3 The lifting constant 70

14 The model space $\partial_\infty \mathbb{K}\mathrm{H}^k$ 72
14.1 The Möbius structure of $\partial_\infty \mathbb{K}\mathrm{H}^k$ 73
14.2 Isomorphism with the model space $\partial_\infty \mathbb{C}\mathrm{H}^k$ 74

2 Möbius structures and Ptolemy spaces

In this section we discuss basic notions of Möbius geometry.

2.1 Möbius structures

A quadruple $Q = (x, y, z, u)$ of points in a set X is said to be *admissible* if no entry occurs three or four times in Q. Two metrics d, d' on X are *Möbius equivalent* if for any admissible quadruple $Q = (x, y, z, u) \subset X$ the respective *cross-ratio triples* coincide, $\mathrm{crt}_d(Q) = \mathrm{crt}_{d'}(Q)$, where

$$\mathrm{crt}_d(Q) = (d(x,y)d(z,u) : d(x,z)d(y,u) : d(x,u)d(y,z)) \in \mathbb{R}P^2.$$

We actually consider *extended* metrics on X for which existence of an *infinitely remote* point $\omega \in X$ is allowed, that is, $d(x,\omega) = \infty$ for all $x \in X$, $x \neq \omega$. We always assume that such a point is unique if exists, and that $d(\omega,\omega) = 0$. We use notation $X_\omega := X \setminus \omega$ and the standard conventions for the calculation with $\omega = \infty$. If ∞ occurs once in Q, say $u = \infty$, then $\mathrm{crt}_d(x, y, z, \infty) = (d(x,y) : d(x,z) : d(y,z))$. If ∞ occurs twice, say $z = u = \infty$, then $\mathrm{crt}_d(x, y, \infty, \infty) = (0 : 1 : 1)$.

A *Möbius structure* on a set X is a class $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}(X)$ of metrics on X which are pairwise Möbius equivalent.

The topology considered on (X, d) is the topology with the basis consisting of all open distance balls $B_r(x)$ around points in $x \in X_\omega$ and the complements $X \setminus D$ of all closed distance balls $D = \overline{B}_r(x)$. Möbius equivalent metrics define the same topology on X. When a Möbius structure $\mathcal{M}$ on X is fixed, we say that $(X, \mathcal{M})$ or simply X is a *Möbius space.*

A map $f : X \to X'$ between two Möbius spaces is called *Möbius*, if f is injective and for all admissible quadruples $Q \subset X$

$$\operatorname{crt}(f(Q)) = \operatorname{crt}(Q),$$

where the cross-ratio triples are taken with respect to some (and hence any) metric of the Möbius structures of X, X'. Möbius maps are continuous. If a Möbius map $f : X \to X'$ is bijective, then f^{-1} is Möbius, f is homeomorphism, and the Möbius spaces X, X' are said to be *Möbius equivalent.*

In general different metrics in a Möbius structure $\mathcal{M}$ can look very different. However if two metrics have the same infinitely remote point, then they are homothetic. Since this result is crucial for our considerations, we state it as a lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a Möbius structure on a set X, and let d, $d' \in \mathcal{M}$ have the same infinitely remote point $\omega \in X$. Then there exists $\lambda > 0$, such that $d'(x, y) = \lambda d(x, y)$ for all x, $y \in X$.*

Proof. Since otherwise the result is trivial, we can assume that there are distinct points x, $y \in X_\omega$. Take $\lambda > 0$ such that $d'(x, y) = \lambda d(x, y)$. If $z \in X_\omega$, then $\operatorname{crt}_d(x, y, z, \omega) = \operatorname{crt}_{d'}(x, y, z, \omega)$, hence $(d'(x, y) : d'(x, z) : d'(y, z)) = (d(x, y) : d(x, z) : d(y, z))$. Since $d'(x, y) = \lambda d(x, y)$ we therefore obtain $d'(x, z) = \lambda d(x, z)$ and $d'(y, z) = \lambda d(y, x)$. $\square$

In what follows we always consider $X_\omega = X \setminus \omega$ as a metric space with a metric from the Möbius structure for which the point ω is infinitely remote.

A classical example of a Möbius space is the extended $\widehat{\mathbb{R}}^n = \mathbb{R}^n \cup \infty = S^n$, $n \geq 1$, where the Möbius structure is generated by some extended Euclidean metric on $\widehat{\mathbb{R}}^n$, and $\mathbb{R}^n \cup \infty$ is identified with the unit sphere $S^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ via the stereographic projection. Note that Euclidean metrics which are not homothetic to each other generate different Möbius structures by the lemma above, which however are Möbius equivalent.

2.2 Ptolemy spaces

A Möbius space X is called a *Ptolemy space*, if it satisfies the Ptolemy property, that is, for all admissible quadruples $Q \subset X$ the entries of the respective cross-ratio triple $\operatorname{crt}(Q) \in \mathbb{R}P^2$ satisfies the triangle inequality. We can reformulate this property as follows.

Let Σ be the subset of points $(a : b : c) \in \mathbb{R}P^2$, where all entries a, b, c are nonnegative or all entries are nonpositive. Note that Σ can be identified with the standard 2-simplex, $\{(a,b,c) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : a,b,c \geq 0,\ a+b+c=1\}$. Let $\Delta \subset \Sigma$ be the set of points $(a:b:c) \in \Sigma$ such that the entries a, b, c satisfy the triangle inequality. This is obviously well defined. If we identify $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}P^2$ with the standard 2-simplex, i.e. the convex hull of the unit vectors e_1, e_2, e_3, then Δ is the convex subset spanned by $(0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$, $(\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2})$ and $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0)$.

The importance of the Ptolemy property comes from the following fact. Given a metric $d \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ possibly with infinitely remote point $\omega \in X$ and a point $z \in X_\omega$, the *metric inversion*, or m-inversion for brevity, of d of radius $r > 0$ with respect to z is a function $d_z(x,y) = \frac{r^2 d(x,y)}{d(z,x)d(z,y)}$ for all x, $y \in X$ distinct from z, $d_z(x,z) = \infty$ for all $x \in X \setminus \{z\}$ and $d_z(z,z) = 0$. Using the standard convention we also have $d_z(x,\omega) = \frac{r^2}{d(x,z)}$. A direct computation shows that d_z is Möbius equivalent to d.

Remark 2.2. When saying about an m-inversion of a metric without specifying its radius, we mean that the radius is 1.

In general d_z is not a metric because the triangle inequality may not be satisfied. However, we have

Proposition 2.3. *A Möbius structure $\mathcal{M}$ on a set X is Ptolemy if and only if for all $z \in X$ there exists a metric $d_z \in \mathcal{M}$ with infinitely remote point z.*

Proof. Assume that $\mathcal{M}$ is Ptolemy and that $z \in X$. Choose some $d \in \mathcal{M}$. If z is infinitely remote with respect to d then d is our desired metric. If not we define d_z as the m-inversion (of radius $r = 1$) of d with respect to z. Since for x, y, $u \in X \setminus z$

$$(d_z(x,y) : d_z(y,u) : d_z(x,u)) = \operatorname{crt}_{d_z}(x,y,z,u) = \operatorname{crt}_d(x,y,z,u) \in \Delta$$

we see that d_z satisfies the triangle inequality and hence $d_z \in \mathcal{M}$.

If on the other hand for every $z \in X$ there is a metric $d_z \in \mathcal{M}$ with infinitely remote point z, then for all x, y, $u \in X \setminus z$ and all $d \in \mathcal{M}$

$$\operatorname{crt}_d(x,y,z,u) = \operatorname{crt}_{d_z}(x,y,z,u) = (d_z(x,y) : d_z(y,u) : d_z(x,u)) \in \Delta,$$

which implies the Ptolemy property. $\square$

The classical example of Ptolemy space is $\widehat{\mathbb{R}}^n$ with a standard Möbius structure as it follows from the proposition above. Here is the list some known results on metric spaces with Ptolemy property. A real normed vector space, which is ptolemaic, is an inner product space (Schoenberg, 1952, [Sch]); a Riemannian locally ptolemaic space is nonpositively curved (Kay, 1963, [Kay]); all Bourdon and Hamenstädt metrics on $\partial_\infty Y$, where Y is CAT(-1), generate a Ptolemy space (Foertsch-Schroeder, 2006, [FS1]); a geodesic metric space is CAT(0) if and only if it is ptolemaic and Busemann convex, a ptolemaic proper geodesic metric space is uniquely geodesic

(Foertsch-Lytchak-Schroeder, 2007, [FLS]); any Hadamard space ptolemaic, a complete Riemannian manifold is ptolemaic if and only if it is a Hadamard manifold, a Finsler ptolemaic manifold is Riemannian (Buckley-Falk-Wraith, 2009, [BFW]). These results allow to suggest that the Ptolemy property is a sort of a Möbius invariant nonpositive curvature condition.

2.3 Circles in Ptolemy spaces

A Ptolemy circle in a Ptolemy space X is a subset $\sigma \subset X$ homeomorphic to S^1 such that for every quadruple $(x, y, z, u) \in \sigma$ of distinct points the equality

$$d(x,z)d(y,u) = d(x,y)d(z,u) + d(x,u)d(y,z) \tag{1}$$

holds for some and hence for any metric d of the Möbius structure , where it is supposed that the pair (x, z) separates the pair (y, u), i.e. y and u are in different components of $\sigma \setminus \{x, z\}$. Recall the classical Ptolemy theorem that four points x, y, z, u of the Euclidean plane lie on a circle (in this order) if and only if their distances satisfy the Ptolemy equality (1). One can reformulate this via the cross ratio triple. A subset σ homeomorphic to S^1 is a Ptolemy circle, if and only if for all admissible quadruples (x, y, z, u) of points in σ we have $\operatorname{crt}(x, y, z, u) \in \partial\Delta$, where the set Δ is defined in sect. 2.2.

Let σ be a Ptolemy circle passing through the infinitely remote point ω for some metric $d \in \mathcal{M}$ and let $\sigma_\omega = \sigma \setminus \omega$. Then $\operatorname{crt}(x, y, z, \omega) \in \partial\Delta$ says that for x, y, $z \in \sigma_\omega$ (in this order) $d(x, y) + d(y, z) = d(x, z)$, i.e. it implies that σ_ω is a geodesic, actually a complete geodesic isometric to $\mathbb{R}$.

We recall the following facts from [FS2]. Let σ be a Ptolemy circle in a Ptolemy space and let x_1, x_2, $x_3 \in \sigma$ be distinct points, then the map $\sigma \to \partial\Delta$, $t \mapsto \operatorname{crt}(x_1, x_2, x_3, t)$ is a homeomorphism. The inverse of this map gives a canonical parametrization of σ (for given points x_1, x_2, $x_3 \in \sigma$). By composing two of these canonical parameterizations we have:

Proposition 2.4. *Let σ and σ' be Ptolemy circles. Let x_1, x_2, x_3 and x'_1, x'_2, x'_3 be distinct points on σ respectively on σ'. Then there exists a unique Möbius homeomorphism $\varphi : \sigma \to \sigma'$ with $\varphi(x_i) = x'_i$.* □

In particular all Ptolemy circles are Möbius equivalent. The standard metric models of a circle are $(\widehat{\mathbb{R}}, d)$, where d is the standard Euclidean metric, or (S^1, d_c), where d_c is the chordal metric on S^1, i.e. the metric induced by the standard embedding $S^1 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ as a unit circle. These two standard realizations of a circle are Möbius equivalent via the stereographic projection. Note that by Lemma 2.1 there is up to homothety only one metric on a circle with a infinitely remote point, while there are plenty of bounded metrics (for a description of all Ptolemy metrics on S^1 see [FS2]).

3 Properties of Ptolemy spaces

In this section we discuss various properties of Ptolemy spaces which include duality between Busemann and distance functions, and Busemann flat Ptolemy spaces.

3.1 Duality between Busemann and distance functions

Let X be a Ptolemy space, d a metric of the Möbius structure with infinitely remote point ω, $X_\omega = X \setminus \omega$. Recall that every Ptolemy circle $\sigma \subset X$ that passes through ω is isometric w.r.t. d to a geodesic line. Such a line $l = \sigma_\omega$ is called a *Ptolemy* line. We fix $\omega' \in l$, and let d' be the m-inversion of d w.r.t. ω'. Then d' is a metric of the Möbius structure with infinitely remote point ω'. In particular, $l' = \sigma_{\omega'}$ is a Ptolemy line in $X_{\omega'}$. One easily checks that d is the m-inversion of d' w.r.t. ω, that is, the inversion operation (of radius 1) is involutive.

With every oriented Ptolemy line $l \subset X_\omega$ and every point $\omega' \in l$ we associate a function $b : X_\omega \to \mathbb{R}$, called a *Busemann function* of l, as follows. Given $x \in X_\omega$, the difference $|xy| - |\omega' y|$ is nonincreasing by triangle inequality as $y \in l$ goes to infinity according the orientation of l, $y > \omega'$. Thus the limit $b(x) = \lim_{l \ni y \to \infty}(|xy| - |\omega' y|)$ exists. Note that $b(\omega') = 0$ and $b(x) = -|\omega' x|$ for all $l \ni x > \omega'$.

For any Ptolemy space X there is a remarkable duality between Busemann and distance functions which is described as follows. Let $c : \mathbb{R} \to X_{\omega'}$ be a unit speed parameterization of l' with $c(0) = \omega$, $b^\pm : X_\omega \to \mathbb{R}$ the *opposite* Busemann functions of l, that is, associated with opposite ends of l, which are normalized by $b^\pm(\omega') = 0$ and $b^+ \circ c(t) < 0$ for $t > 0$, $b^- \circ c(t) < 0$ for $t < 0$. Since $d(x, \omega') \cdot d'(x, \omega) = 1$ for every $x \in X \setminus \{\omega, \omega'\}$, we have $b^\pm \circ c(t) = \mp 1/t$ for all $t \neq 0$.

Proposition 3.1. *For all $x \in X \setminus \{\omega, \omega'\}$ we have*

$$b^\pm(x) = \frac{d^\pm}{dt} \ln d'(x, c(t))|_{t=0}, \tag{2}$$

where $\frac{d^\pm}{dt}$ is the right/−left derivative.

Proof. We first note that the function $t \mapsto d'(x, c(t))$ is convex by the Ptolemy condition, and thus it has the right and the left derivatives at every point. Hence, the right hand side of Equation (2) is well defined. By definition, $d(x, y) = \frac{d'(x,y)}{d'(\omega,x) d'(\omega,y)}$ and $d(x, \omega') = \frac{1}{d'(x,\omega)}$ for all x, $y \in X_\omega$. Now, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, c(t)) - d(\omega', c(t)) &= \frac{d'(x, c(t))}{d'(\omega, x) d'(\omega, c(t))} - \frac{1}{d'(\omega, c(t))} \\ &= \frac{1}{|t| d'(x, c(0))} \left(d'(x, c(t)) - d'(x, c(0)) \right) \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \neq 0$, because $d'(x,\omega) = d'(x,c(0))$ and $d'(\omega,c(t)) = |t|$. Since $b^{\pm}(x) = \lim_{t\to\pm 0}(d(x,c(t)) - d(\omega',c(t)))$, we obtain

$$b^{\pm}(x) = \frac{d^{\pm}}{dt}\ln d'(x,c(t))|_{t=0}.$$

$\square$

Given a Ptolemy circle $\sigma \in X$ and distinct points ω, $\omega' \in \sigma$, we denote with $D^{\omega'}_{\sigma,\omega}$ the subset in $X_{\omega'}$ which consists of all x such that ω is a closest to x point in the geodesic line $\sigma_{\omega'}$ (w.r.t. the metric of $X_{\omega'}$).

Lemma 3.2. *Let X be a Ptolemy space. Then for every Ptolemy circle $\sigma \subset X$ and each pair of distinct points ω, $\omega' \in \sigma$ we have*

$$D^{\omega'}_{\sigma,\omega} \cup \omega' = B^{\omega}_{\sigma,\omega'} \cup \omega, \tag{3}$$

where $B^{\omega}_{\sigma,\omega'} = \{x \in X_\omega : b^+(x) \geq 0$ and $b^-(x) \geq 0\}$, $b^{\pm} : X_\omega \to \mathbb{R}$ are the opposite Busemann functions of the Ptolemy line $\sigma_\omega \subset X_\omega$ with $b^{\pm}(\omega') = 0$.

Proof. Denote with d' the metric of $X_{\omega'}$ and let $c : \mathbb{R} \to X_{\omega'}$ be the unit speed parameterization of the Ptolemy line $\sigma_{\omega'} \subset X_{\omega'}$ such that $c(0) = \omega$ and $b^{\pm} \circ c(t) = \mp 1/t$, see the paragraph preceding Proposition 3.1. For every $x \in D^{\omega'}_{\sigma,\omega}$ we have $\frac{d^+}{dt}d'(x,c(t))_{t=0} \geq 0$ for the right derivative, and $-\frac{d^-}{dt}d'(x,c(t))_{t=0} \leq 0$ for left derivative because $t = 0$ is a minimum point of the convex function $t \mapsto d'(x,c(t))$. Equation (2) implies that $x \in B^{\omega}_{\sigma,\omega'}$.

Assume that $b^+(x) \geq 0$ and $b^-(x) \geq 0$ for some $x \in X \setminus \{\omega,\omega'\}$. Equation (2) implies that the right derivative $\frac{d^+}{dt}d'(x,c(t))_{t=0} \geq 0$ and the left derivative $-\frac{d^-}{dt}d'(x,c(t))_{t=0} \leq 0$. Thus $t = 0$ is a minimum point of the convex function $t \mapsto d'(x,c(t))$ and hence $x \in D^{\omega'}_{\sigma,\omega}$. $\square$

3.2 Busemann flat Ptolemy spaces

A Ptolemy space X is said to be *(Busemann) flat* if for every Ptolemy circle $\sigma \subset X$ and every point $\omega \in \sigma$, we have

$$b^+ + b^- \equiv \text{const} \tag{4}$$

for opposite Busemann functions $b^{\pm} : X_\omega \to \mathbb{R}$ associated with Ptolemy line σ_ω. This property is equivalent to that any horospheres of b^+, b^- coincide whenever they have a common point. Thus the horosphere $H^{\omega}_{\sigma,\omega'} \subset X_\omega$ of σ_ω through $\omega' \in \sigma_\omega$ is well defined in a flat Ptolemy space.

Proposition 3.3. *A Ptolemy space X is flat if and only for every $\omega \in X$ and every $x \in X_\omega$ the distance function $d(x,\cdot)$ is C^1-smooth along any Ptolemy line $l \subset X_\omega$, $l \not\ni x$.*

Proof. Assume that distance functions are C^1-smooth along Ptolemy lines. We fix $\omega \in X$, a Ptolemy line $l \subset X_\omega$, and let $b^\pm$ be opposite Busemann functions of l. We suppose W.L.G. that $b^\pm(\omega') = 0$ for some point $\omega' \in l$. Then $b^+ + b^- = 0$ along l. Equation (2) implies that in fact $b^+(x) + b^-(x) = 0$ for every $x \in X_\omega$. Thus X is flat.

Conversely, assume that X is flat. Given $\omega' \in X$, a Ptolemy line $l' \in X_{\omega'}$ and $x \in X_{\omega'} \setminus l'$, we show that the distance function $d'(x, \cdot)$ in $X_{\omega'}$ is C^1-smooth along l' at every point $\omega \in l'$.

Let $c : \mathbb{R} \to X_{\omega'}$ by a unit speed parameterization of l' with $c(0) = \omega$, $b^\pm : X_\omega \to \mathbb{R}$ the opposite Busemann function associated with the Ptolemy line $l = (l' \cup \omega') \setminus \omega \subset X_\omega$ such that $b^\pm(\omega') = 0$, $b^+ \circ c(t) < 0$ for all $t > 0$. Then $b^+ + b^- \equiv 0$ by the assumption, and by Proposition 3.1 we have $\frac{d^+}{dt} d'(x, c(t))|_{t=0} = -\frac{d^-}{dt} d'(x, c(t))|_{t=0}$, where $\frac{d^+}{dt}$ is the right derivative and $-\frac{d^-}{dt}$ is the left derivative. Hence $d'(x, \cdot)$ is C^1-smooth. □

By Proposition 3.3, the duality equation (2) in a flat Ptolemy space X takes the following form

$$b^\pm(x) = \pm \frac{d}{dt} \ln d'(x, c(t))|_{t=0}. \tag{5}$$

Example 3.4. The Ptolemy space $\widehat{\mathrm{H}}^n$, $n \geq 2$, generated by the real hyperbolic space H^n, is not flat because the equality $b^+ + b^- \equiv \text{const}$ is violated in H^n. (Recall that H^n possesses the Ptolemy property and thus it generates a Ptolemy space by taking all metrics on $\widehat{\mathrm{H}}^n$ which are Möbius equivalent to the metric of H^n.) Note that the distance function $d(x, \cdot) : \mathrm{H}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is smooth for every $x \in \mathrm{H}^n$ along any geodesic line l, $x \notin l \subset \mathrm{H}^n$. This does not contradict Proposition 3.3 because the m-inversion of d with respect to any point $x \in \mathrm{H}^n$ has a singularity at the infinity point of $\widehat{\mathrm{H}}^n$.

In flat Ptolemy spaces, the duality between distance and Busemann functions is as follows.

Lemma 3.5. *Let X be a flat Ptolemy space, $\sigma \subset X$ a Ptolemy circle, and ω, $\omega' \subset \sigma$ distinct points. Let $H^\omega_{\sigma,\omega'} \subset X_\omega$ be the horosphere through ω' of the Ptolemy line $\sigma_\omega \subset X_\omega$, $D^{\omega'}_{\sigma,\omega} \subset X_{\omega'}$ the set of all $x \in X_{\omega'}$ such that ω is the closest to x point in the Ptolemy line $\sigma_{\omega'}$. Then*

$$H^\omega_{\sigma,\omega'} \cup \omega = D^{\omega'}_{\sigma,\omega} \cup \omega'. \tag{6}$$

Proof. In a flat Ptolemy space we have $H^\omega_{\sigma,\omega'} = B^\omega_{\sigma,\omega'}$ because level sets of opposite Busemann functions associated with a Ptolemy line coincide when they have a common point. On the other hand, by duality, Lemma 3.2, we have $B^\omega_{\sigma,\omega'} \cup \omega = D^{\omega'}_{\sigma,\omega} \cup \omega'$. □

4 Ptolemy spaces with circles and many space inversions

We begin this section with discussion of what is a space inversion of an arbitrary Ptolemy space.

4.1 Space inversions

A Möbius automorphism $\varphi : X \to X$ of a Ptolemy space induces a map $\varphi^* : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$, $(\varphi^* d)(x,y) = d(\varphi(x), \varphi(y))$ for every metric $d \in \mathcal{M}$ and each x, $y \in X$, where $\mathcal{M}$ is the Möbius structure of X. Note that a metric inversion of a bounded metric cannot be induced by any Möbius automorphism $X \to X$, because a metric inversion w.r.t. $\omega \in X$ has ω as the infinitely remote point.

Given distinct ω, $\omega' \in X$, we say that a subset $S \subset X$ is a *metric sphere between* ω, ω', if

$$S = \{x \in X :\, d(x,\omega) = r\} = S_r^d(\omega)$$

for some metric $d \in \mathcal{M}$ with infinitely remote point ω' and some $r > 0$. Recall that any two such metrics d, $d' \in \mathcal{M}$ are proportional to each other, $d' = \lambda d$ for some $\lambda > 0$, see Lemma 2.1. Then $S_r^d(\omega) = S_{\lambda r}^{d'}(\omega)$. Moreover, this notion is symmetric w.r.t. ω, ω', because any metric $d' \in \mathcal{M}$ with infinitely remote point ω is proportional to the m-inversion of d w.r.t. ω, and we can assume that d' is the m-inversion itself. Then $S = \{x \in X : d'(x,\omega') = 1/r\}$.

We define a *space inversion*, or s-inversion for brevity, w.r.t. distinct ω, $\omega' \in X$ and a metric sphere $S \subset X$ between ω, ω' as a Möbius automorphism $\varphi = \varphi_{\omega,\omega',S} : X \to X$ such that

(1) φ is an involution, $\varphi^2 = \mathrm{id}$, without fixed points;

(2) $\varphi(\omega) = \omega'$ (and thus $\varphi(\omega') = \omega$);

(3) φ preserves S, $\varphi(S) = S$;

(4) $\varphi(\sigma) = \sigma$ for any Ptolemy circle $\sigma \subset X$ through ω, ω'.

Remark 4.1. Motivation of this definition comes from the fact that in the case $X = \partial_\infty M$, where M is a symmetric rank one space of noncompact type, any central symmetry $f : M \to M$ with a center $o \in M$, $f(o) = o$, induces a space inversion $\partial_\infty f = \varphi_{\omega,\omega',S} : X \to X$, where a geodesic line $l = \omega\omega' \subset Y$ with the end points ω, ω' passes through o, and $S \subset X$ is a metric sphere between ω, ω', see Proposition 14.2.

Remark 4.2. In general, there is no reason that an s-inversion $\varphi = \varphi_{\omega,\omega',S}$ is uniquely determined by its data ω, ω', S. However, if φ' is another s-inversion with the same data, then it coincides with φ along any Ptolemy circle through ω, ω' because any Möbius automorphism of a Ptolemy circle is uniquely determined by values at three distinct points, see Proposition 2.4.

Lemma 4.3. *Given distinct ω, $\omega' \in X$ and a metric sphere $S \subset X$ between ω, ω', for any metric $d \in \mathcal{M}$ with infinitely remote point ω', an s-inversion $\varphi = \varphi_{\omega,\omega',S}$ induces the m-inversion of d w.r.t. ω of radius $r = r(d) > 0$, $(\varphi^*d)(x,y) = \frac{r^2 d(x,y)}{d(x,\omega)d(y,\omega)}$, where r is determined by $S = S_r^d(\omega)$, and x, $y \in X$ are not equal to ω simultaneously. The similar property holds true for any metric $d' \in \mathcal{M}$ with the infinitely remote point ω.*

Proof. Since $\varphi(\omega) = \omega'$, the point ω is infinitely remote for the metric φ^*d. Thus $\varphi^*d = \lambda d'$ for some $\lambda > 0$, where d' is the m-inversion of d w.r.t. ω,

$$(\varphi^*d)(x,y) = \frac{\lambda d(x,y)}{d(x,\omega)d(y,\omega)}$$

for each x, $y \in X$ which are not equal to ω simultaneously. We compute λ by taking $x \in S$, $y = \varphi(x)$. Then $\varphi(y) = x$ by (1), and since $(\varphi^*d)(x,y) = d(x,y)$, $d(x,\omega) = r = d(y,\omega)$, we have $\lambda = r^2$. ☐

Contrary to metric inversions which always exist, in general there is no reason for a space inversion to exist. If however an s-inversion $\varphi = \varphi_{\omega,\omega',S} : X \to X$ exists, and $S = S_r^d(\omega)$ for a metric $d \in \mathcal{M}$ with infinitely remote point ω', then $S = S_r^{\varphi^*d}(\omega')$. This follows from Lemma 4.3. Moreover, Lemma 4.3 implies that $\varphi^*(\varphi^*d) = d$ for any metric $d \in \mathcal{M}$ with infinitely remote point ω or ω'. Thus the property (1) agrees with Lemma 4.3, and actually (1) refines the property $\varphi^*(\varphi^*d) = d$.

Lemma 4.4. *For any metric sphere $S' \subset X$ between ω, ω', we have $\varphi(S')$ is a metric sphere between ω, ω' for every s-inversion $\varphi = \varphi_{\omega,\omega',S} : X \to X$. More precisely, if $S = S_r^d(\omega)$, $S' = S_{r'}^d(\omega)$, then $\varphi(S') = S_{r^2/r'}^d(\omega)$.*

Proof. For every $x \in S'$, by Lemma 4.3 we have

$$d(\varphi(x),\omega) = (\varphi^*d)(x,\omega') = \frac{r^2}{d(x,\omega)} = r^2/r',$$

hence the claim. ☐

In support of Conjecture 1.1 we prove the following theorem which recovers some basic features of $\partial_\infty \mathbb{K}\,\mathrm{H}^n$. To formulate it, we introduce another important property which is useful for many things.
(E_2) Extension: any Möbius map between any Ptolemy circles in X extends to a Möbius automorphism of X.

Theorem 4.5. *Let X be a compact Ptolemy space with properties (E) and (I) (see sect. 1). Then X is homeomorphic to a sphere S^n for some $n \geq 1$, possesses the extension property (E_2), and for every $\omega \in X$ there is a 1-Lipschitz submetry $\pi_\omega : X_\omega \to B_\omega$ with the base B_ω isometric to an Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^k$, $0 < k \leq n$, such that any Möbius automorphism $\varphi : X \to X$ with $\varphi(\omega) = \omega'$ induces a homothety $\overline{\varphi} : B_\omega \to B_{\omega'}$ with $\pi_{\omega'} \circ \varphi = \overline{\varphi} \circ \pi_\omega$.*

The fibers of π_ω also called $\mathbb{K}$-lines are homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^p$ for some $p \geq 0$, $k + p = n$, and for them the following properties hold

- ($1_{\mathbb{K}}$) *given a $\mathbb{K}$-line $F \subset X_\omega$ and $x \in X \setminus F$, there is a unique Ptolemy line $l \subset X_\omega$ through x that intersects F;*
- ($2_{\mathbb{K}}$) *given distinct $\mathbb{K}$-lines F, $F' \subset X_\omega$ and two Ptolemy line that intersect both F, F', if any other $\mathbb{K}$-line $F'' \subset X_\omega$ intersects one of the Ptolemy lines, then it necessarily intersects the other.*

Furthermore, if $k = 1$, then $X = \widehat{\mathbb{R}}$ is a Ptolemy circle.

Remark 4.6. In the case $p = 0$ the space X from Theorem 4.5 is Möbius equivalent to $\widehat{\mathbb{R}}^n = \partial_\infty \mathrm{H}^{n+1}$ with $n = \dim X$. This proves Conjecture 1.1 for real hyperbolic spaces.

Remark 4.7. Recall that a map $f : X \to Y$ between metric spaces is called a *submetry* if for every ball $B_r(x) \subset X$ of radius $r > 0$ centered at x its image $f(B_r(x))$ coincides with the ball $B_r(f(x)) \subset Y$.

In what follows, we always consider the weak topology on the group $\operatorname{Aut} X$ of Möbius automorphisms of X, i.e. a sequence $\varphi_i \in \operatorname{Aut} X$ converges to $\varphi \in \operatorname{Aut} X$, $\varphi_i \to \varphi$, if and only if $\varphi_i(x) \to \varphi(x)$ for every $x \in X$.

4.2 Möbius automorphisms of X

In this section we establish some important additional properties of a Ptolemy space X which follow from (E) and (I).

Given two distinct points ω, $\omega' \in X$, we denote with $C_{\omega,\omega'}$ the set of all the Ptolemy circles $\sigma \subset X$ through ω, ω', and with $\Gamma_{\omega,\omega'}$ the group of Möbius automorphisms $\varphi : X \to X$ such that $\varphi(\omega) = \omega$, $\varphi(\omega') = \omega'$, $\varphi(\sigma) = \sigma$ and φ preserves an orientation of σ for every $\sigma \in C_{\omega,\omega'}$.

Proposition 4.8. *Any Ptolemy space X with properties (E) and (I) possesses the following property*
(H) Homothety: for each distinct ω, $\omega' \in X$ the group $\Gamma_{\omega,\omega'}$ acts transitively on every arc of $\sigma \setminus \{\omega, \omega'\}$ for every circle $\sigma \in C_{\omega,\omega'}$.

Remark 4.9. If one of the points ω, ω' is infinitely remote for a metric d of the Möbius structure, then every $\gamma \in \Gamma_{\omega,\omega'}$ is a homothety w.r.t. d. This is why we use (H) for the notation of the property above.

Proof. We assume that ω' is infinitely remote for a metric $d \in \mathcal{M}$. Then for any $\sigma \in C_{\omega,\omega'}$ the curve $\sigma_{\omega'} = \sigma \setminus \omega'$ is a Ptolemy line w.r.t. d, and any $\gamma \in \Gamma_{\omega,\omega'}$ acts on $\sigma_{\omega'}$ as a homothety preserving an orientation.

Composing s-inversions $\varphi = \varphi_{\omega,\omega',S}$, $\varphi' = \varphi_{\omega,\omega',S'}$ of X, where S, $S' \subset X$ are spheres between ω, ω', we obtain a Möbius automorphism $\gamma = \varphi' \circ \varphi$ with properties $\gamma(\omega) = \omega$, $\gamma(\omega') = \omega'$ and $\gamma(\sigma) = \sigma$ for any Ptolemy circle $\sigma \in C_{\omega,\omega'}$. Having no fixed point, both φ, φ' preserve orientations of σ. Hence, γ preserves its orientations, thus γ acts on every arc of $\sigma \setminus \{\omega, \omega'\}$ as a homothety. That is, $\gamma \in \Gamma_{\omega,\omega'}$.

Let r, $r' > 0$ be the radii of S, S' respectively w.r.t. the metric d, $S = S^d_r(\omega)$, $S' = S^d_{r'}(\omega)$. Then for every $x \in X \setminus \{\omega, \omega'\}$ we have $d(\varphi(x), \omega) = \frac{r^2}{d(x,\omega)}$ and $d(\gamma(x), \omega) = d(\varphi' \circ \varphi(x), \varphi'(\omega')) = \frac{r'^2}{d(\varphi(x),\omega)} = (r'/r)^2 d(x, \omega)$. Therefore, the dilatation coefficient of γ equals $\lambda := (r'/r)^2$, and it can be chosen arbitrarily by changing S, S' appropriately. □

Corollary 4.10. *Any two distinct Ptolemy circles in a Ptolemy space with properties (E) and (I) have in common at most two points.*

Proof. Assume ω, ω', $x \in \sigma \cap \sigma'$ are distinct common points of Ptolemy circles σ, $\sigma' \subset X$. We have $\gamma(x) \in \sigma \cap \sigma'$ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma_{\omega,\omega'}$. Then by property (H), the arcs of σ and σ' between ω, ω' which contain x coincide. Taking ω'' inside of this common arc and applying the same argument to ω', ω'', $x = \omega$, we obtain $\sigma = \sigma'$. □

4.3 Busemann parallel lines, pure homotheties and shifts

In this section we assume that the Ptolemy space X possesses the properties (E) an (I). A some point, we also assume that X is compact.

We say that Ptolemy lines l, $l' \subset X_\omega$ are *Busemann parallel* if l, l' share Busemann functions, that is, any Busemann function associated with l is also a Busemann function associated with l' and vice versa.

Lemma 4.11. *Let l, $l' \subset X_\omega$ be Ptolemy lines with a common point, $o \in l \cap l'$, $b : X_\omega \to \mathbb{R}$ a Busemann function of l with $b(o) = 0$. Assume $b \circ c(t) = -t = b \circ c'(t)$ for all $t \geq 0$ and for appropriate unit speed parameterizations c, $c' : \mathbb{R} \to X_\omega$ of l, l' respectively with $c(0) = o = c'(0)$. Then $l = l'$. In particular, Busemann parallel Ptolemy lines coincide if they have a common point.*

Proof. We show that the concatenation of $c|(-\infty, 0]$ with $c'|[0, \infty)$ is also a Ptolemy line. Then $l = l'$ by Corollary 4.10. It suffices to show that for s, $t \geq 0$ we have $|c(-s)c'(t)| = t + s$. By triangle inequality we have $|c(-s)c'(t)| \leq t + s$. Letting $t_i \to \infty$ we have $|c'(t)c(t_i)| - t_i \to b \circ c'(t) = -t$. Thus by triangle inequality again, we have

$$|c(-s)c'(t)| \geq |c(-s)c(t_i)| - |c'(t)c(t_i)| = (t_i + s) - |c'(t)c(t_i)| \to t + s.$$

Thus $|c(-s)c'(t)| = t + s$. $\square$

Next, we show that a sublinear divergence of Ptolemy lines is equivalent for them to be Busemann parallel.

Lemma 4.12. *If two Ptolemy lines l, $l' \subset X_\omega$ are Busemann parallel, then they diverge at most sublinearly, that is $|c(t)c'(t)|/|t| \to 0$ as $|t| \to \infty$ for appropriate unit speed parameterizations c, c' of l, l'.*

Conversely, if $|c(t_i)c'(t_i)|/|t_i| \to 0$ for some sequences $t_i \to \pm\infty$, then the lines l, l' are Busemann parallel.

Proof. Let c, $c' : \mathbb{R} \to X_\omega$ be unit speed parameterizations of Busemann parallel lines l, $l' \subset X_\omega$ respectively, and a common Busemann function $b : X_\omega \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $b \circ c(t) = b \circ c'(t) = -t$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $\mu(t) := |c(t)c'(t)|$. We claim that $\mu(t)/|t| \to 0$ for $t \to \pm\infty$. Assume to the contrary, that W.L.G. there exists a sequence $t_i \to \infty$ with $\mu(t_i)/t_i \geq a > 0$.

By the homothety property (H) there exists a homothety φ_i of X_ω with factor $1/t_i$ such that $\varphi_i \circ c(s) = c(s/t_i)$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Note that $c_i'(s) = \varphi_i \circ c'(t_i s)$ is a unit speed parameterization of the Ptolemy line $\varphi_i(l')$. For fixed i we calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
b \circ c_i'(t)) &= \lim_{s\to\infty}(|c_i'(t)c(s)| - s) = \lim_{s\to\infty}(|\varphi_i(c'(tt_i))c(s)| - s)\\
&= \lim_{s\to\infty}(|\varphi_i(c'(tt_i))c(s/t_i)| - s/t_i) = \lim_{s\to\infty}(|\varphi_i(c'(tt_i))\varphi_i(c(s))| - s/t_i)\\
&= \lim_{s\to\infty}\frac{1}{t_i}(|c'(tt_i)c(s)| - s) = \frac{1}{t_i}b(c'(tt_i)) = \frac{1}{t_i}(-tt_i) = -t
\end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. The Ptolemy lines $\varphi_i(l')$ subconverge to a Ptolemy line l'' through $c(0)$. If $c'' : \mathbb{R} \to X$ is the limit unit speed parameterization of l'', then $b \circ c''(t) = -t$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and $|c''(1)c(1)| \geq a > 0$. This contradicts Lemma 4.11 by which $l = l'$ and thus $c''(t) = c(t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Conversely, assume c, $c' : \mathbb{R} \to X_\omega$ are unit speed parameterizations of Ptolemy lines l, $l' \subset X_\omega$ with $c(0) = o$, $c'(0) = o'$ such that $b(o) = b(o') = 0$ for the Busemann function $b : X_\omega \to \mathbb{R}$ of l with $b \circ c(t) = -t$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\mu(t_i)/t_i \to 0$ for some sequence $t_i \to \infty$, where $\mu(t) = |c(t)c'(t)|$. Let $b' : X_\omega \to \mathbb{R}$ be the Busemann function of l' with $b' \circ c'(t) = -t$. Applying the Ptolemy inequality to the cross-ration triple $\operatorname{crt}(Q_i)$ of the quadruple $Q_i = (o, c(t_i), c'(t_i), o')$, we obtain

$$\big||oc'(t_i)||o'c(t_i)| - |oc(t_i)||o'c'(t_i)|\big| \leq |oo'||c(t_i)c'(t_i)|.$$

Using $|oc(t_i)| = t_i = |o'c'(t_i)|$, $|o'c(t_i)| = b(o') + t_i + o(1)$, $|oc'(t_i)| = b'(o) + t_i + o(1)$, and $|c(t_i)c'(t_i)| = \mu(t_i) = o(1)t_i$, we obtain

$$|(b'(o) + t_i + o(1))(b(o') + t_i + o(1)) - t_i^2| \leq |oo'|o(1)t_i,$$

thus $|b'(o)| \leq o(1)$ and hence $b'(o) = b(o') = 0$.

Finally, for an arbitrary $x \in X_\omega$ consider the quadruple $Q_{x,i} = (x, c(t_i), c'(t_i), o)$. By the same argument as above, we have

$$\big||xc'(t_i)|t_i - |xc(t_i)||oc'(t_i)|\big| \le |ox|\mu(t_i).$$

Using $|oc'(t_i)| = b'(o) + t_i + o(1) = t_i + o(1)$, $|xc'(t_i)| = b'(x) + t_i + o(1)$, $|xc(t_i)| = b(x) + t_i + o(1)$, we finally obtain $|b'(x) - b(x)| \le o(1)$ and hence $b(x) = b'(x)$. Therefore, the lines l, l' are Busemann parallel. $\square$

Now, we assume that our Ptolemy space X is compact. Given x, $x' \in X_\omega$, we construct an isometry $\eta_{xx'} : X_\omega \to X_\omega$ called a *shift* as follows. We take a sequence $\lambda_i \to \infty$ and using the homothety property (H) for every i consider homotheties $\varphi_i \in \Gamma_{\omega,x}$, $\psi_i \in \Gamma_{\omega,x'}$ with coefficient λ_i. Then $\eta_i = \psi_i^{-1} \circ \varphi_i$ is an isometry of X_ω for every i because the coefficient of the homothety η_i is 1. Furthermore, we have $|\eta_i(x)x'| = \lambda_i^{-1}|xx'| \to 0$ as $i \to \infty$. Since X is compact, the sequence η_i subconverges to an isometry $\eta = \eta_{xx'}$ with $\eta(x) = x'$. The term shift for η is justified by the following

Lemma 4.13. *A shift $\eta_{xx'}$ moves any Ptolemy line l through x to a Busemann parallel Ptolemy line $\eta_{xx'}(l)$ through x'.*

Proof. We show that the line $l' = \eta_{xx'}(l)$ cannot have a linear divergence with l. Assume to the contrary that $\mu(t) \ge at$ for some $a > 0$ and all $t > 0$, where $\mu(t) = |c(t)c'(t)|$, $c : \mathbb{R} \to X_\omega$ is a unit speed parameterization of l with $c(0) = x$, $c' = \eta_{xx'} \circ c$.

Recall that $\eta_{xx'} = \lim \eta_i$, where $\eta_i = \psi_i^{-1} \circ \varphi_i$, and $\varphi_i \in \Gamma_{\omega,x}$, $\psi_i \in \Gamma_{\omega,x'}$ are homotheties with the same coefficient $\lambda_i \to \infty$. By definition of the groups $\Gamma_{\omega,x}$, $\Gamma_{\omega,x'}$, we have $\varphi_i(l) = l$, $\psi_i(l') = l'$. We take $y = c(1)$, $y' = c'(1)$. Then for $y_i = \varphi_i(y) = c(\lambda_i)$ we have $|y_ic'(\lambda_i)| = \mu(\lambda_i) \ge a\lambda_i$. Thus for $y_i' = \psi_i^{-1}(y_i)$ the estimate $|y_i'y'| = |\psi_i^{-1}(y_i)\psi_i^{-1} \circ c'(\lambda_i)| \ge a$ holds for all i in contradiction with $y_i' \to y'$ as $i \to \infty$.

Therefore, there are sequences $t_i \to \pm\infty$, with $\mu(t_i) = o(1)|t_i|$. By Lemma 4.12 the lines l, l' are Busemann parallel. $\square$

From Lemma 4.11 and Lemma 4.13 we immediately obtain

Corollary 4.14. *Given a Ptolemy line $l \subset X_\omega$, through any point $x \in X_\omega$ there is a unique Ptolemy line $l(x)$ Busemann parallel to l.* $\square$

Recall that any Möbius map $\varphi : X \to X$ with $\varphi(\omega) = \omega$ for $\omega \in X$ acts on X_ω as a homothety. A homothety $\varphi : X_\omega \to X_\omega$ is said to be *pure* if it preserves any foliation of X_ω by Busemann parallel Ptolemy lines.

Lemma 4.15. *For every $o \in X_\omega$ the group $\Gamma_{\omega,o}$ consists of pure homotheties. In particular, every shift of X_ω preserves any foliation of X_ω by Busemann parallel Ptolemy lines.*

Proof. Let $l \subset X_\omega$ be a Ptolemy line through o, $b : X_\omega \to \mathbb{R}$ a Busemann function of l with $b(o) = 0$. Then $b \circ \varphi = \lambda b$ for every homothety $\varphi \in \Gamma_{\omega,o}$, where $\lambda > 0$ is the coefficient of φ. By Corollary 4.14, any Busemann function of any Ptolemy line $l(x)$ through $x \in X_\omega$ is a Busemann function of a line l through o. Therefore, every $\varphi \in \Gamma_{\omega,o}$ preserves any Busemann function b of $l(x)$ with $b(o) = 0$ in the sense that $\lambda^{-1} b \circ \varphi = b$, where $\lambda > 0$ is the coefficient of φ. Since $\lambda^{-1} b \circ \varphi$ is a Busemann function of the Ptolemy line $\varphi^{-1}(l(x))$, we see that this line is Busemann parallel to $l(x)$. Thus φ preserves the foliation $l(x)$, $x \in X_\omega$ by Busemann parallel Ptolemy lines. □

A construction of a homothety from the group $\Gamma_{\omega,\omega'}$ given in Proposition 4.8 is not uniquely determined because to obtain a homothety with the same coefficient λ one can take a composition of different pairs of s-inversions. Thus for given x, $x' \in X_\omega$ a shift $\eta_{xx'}$ is not uniquely determined. We give a refined construction of shifts with property $\eta_{xx'} \to \mathrm{id}$ as $x \to x'$ which will be used in the proof of Lemma 5.8 below.

Lemma 4.16. *For x, $x' \in X_\omega$ there is a shift $\eta_{xx'} : X_\omega \to X_\omega$ with $\eta_{xx'}(x) = x'$ such that $\eta_{xx'} \to \mathrm{id}$ as $x \to x'$.*

Proof. For $\lambda_i \to \infty$ we denote with $S = S_1(x)$, $S_i = S_{\lambda_i}(x)$ the metric spheres in X_ω centered at x of radius 1 and λ_i respectively. Similarly we put $S' = S_1(x')$, $S'_i = S_{\lambda_i}(x')$. Then $\varphi_i = \varphi_{x,\omega,S_i} \circ \varphi_{x,\omega,S} \in \Gamma_{\omega,x}$, $\psi_i = \varphi_{x',\omega,S'_i} \circ \varphi_{x',\omega,S'} \in \Gamma_{\omega,x'}$ are homotheties with the same coefficient λ_i^2, see the proof of Proposition 4.8. The sequence of isometries $\eta_i = \psi_i^{-1} \circ \varphi_i : X_\omega \to X_\omega$ converges to a shift $\eta : X_\omega \to X_\omega$ with $\eta(x) = x'$. We have

$$\eta_i = \varphi_{x',\omega,S'} \circ \varphi_{x',\omega,S'_i} \circ \varphi_{x,\omega,S_i} \circ \varphi_{x,\omega,S}$$

and $\varphi_{x',\omega,S'_i} \circ \varphi_{x,\omega,S_i} \to \mathrm{id}$, $\varphi_{x',\omega,S'} \circ \varphi_{x,\omega,S} \to \mathrm{id}$ as $x \to x'$ because $S_i \to S'_i$, $S \to S'$ in the Hausdorff metric, every s-inversion is uniquely determined by its data according to our assumption, and by Lemma 4.4, s-inversions preserve the family of metric spheres between data points. Thus $\eta_i \to \mathrm{id}$ for every i as $x \to x'$. Moreover, the convergence of metric spheres around x to metric spheres around x' in the Hausdorff metric is uniform in radius as $x \to x'$, $\mathrm{Hd}(S_r^d(x), S_r^d(x')) \le |xx'|$ for every $r > 0$. Therefore, $\eta_i \to \mathrm{id}$ uniformly in i as $x \to x'$. This implies $\eta \to \mathrm{id}$ as $x \to x'$. □

4.4 Enhancing the existence property (E)

By property (E) formulated in sect. 1 we know that the space X contains at least one Ptolemy circle.

Proposition 4.17. *Any compact Ptolemy space with the inversion property (I) is two-point homogeneous, that is, for each (ordered) pairs (x, y), (x', y') of distinct points in X there is a Möbius automorphism $f : X \to X$ with $f(x) = x'$, $f(y) = y'$.*

Proof. Applying an inversion, we can map x to x'. Let y'' be the image of y under the inversion. Then $y'' \neq x'$ by the assumption. We consider a metric of the Möbius structure with infinitely remote point x'. By discussion above, there is a shift w.r.t. that metric which maps y'' to y'. The resulting composition gives a required Möbius automorphism. $\square$

It immediately follows from Proposition 4.17 that the property (E) in any compact Ptolemy space with (I) is promoted to
(E) Enhanced existence: through any two points in X there is a Ptolemy circle.

In what follow, we use this property under the name (E).

4.5 Busemann functions on X_ω

The proof of Theorem 4.5 is based on study of Busemann functions on X_ω. In this section we assume that a compact Ptolemy space X possesses the properties (E) and (I).

Lemma 4.18. *Assume that $x_i \to x$ in X, and a point $\omega \in X$ distinct from x is fixed. Then any Ptolemy circle $l \subset X$ through ω, x is the (pointwise) limit of a sequence of Ptolemy circles $l_i \subset X$ through ω, x_i.*

Proof. In the space X_ω the circle l is a Ptolemy line (with infinitely remote point ω) through x. Then the sequence $l_i = \eta_i(l)$ of Ptolemy lines with $x_i \in l_i$ converges to l, where $\eta_i : X_\omega \to X_\omega$ is a shift with $\eta_i(x) = x_i$, because the lines l_i are Busemann parallel to l by Lemma 4.15, and any sublimit of the sequence $\{l_i\}$ coincides with l by Lemma 4.11. $\square$

We fix $\omega \in X$ and a metric d of the Möbius structure such that ω is the infinitely remote point. Then every Ptolemy circle in X through ω is a Ptolemy line with respect to that metric. It immediately follows from the Ptolemy inequality that the distance function $d(z, \cdot)$ to a point $z \in X_\omega$ is convex along any Ptolemy line, see [FS2]. Under the homothety property (H) we prove that in fact $d(z, \cdot)$ is C^1-smooth.

Lemma 4.19. *In any compact Ptolemy space X with the homothety property (H), the distance function $d_z = d(z, \cdot) : X_\omega \to \mathbb{R}$ is convex and C^1-smooth along any Ptolemy line $l \subset X_\omega$ for any $\omega \in X$, $z \in X_\omega \setminus l$. Therefore, X is Busemann flat.*

Proof. Assume that d_z is not C^1-smooth at some point $x \in l$. We fix an arclength parameterization $c : \mathbb{R} \to X_\omega$ of l such that $x = c(0)$. Since $f = d_z \circ c$ is convex, it has the left and the right derivatives at every point. By assumption, these derivatives are different at $t = 0$. It follows that

$$\liminf_{t \to 0} \frac{f(t) - 2f(0) + f(-t)}{t} > 0.$$

Now, using property (H), we find for every $\lambda > 0$ a homothety $h_\lambda : X_\omega \to X_\omega$ with coefficient λ that preserves the point x and the Ptolemy line l, $h_\lambda(x) = x$, $h_\lambda(l) = l$. Then $d(x, h_\lambda(z)) \to \infty$ as $\lambda \to \infty$ and thus $\omega_\lambda = h_\lambda(z) \to \omega$. By Lemma 4.18, there is a Ptolemy circle l_λ through x, ω_λ such that $l_\lambda \to l$ as $\lambda \to \infty$ (maybe after passing to a subsequence). We put $\lambda = 1/t$ and consider points x_t^+, $x_t^- \in l_\lambda$ separated by x with $d(x, x_t^\pm) = 1$. Then, W.L.G., $x_t^\pm \to c(\pm 1)$ as $t \to 0$. The points x_t^+, x, x_t^-, ω_λ lie on the Ptolemy circle l_λ (in this order), thus

$$2d(x, \omega_\lambda) \geq d(x, \omega_\lambda) d(x_t^+, x_t^-) = d(x_t^+, \omega_\lambda) + d(x_t^-, \omega_\lambda)$$

by the Ptolemy equality. On the other hand, $f(0)/t = d(x, \omega_\lambda)$ and $f(\pm t)/t = d(c(\pm 1), \omega_\lambda)$. Thus $|d(x_t^\pm, \omega_\lambda) - f(\pm t)/t| \leq d(x_t^\pm, c(\pm 1)) \to 0$ as $t \to 0$. Therefore, $(f(t) - 2f(0) + f(-t))/t \to 0$ as $t \to 0$ in contradiction with our assumption. Now, X is flat by Proposition 3.3. □

A similar idea is used in the proof of the following

Proposition 4.20. *Given two Ptolemy lines l, $l' \in X_\omega$, the Busemann functions of l are affine functions on l'.*

Proof. Indeed let b be a Busemann function of l. Thus we can write $b(x) = \lim_{i\to\infty}(|x\omega_i| - |o\omega_i|)$ for every $x \in X_\omega$, where $o \in l$ is some fixed point, $\omega_i \in l$, and $\omega_i \to \omega$.

Let x, y, $m \in l'$, such that $|xm| = |my| = \frac{1}{2}|xy|$. We have to show that $b(m) = \frac{1}{2}(b(x) + b(y))$. Since Busemann functions are convex, see [FS2], we have $b(m) \leq \frac{1}{2}(b(x) + b(y))$.

By Lemma 4.18 there exists a Ptolemy circle l_i through the points x and ω_i, such that the sequence l_i converges pointwise to the Ptolemy line l'. Thus there are points $y_i \in l_i$, such that $y_i \to y$. The points x, y_i divide l_i into two segments. Choose a point m_i in the segment which does not contain ω_i in a way such that $|xm_i| = |m_i y_i|$. One easily sees that $m_i \to m$.

Since the points x, m_i, y_i, ω_i are on a Ptolemy circle (in this order), we have

$$|\omega_i m_i| \cdot |xy_i| = |\omega_i x| \cdot |m_i y_i| + |\omega_i y_i| \cdot |m_i x|$$

and since $|xm_i| = |m_i y_i| \geq \frac{1}{2}|xy_i|$ we see $|\omega_i m_i| \geq \frac{1}{2}(|\omega_i x| + |\omega_i y_i|)$. This implies in the limit $b(m) \geq \frac{1}{2}(b(x) + b(y))$. □

Lemma 4.21. *For any Busemann function $b : X_\omega \to \mathbb{R}$ of any Ptolemy line $l \subset X_\omega$, every horosphere $H_t = b^{-1}(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, is geodesically convex, that is, any Ptolemy line $l' \subset X_\omega$ having two distinct points z, z' in common with H_t is contained in H_t, $l' \subset H_t$.*

Proof. We put $b^+ = b$ and assume W.L.G. that $b^+(z) = 0 = b^-(z)$, where the Busemann function b^- of l is opposite to b^+. Then $b^+ + b^- \equiv 0$ because X is Busemann flat, see Lemma 4.19. Thus $H_0 = (b^+)^{-1}(0) = (b^-)^{-1}(0)$

is a common horosphere for b^+, b^-. Since horoballs, i.e. sublevel sets of Busemann functions, are convex, the geodesic segment $zz' \subset l$ lies in H_0.

By Proposition 4.20, the function b is affine along l', that is, $b \circ c(t) = \alpha t + \beta$ for any arclength parameterization $c : \mathbb{R} \to l'$ of l' and some α, $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, $|\alpha| \leq 1$. We choose c so that $c(0) = z$, $c(|zz'|) = z'$. Then $\beta = 0$ by the assumption $b(z) = 0$, and $0 = b(z') = b \circ c(|zz'|) = \alpha |zz'|$. Hence $\alpha = 0$ and $b|l' \equiv 0$. This shows that $l' \subset H_0$. $\square$

4.6 Slope of two Ptolemy lines

By Proposition 4.20, a Busemann function associated with a Ptolemy line is affine along any other Ptolemy line. We introduce a quantity which measures a mutual position of Ptolemy lines in the space.

Let l, $l' \subset X_\omega$ be oriented Ptolemy lines. We define the *slope* of l' w.r.t. l as the coefficient of a Busemann function b associated with l when restricted to l', $\operatorname{slope}(l'; l) = \alpha$ if and only if $b \circ c'(t) = \alpha t + \beta$ for some $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, where $c' : \mathbb{R} \to X_\omega$ is a unit speed parameterization of l' compatible with its orientation. The quantity $\operatorname{slope}(l'; l) \in [-1, 1]$ is well defined, i.e. it depends of the choice neither the Busemann function b nor the parameterization c' (we assume that b is defined via a parameterization of l compatible with its orientation). Note that the slope changes the sign when the orientation of l or l' is changed,

$$\operatorname{slope}(-l'; l) = -\operatorname{slope}(l'; l) \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{slope}(l'; -l) = -\operatorname{slope}(l'; l).$$

The first equality is obvious, while the second one holds because X is Busemann flat by Lemma 4.19.

By definition, we have $\operatorname{slope}(l; l) = -1$ for any oriented Ptolemy line $l \subset X_\omega$. More generally, let l, $l' \subset X_\omega$ be Busemann parallel Ptolemy lines. If an orientation of l is fixed, then a *compatible* orientation of l' is well defined. Indeed, we take a Busemann function b of l such that $b \to -\infty$ along l in the chosen direction. Since b is also a Busemann function of l', the respective direction of l' such that $b \to -\infty$ along l' is well defined, and it is independent of the choice of b.

Now, if orientations of l, l' are compatible, then $\operatorname{slope}(l'; l) = -1 = \operatorname{slope}(l; l')$.

Lemma 4.22. *Let l, $l' \subset X_\omega$ be Busemann parallel Ptolemy lines with compatible orientations. Then for any oriented Ptolemy line $l'' \subset X_\omega$ we have* $\operatorname{slope}(l; l'') = \operatorname{slope}(l'; l'')$.

Proof. Let $b : X_\omega \to \mathbb{R}$ be a Busemann function associated with l''. Since b is affine along Ptolemy lines, there are unit speed parameterizations $c : \mathbb{R} \to l$, $c' : \mathbb{R} \to l'$ compatible with the orientations of l, l' such that $b \circ c(0) = b \circ c'(0) =: \beta$. Then $b \circ c(t) = \alpha t + \beta$, $b \circ c'(t) = \alpha' t + \beta$ for some $|\alpha|$, $|\alpha'| \leq 1$ and all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. We show that $\alpha = \alpha'$.

Since the orientations of l, l' are compatible, we have $|c(t)c'(t)| = o(1)|t|$ as $|t| \to \infty$ by Lemma 4.12. Let $c'' : \mathbb{R} \to l''$ be a unit speed parameterization such that $b(x) = \lim_{s\to\infty} |c''(s)x| - s$, $x \in X_\omega$. Since $||c''(s)c(t)| - |c''(s)c'(t)|| \leq |c(t)c'(t)| = o(1)t$ as $t \to \infty$, we have $|b\circ c(t) - b\circ c'(t)| = o(1)t$ and hence

$$\alpha = \lim_{t\to\infty} b \circ c(t)/t = \lim_{t\to\infty} b \circ c'(t)/t = \alpha'.$$

$\square$

Proposition 4.20 combined with duality gives rise to a first variation formula to describe which we use the following agreement. Let σ, $\sigma' \subset X$ be Ptolemy circles meeting each other at two distinct points ω and ω', $\sigma \cap \sigma' = \{\omega, \omega'\}$, which decompose the circles into (closed) arcs $\sigma = \sigma_+ \cup \sigma_-$ and $\sigma' = \sigma'_+ \cup \sigma'_-$. The choice of ω as an infinitely remote point automatically introduces the orientation of σ as well as of σ' such that ω' is the initial point of the arcs σ_+, σ'_+, while ω the final point of σ_+, σ'_+, and the similar agreement holds true for the choice of ω' as an infinitely remote point. Then the slope$(\sigma'_\omega; \sigma_\omega)$ of the Ptolemy line $\sigma'_\omega \subset X_\omega$ w.r.t. the Ptolemy line $\sigma_\omega \subset X_\omega$ is well defined.

Lemma 4.23. *Let σ, $\sigma' \subset X$ be Ptolemy circles meeting each other at two distinct points ω and ω', $\sigma \cap \sigma' = \{\omega, \omega'\}$, which decompose the circles into (closed) arcs $\sigma = \sigma_+ \cup \sigma_-$ and $\sigma' = \sigma'_+ \cup \sigma'_-$. Let $c : \mathbb{R} \to X_{\omega'}$, $c' : \mathbb{R} \to X_\omega$ be the unit speed parameterizations of the oriented Ptolemy lines $\sigma_{\omega'} \subset X_{\omega'}$, $\sigma'_\omega \subset X_\omega$ respectively compatible with the orientations such that $c(0) = \omega$, $c'(0) = \omega'$. Then*

$$\frac{d}{dt} d'(c'(s), c(t))|_{t=0} = \alpha \operatorname{sign} s \tag{7}$$

for all $s \neq 0$, where d' is the metric of $X_{\omega'}$, and $\alpha = \mathrm{slope}(\sigma'_\omega; \sigma_\omega)$.

Remark 4.24. We emphasize that (7) is a typical duality equality where the left hand side is computed in the space $X_{\omega'}$, while the right hand side is computed in the opposite space X_ω.

Proof. By Proposition 4.20, the Busemann function b of σ_ω with $b(\omega') = 0$ is affine along the Ptolemy line $\sigma'_\omega \subset X_\omega$. Thus $b \circ c'(s) = \alpha s$ for $\alpha = \mathrm{slope}(\sigma'_\omega; \sigma_\omega)$ and all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ because $b \circ c'(0) = b(\omega') = 0$. Since X is Busemann flat by Lemma 4.19, Equation (5) applied to $b^+ = b$ gives

$$\alpha s = b \circ c'(s) = \frac{d}{dt} \ln d'(c'(s), c(t))|_{t=0} = \frac{1}{d'(c'(s), \omega)} \frac{d}{dt} d'(c'(s), c(t))|_{t=0}$$

for all $s \neq 0$. Using $d'(c'(s), \omega) = 1/|s|$, we obtain the required equality. $\square$

In the situation with two Ptolemy circles intersecting at two distinct points as in Lemma 4.23, we have four a priori different slopes. The duality and existence of s-inversions allows to reduce this number to one.

Lemma 4.25. *Let σ, $\sigma' \subset X$ be Ptolemy circles meeting each other at two distinct points ω and ω', $\sigma \cap \sigma' = \{\omega, \omega'\}$, which decompose the circles into (closed) arcs $\sigma = \sigma_+ \cup \sigma_-$ and $\sigma' = \sigma'_+ \cup \sigma'_-$. Then*

$$\operatorname{slope}(\sigma'_\omega; \sigma_\omega) = \operatorname{slope}(\sigma_{\omega'}; \sigma'_{\omega'}).$$

Proof. Denote $\alpha = \operatorname{slope}(\sigma'_\omega; \sigma_\omega)$ and $\alpha' = \operatorname{slope}(\sigma_{\omega'}; \sigma'_{\omega'})$. As in Lemma 4.23 let $c : \mathbb{R} \to X_{\omega'}$, $c' : \mathbb{R} \to X_\omega$ be the unit speed parameterizations of the oriented Ptolemy lines $\sigma_{\omega'} \subset X_{\omega'}$, $\sigma'_\omega \subset X_\omega$ respectively compatible with the orientations such that $c(0) = \omega$, $c'(0) = \omega'$. Let $b' : X_{\omega'} \to \mathbb{R}$ be the Busemann function associated with $\sigma'_{\omega'}$ such that $b'(\omega) = 0$ (according to our agreement, b' is computed via a parameterization of $\sigma'_{\omega'}$ which is opposite in orientation to that of the parameterization c'). Then $b' \circ c(t) = \alpha' t$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ by the definition of $\alpha' = \operatorname{slope}(\sigma_{\omega'}; \sigma'_{\omega'})$. Thus

$$\alpha' t = b' \circ c(t) \le d'(c'(s), c(t)) - d'(c'(s), \omega)$$

for all $s > 0$ and all (sufficiently small) $t \in \mathbb{R}$, where d' is the metric of $X_{\omega'}$. The last inequality holds because the right hand side decreases monotonically to $b' \circ c(t)$ for every fixed t as $s \to 0$. Applying Equality (7), we obtain $\alpha' \le \alpha$. Interchanging ω with ω' and σ with σ', we have $\alpha \le \alpha'$ by the same argument. Hence, the claim. □

Using Lemma 4.25, the first variation formula (7) can be rewritten as follows

$$\frac{d}{dt} d'(c'(s), c(t))|_{t=0} = \alpha' \operatorname{sign} s \tag{8}$$

for all $s \neq 0$, where $\alpha' = \operatorname{slope}(\sigma_{\omega'}; \sigma'_{\omega'})$. Now, the both sides of (8) are computed in the same space $X_{\omega'}$.

Lemma 4.25 implies the symmetry of the slope w.r.t. the arguments.

Lemma 4.26. *For any oriented Ptolemy lines l, $l' \subset X_\omega$ we have* $\operatorname{slope}(l'; l) = \operatorname{slope}(l; l')$.

Proof. We assume W.L.G. that $l \cap l' = \omega'$ and represent $l = \sigma_\omega$, $l' = \sigma'_\omega$ for Ptolemy circles $\sigma = l \cup \omega$, $\sigma' = l' \cup \omega$. Then $\sigma \cap \sigma' = \{\omega, \omega'\}$. Let $S \subset X$ be a sphere between ω, ω', $\varphi = \varphi_{\omega,\omega',S} : X \to X$ the s-inversion w.r.t. ω, ω', S. Then φ preserves any Ptolemy circle though ω, ω' and its orientations. In particular, $\varphi(\sigma_\omega) = \sigma_{\omega'}$ and $\varphi(\sigma'_\omega) = \sigma'_{\omega'}$. We assume that $S = S_1^d(\omega')$, where $d \in \mathcal{M}$ is the metric of X_ω. Then the metric $d' = \varphi^* d$ is the m-inversion of d, and vice versa, see Lemma 4.3. It follows that the map $\varphi : (X_\omega, d) \to (X_{\omega'}, d')$ is an isometry.

Now, we have

$$\operatorname{slope}(\sigma'_{\omega'}; \sigma_{\omega'}) = \operatorname{slope}(\varphi(\sigma'_\omega); \varphi(\sigma_\omega)) = \operatorname{slope}(\sigma'_\omega; \sigma_\omega) = \operatorname{slope}(l'; l).$$

Using Lemma 4.25 we obtain $\operatorname{slope}(l; l') = \operatorname{slope}(\sigma_\omega; \sigma'_\omega) = \operatorname{slope}(\sigma'_{\omega'}; \sigma_{\omega'}) = \operatorname{slope}(l'; l)$. □

From now on, we use notation slope(l, l') for the slope instead of slope$(l; l')$. We say that Ptolemy lines l, $l' \subset X_\omega$ are *orthogonal* if slope$(l', l) = 0$. By Lemma 4.26 this is a symmetric relation. For orthogonal lines we also use notation $l \perp l'$.

4.7 Tangent lines to a Ptolemy circle

A Ptolemy line $l \subset X_\omega$ is *tangent* to a Ptolemy circle $\sigma \subset X_\omega$ at a point $x \in \sigma$ if for every $y \in \sigma$ sufficiently close to x we have $\operatorname{dist}(y, l) = o(|xy|)$.

Proposition 4.27. *Every Ptolemy circle $\sigma \subset X_\omega$ possesses a unique tangent Ptolemy line l at every point $x \in \sigma$.*

Proof. Let d be the metric of X_ω, d' the metric inversion of d w.r.t. x, $d'(y, z) = \frac{d(y,z)}{d(y,x)d(z,x)}$. Then x is infinitely remote for d', and $\sigma \setminus x \subset X_x$ is a Ptolemy line w.r.t. the metric d' on X_x. By Corollary 4.14, there is a unique Ptolemy line $\widetilde{l} \subset X_x$ through ω which is Busemann parallel to the line $\sigma \setminus x$. Then $l = (\widetilde{l} \cup x) \setminus \omega \subset X_\omega$ is a Ptolemy line through x. We show that l is tangent to σ at x.

We fix on $\sigma \setminus x$ and $\widetilde{l}$ compatible orientations, see sect. 4.6, and choose $y \in \sigma$, $y' \in l$ with sufficiently small positive $t = d(x, y) = d(x, y')$ according the orientations. Recall that d is also the metric inversion of d' w.r.t. ω, and that $d(x, z) = 1/d'(\omega, z)$ for every $z \in X \setminus \{x, \omega\}$. Then

$$d(y, y') = \frac{d'(y, y')}{d'(\omega, y)d'(\omega, y')} = t\frac{d'(y, y')}{1/t}.$$

By Lemma 4.12, $\frac{d'(y,y')}{1/t} \to 0$ as $t \to 0$, hence $d(y, y') = o(t)$, and thus l is tangent to σ at x.

If $l' \subset X_\omega$ is another tangent line to σ at x, then reversing the argument above we observe that the Ptolemy lines $\widetilde{l}$, $\widetilde{l'} = (l' \cup \omega) \setminus x \subset X_x$ through ω diverge sublinearly and thus they are Busemann parallel again by Lemma 4.12. It follows that $\widetilde{l} = \widetilde{l'}$ and $l = l'$. ☐

Now, we reformulate Corollary 4.14 as follows.

Corollary 4.28. *Given a Ptolemy line $l \subset X_\omega$ and a point $x \in l$, for any other point $y \in X_\omega$ there exists a unique Ptolemy circle $\sigma \subset X_\omega$ through y tangent to l at x. In particular, if $y \in l$, then $\sigma = l$.*

Proof. Consider a metric of the Möbius structure on X with the infinitely remote point x and apply Corollary 4.14. ☐

5 Fibration $\pi_\omega : X_\omega \to B_\omega$

Given $x \in X_\omega$, we define

$$F_x = \bigcap_{l \ni x} H_l,$$

where the intersection is taken over all the Ptolemy lines $l \subset X_\omega$ through x, H_l is the horosphere through x of a Busemann function associated with l (since X is Busemann flat, H_l is independent of choice of a Busemann function).

Lemma 5.1. *For any $y \in F_x$ we have $F_y = F_x$.*

Proof. By Corollary 4.14, for every Ptolemy line l through x there is a unique Ptolemy line l' through y such that l, l' are Busemann parallel. Let b be a Busemann function of l such that $b(x) = 0$. Then $b(y) = 0$ because y lies in the horosphere through x of b. Hence $H_l = H_{l'}$ because b is a Busemann function also of l' and thus $F_y = F_x$. ☐

By Lemma 5.1, the sets F_x, $F_{x'}$ coincide or are disjoint for any $x, x' \in X_\omega$. We let $B_\omega = \{F_x : x \in X_\omega\}$ and define $\pi_\omega : X_\omega \to B_\omega$ by $\pi_\omega(x) = F_x$. Therefore, the fibers $F_b = \pi_\omega^{-1}(b)$, $b \in B_\omega$, form a partition of X_ω, B_ω is the factor-space of this partition, and π_ω is the respective factor-map. A fiber F of π_ω is also called a $\mathbb{K}$-*line*.

Lemma 5.2. *For any ω, $\omega' \in X$, any Möbius automorphism $\varphi : X \to X$ with $\varphi(\omega) = \omega'$ induces a bijection $\overline{\varphi} : B_\omega \to B_{\omega'}$ such that $\pi_{\omega'} \circ \varphi = \overline{\varphi} \circ \pi_\omega$.*

Proof. It follows from Lemma 2.1 that for any metrics d, d' of the Möbius structure with infinitely remote points ω, ω' respectively, the map $\varphi : (X_\omega, d) \to (X_{\omega'}, d')$ is a homothety. Thus φ maps any Ptolemy line $l \subset X_\omega$ to the Ptolemy line $l' = \varphi(l) \subset X_{\omega'}$, and $b \circ \varphi^{-1}$ is proportional a Busemann function of l' for any Busemann function b of l. It follows that φ induces a bijection $\overline{\varphi} : B_\omega \to B_\omega$ such that $\overline{\varphi} \circ \pi_\omega = \pi_\omega \circ \varphi$. ☐

Proof of property ($1_\mathbb{K}$): uniqueness. Let $F \subset X_\omega$ be a $\mathbb{K}$-line, and let $x \in X \setminus F$. We show that there is at most one Ptolemy line in X_ω through x that meets F. Assume that there are Ptolemy lines l, $l' \in X_\omega$ through x that intersect F. Let $c : \mathbb{R} \to l$, $c' : \mathbb{R} \to l'$ be unit speed parameterizations such that $c(0) = x = c'(0)$, and $c(s) \in F$, $c'(s') \in F$ for some s, $s' > 0$. For the Busemann function $b : X_\omega \to \mathbb{R}$, $b(y) = \lim_{t \to -\infty} |yc(t)| - |t|$, of l we have $b(c(s)) = s = b(c'(s'))$ because $c(s)$, $c'(s') \in F$ and F is a fiber of the fibration $\pi_\omega : X_\omega \to B_\omega$. The function $t \mapsto |c'(s')c(t)| - (|t| + s)$ is nonincreasing and it converges to $b(c'(s')) - s = 0$ as $t \to -\infty$, thus $s' = |c'(s')c(0)| \geq s = |c(s)x|$. Interchanging l and l' we obtain $s \geq s'$ by the same reason. Hence $s = s'$. Since the Busemann function b is affine along l' by Proposition 4.20 and it takes the equal values $0 = b \circ c(0)$ and

$b\circ c(s)=s=b\circ c'(s)$ along l, l' at two different parameter points, we have $b\circ c(t)=b\circ c'(t)$ for every $t\in\mathbb{R}$. By Lemma 4.11, $l=l'$. $\square$

5.1 Semi-$\mathbb{K}$-planes

We fix $\omega\in X$ and a metric from the Möbius structure with infinitely remote point ω. For a Ptolemy line $l\subset X_\omega$ we put $M=M_l:=\cup F$, where the union is taken over all the fibers $F\subset X_\omega$ of the fibration $\pi_\omega:X_\omega\to B_\omega$ which intersect l, $F\cap l\neq\emptyset$ (the Ptolemy line l has at most one point in common with any fiber $F\subset X_\omega$ because it intersects only once any its horosphere). The set $M_l\subset X_\omega$ is called a *semi-$\mathbb{K}$-plane* over l. Since different fibers of π_ω are disjoint, we have if $M_l\cap F\neq\emptyset$ for some fiber F of π_ω, then $F\subset M_l$ and there is a uniquely determined point $x\in l$ such that $x\in F$, i.e. F is a member of the family of fibers that form M_l.

Lemma 5.3. *Through every point x of a semi-$\mathbb{K}$-line M_l there is a uniquely determined Ptolemy line l' that meets every $\mathbb{K}$-line of M_l and moreover $l'\subset M_l$ is Busemann parallel to l. Furthermore, any two $\mathbb{K}$-lines F, F' of M_l are equidistant in the sense that the segments of any two Ptolemy lines l, $l'\subset M_l$ between F, F' have equal lengths.*

Proof. By Corollary 4.14, there is a unique Ptolemy line l' through x which is Busemann parallel to l. Consider compatible unit speed parameterizations $c:\mathbb{R}\to l$, $c':\mathbb{R}\to l'$ such that $c(0)\in F_x$, $c'(0)=x$, where $F_x\subset M_l$ is the $\mathbb{K}$-line through x.

Let F be a $\mathbb{K}$-line of M_l. Then by definition $c(t)\in F$ for some $t\in\mathbb{R}$. We show that $c'(t)\in F$. Let l'' be a Ptolemy line through $c(t)$, b'' a Busemann function of l'' with $b''(c(t))=0$. We show that $c'(t)$ lies in the zero level set of b'', $b''(c'(t))=0$.

By Corollary 4.14, there is a Ptolemy line through $c(0)$ for which b'' is a Busemann function. Then the $\mathbb{K}$-line F_x lies in a level set of b'', in particular, $b''(c(0))=b''(c'(0))=:\beta$. By Lemma 4.22 we have $b''\circ c(s)=\alpha s+\beta=b''\circ c'(s)$ for all $s\in\mathbb{R}$. In particular, $b''(c'(t))=b''(c(t))=0$, hence $c'(t)\in F$. This also shows that the $\mathbb{K}$-lines F, F_x are equidistant. Moreover, this argument shows that for every $s\in\mathbb{R}$, the point $c'(s)$ lies in the $\mathbb{K}$-line F_s through $c(s)$, thus $l'\subset M_l$. $\square$

Lemma 5.4. *Every semi-$\mathbb{K}$-plane $M\subset X_\omega$ is geodesically convex, i.e., every Ptolemy line $l'\subset X_\omega$ that meets M in two different points is contained in M, $l'\subset M$.*

Proof. Let x, $x'\in l'\cap M$ be different points. By Lemma 5.3 there is a Ptolemy line $l\subset M$ through x. Both l, l' meet the fiber $F_{x'}\subset M$ of the fibration π_ω through x'. Then $l=l'$ by the uniqueness part of property $(1_\mathbb{K})$. $\square$

Proof of property ($2_{\mathbb{K}}$). Let F, $F' \subset X_\omega$ be distinct fibers of the fibration $\pi_\omega : X_\omega \to B_\omega$. Assume that Ptolemy lines l, $l' \subset X_\omega$ intersect both F, F', and let F'' be a $\mathbb{K}$-line that intersects l. We show that F'' intersects also l'.

Let M_l be the semi-$\mathbb{K}$-plane over l. Then F, F', $F'' \subset M_l$ by our assumption. We have $l' \subset M_l$ by Lemma 5.4. Hence l' intersects F'' by Lemma 5.3. □

5.2 Zigzag curves

We fix $\omega \in X$ and consider a metric on X_ω with infinitely remote point ω. Let $l \subset X_\omega$ be an oriented Ptolemy line. By Corollary 4.14, there is a foliation $l(x)$, $x \in X_\omega$ of the space X_ω by Ptolemy lines, which are Busemann parallel to l. Moreover, every member $l(x)$ of the foliation has a well defined orientation compatible with that of l, see sect. 4.6.

Lemma 5.5. *Let l_1, $l_2 \subset X_\omega$ be oriented Ptolemy lines which induce respective foliations of X_ω. We start moving from $x \in X_\omega$ along $l_1(x)$ by some distance $s_1 \geq 0$ up to a point y, and then switch to $l_2(y)$ and move along it by some distance $s_2 \geq 0$ up to a point z. Next, we move from $x' \in X_\omega$ along $l_2(x')$ by the distance s_2 up to a point y', and then switch to $l_1(y')$ and move along it by the distance s_1 up to a point z', where we always move in the directions prescribed by the orientations. If x, x' lie in a $\mathbb{K}$-line $F \subset X_\omega$, then z, z' also lie in one and the same $\mathbb{K}$-line $F' \subset X_\omega$.*

Proof. Let c_1, $c_2 : \mathbb{R} \to X_\omega$ be the unit speed parameterizations of $l_1(x)$, $l_2(x')$ respectively compatible with the orientations such that $c_1(0) = x$, $c_2(0) = x'$. We also consider the unit speed parameterizations c_1', $c_2' : \mathbb{R} \to X_\omega$ of $l_1(y')$, $l_2(y)$ respectively compatible with the orientations such that $c_1'(0) = y'$, $c_2'(0) = y$. Then $c_1(s_1) = y = c_2'(0)$, $c_2(s_2) = y' = c_1'(0)$ and $c_2'(s_2) = z$, $c_1'(s_1) = z'$.

Let b be a Busemann function of a Ptolemy line $l \subset X_\omega$ which vanishes along F, in particular, $b(x) = 0 = b(x')$. By Proposition 4.20, b is affine along any Ptolemy line in X_ω, in particular, $b \circ c_1(t) = \alpha_1 t$, $b \circ c_2(t) = \alpha_2 t$ for some α_i which by Lemma 4.22 only depends on l_i, $i = 1, 2$, and for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus we have $b(z') = b \circ c_1'(s_1) = \alpha_1 s_1 + \alpha_2 s_2$ and similarly $b(z) = b \circ c_2'(s_2) = \alpha_2 s_2 + \alpha_1 s_1$. Hence any Busemann function on X_ω takes the same value at the points z and z', i.e. these points lie in a common $\mathbb{K}$-line F'. □

Given a base point $o \in X_\omega$, a finite ordered collection $\mathcal{L} = \{l_1, \dots, l_k\}$ of oriented Ptolemy lines in X_ω, and a collection $S = \{s_1, \dots, s_k\}$ of non-negative numbers with $s_1 + \dots + s_k > 0$, we construct a sequence $\gamma_p = \gamma_p(o, \mathcal{L}, S) \subset X_\omega$, $p \geq 1$, of piecewise geodesic curves through o as follows. Recall that we have k foliations of X_ω by oriented Ptolemy lines

$l_1(x), \dots, l_k(x)$, $x \in X_\omega$, which are Busemann parallel with compatible orientations to $l_1, \dots, l_k$ respectively.

The curve γ_p starts at $o = v_p^0$ for every $p \ge 1$. We move along $l_1(o)$ by the distance $s_1/2^{p-1}$ up to the point $v_p^1 \in l_1(v_p^0)$, then switch to the line $l_2(v_p^1)$ and move along it by the distance $s_2/2^{p-1}$ up to the point v_p^2 etc. On the ith step, for $1 \le i \le k$, we move along the line $l_i(v_p^{i-1})$ by the distance $s_i/2^{p-1}$ in the direction prescribed by the orientation of the line up to the point $v_p^i \in l_i(v_p^{i-1})$. Starting with the point v_p^k we then repeat this procedure only taking the subindices for l_i, s_i modulo k for all integer $i \ge k+1$.

This produces the sequence v_p^n of vertices of γ_p for all $n \ge 0$. For integer $n < 0$ the vertices v_p^n are determined in the same way with all the orientations of the lines $l_1, \dots, l_k$ reversed, with the starting line $l_k(o)$, and with the ordered collections $\overline{\mathcal{L}} = \{l_k, \dots, l_1\}$ of lines, and $\overline{S} = \{s_k, \dots, s_1\}$ of numbers.

Every curve γ_p receives the arclength parameterization, for which we use the same notation $\gamma_p : \mathbb{R} \to X_\omega$, with $\gamma_p(0) = o$. Then for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $1 \le i \le k$, we have $\gamma_p(t_p^n) = v_p^n$ is a vertex of γ_p, where $n = k(m-1) + i$, $t_p^n = [(s_1 + \dots + s_i)m + (s_{i+1} + \dots + s_k)(m-1)]/2^{p-1}$ (the sum $(s_{i+1} + \dots + s_k)$ is assumed to be zero for $i = k$).

It follows from Lemma 5.5 by induction that for every $n = km \in \mathbb{Z}$, the vertices $v_p^n = \gamma_p(t_p^n)$ of γ_p and $v_{p+1}^{2n} = \gamma_{p+1}(t_{p+1}^{2n})$ of γ_{p+1} lie in a common $\mathbb{K}$-line in X_ω for every $p \ge 1$. From this one easily concludes that the sequence of the projected curves $\pi_\omega(\gamma_p) \subset B_\omega$ converges (pointwise in the induced topology). At this stage, we do not have tools to prove that the sequence γ_p itself converges in X_ω. However, we need a limiting object of γ_p. Thus, for instance, we fix a nonprincipal ultra-filter on $\mathbb{Z}$ and say that $\gamma = \lim \gamma_p$ w.r.t. that ultra-filter. By this we mean that $\gamma(t) = \lim \gamma_p(t)$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$. The curve $\gamma = \gamma(o, \mathcal{L}, S)$ is called a *zigzag* curve, and it is obtained together with the limiting parameterization $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \to X_\omega$, $\gamma(0) = o$, which in general is not an arclength parameterization.

Lemma 5.6. *Every Busemann function $b : X_\omega \to \mathbb{R}$ is affine along any zigzag curve γ, that is, the function $b \circ \gamma : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is affine. Moreover, if $\gamma = \gamma(o, \mathcal{L}, S)$ for a base point $o \in X_\omega$, some ordered collection $\mathcal{L} = \{l_1, \dots, l_k\}$ of oriented Ptolemy lines in X_ω, and a collection $S = \{s_1, \dots, s_k\}$ of nonnegative numbers with $s_1 + \dots + s_k > 0$, and $b(o) = 0$, then $b \circ \gamma(t) = \beta t$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, where $\beta = \sum_i \alpha_i s_i / \sum_i s_i$, $\alpha_i = \operatorname{slope}(l_i, l)$, $i = 1, \dots, k$, and $l \subset X_\omega$ is the oriented Ptolemy line for which the function b is associated.*

Proof. We assume that $\gamma = \lim \gamma_p$. Since γ_p is piecewise geodesic for every $p \ge 1$, the function $b \circ \gamma_p : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is piecewise affine. Recall that the points $v_p^n = \gamma_p(t_p^n)$ are vertices of γ_p, where $t_p^n = [(s_1 + \dots + s_i)m + (s_{i+1} + \dots + s_k)(m-1)]/2^{p-1}$ for $n = k(m-1) + i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus we have by induction

$$b \circ \gamma_p(t_p^n) = [(\alpha_1 s_1 + \dots + \alpha_i s_i)m + (\alpha_{i+1} s_{i+1} + \dots + \alpha_k s_k)(m-1)]/2^{p-1}$$

for $n = k(m-1)+i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence, $b \circ \gamma_p(t_p^n) = \beta t_p^n + o(1)$ as $p \to \infty$. Since the step $t_p^{n+1} - t_p^n \le \max_i s_i/2^{p-1} \to 0$ as $p \to \infty$, we conclude that $b \circ \gamma_p \to b \circ \gamma$ pointwise as $p \to \infty$, and $b \circ \gamma(t) = \beta t$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. □

Lemma 5.6 gives a strong evidence in support of the expectation that a zigzag curve under natural assumptions actually is a Ptolemy line. However we need additional arguments for the proof of this.

For ω, $o \in X$, the group $\Gamma_{\omega,o}$ consists of homotheties $\varphi : X_\omega \to X_\omega$ with $\varphi(o) = o$ such that $\varphi(l) = l$ for every Ptolemy line $l \subset X_\omega$ through o preserving an orientation of l, and moreover by property (H), $\Gamma_{\omega,o}$ acts transitively on the open rays of l with the vertex o, see Proposition 4.8.

Lemma 5.7. *The homothety $\varphi \in \Gamma_{\omega,o}$ with the coefficient $\lambda = 1/2$ leaves invariant a zigzag curve $\gamma = \gamma(o, \mathcal{L}, S)$ for any base point $o \in X_\omega$, any ordered collection of oriented Ptolemy lines $\mathcal{L} = \{l_1, \dots, l_k\}$ in X_ω, and any collection $S = \{s_1, \dots, s_k\}$ of nonnegative numbers with $s_1 + \dots + s_k > 0$.*

Proof. Let γ_p, $p \ge 1$, be the sequence of piecewise geodesic curves in X_ω used in the construction of $\gamma = \lim \gamma_p$. For $p \ge 1$, we let v_p^{km}, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, be the sequence of vertices of γ_p, $\overline{v}_p^{km} = \pi_\omega(v_p^{km})$ the sequence of respective fibers of the fibration $\pi_\omega : X_\omega \to B_\omega$. Recall that the sequences $\{\overline{v}_p^{km} : m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, $p \ge 1$, approximate the projection $\pi_\omega(\gamma)$ of γ, that is, $\pi_\omega(\gamma)$ coincides with the closure of the union $\cup_p \{\overline{v}_p^{km} : m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$.

We have $\varphi(\gamma_p) = \gamma_{p+1}$ and $\varphi(v_p^{km}) = v_{p+1}^{km}$ by the construction of γ_p and Lemma 4.15. For every dyadic number $q = m/2^r$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $r \ge 0$, the sequence $v(q) = \{v_p^{q_p} : p \ge r+1\}$, where $q_p = 2^{p-(r+1)} \cdot km$, lies in a common fiber $F = F(q)$ of π_ω. Thus φ maps this sequence into the sequence $v'(q) = \{v_{p+1}^{q'_p} : p \ge r+1\} \subset \varphi(F) = F(q/2)$, where $q'_p = 2^{p-r}(km/2)$, shrinking the mutual distances by the factor 1/2. Hence for the limit point $x = \lim v(q) \in \gamma$ of any limiting procedure giving $\gamma = \lim \gamma_p$ we have $\varphi(x) = \lim v'(q) \in \gamma$. The points of type $x = \lim v(q)$ with dyadic q are dense in γ, thus φ preserves γ, $\varphi(\gamma) = \gamma$. □

Lemma 5.8. *Let $\gamma = \gamma(o, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{S}) \subset X_\omega$ be a zigzag curve with base point $o \in X_\omega$, where $\mathcal{L} = \{l_1, \dots, l_k\}$, $\mathcal{S} = \{s_1, \dots, s_k\}$, $s_1 + \dots + s_k > 0$. Then for any $o' \in \gamma$ we have $\gamma(o', \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{S}) = \gamma$, i.e. any zigzag curve γ is independent of a choice of its base point o.*

Proof. We first consider the case $o' = \gamma(t_q)$ is a *dyadic* point with dyadic $q = m/2^r$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $r \ge 0$, and $t_q = (s_1 + \dots + s_k)q$, for the canonical parameterization $t \mapsto \gamma(t)$ of γ. Then o' is an accumulation point of the vertices $v_p = v_p^{q_p} = \gamma_p(t_p^{q_p})$, $p \ge r+1$, where $q_p = 2^{p-(r+1)} \cdot km$ and $t_p^{q_p} = (s_1 + \dots + s_k)(q_p/k)/2^{p-1} = t_q$, of approximating piecewise geodesic curves γ_p, $\gamma = \lim \gamma_p$ (recall that the sequence $v(q) = \{v_p^{q_p} : p \ge r+1\}$ lies in a fiber $F(q) \subset X_\omega$ of the projection π_ω, see the proof of Lemma 5.7). That is, $o' = \lim v_p$ for our limiting procedure.

By Lemma 4.16, there is a shift $\eta_p = \eta_{v_p o'} : X_\omega \to X_\omega$ with $\eta_p(v_p) = o'$ and $\lim \eta_p = \mathrm{id}$. Then $\eta_p(\gamma_p) = \gamma'_p$, where $\gamma'_p = \gamma_p(o', \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{S})$ is the piecewise geodesic curve with the base point o' approximating the zigzag curve $\gamma' = \gamma(o', \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{S})$, $\gamma' = \lim \gamma'_p$. Now for an arbitrary point $x \in \gamma$, $x = \gamma(t)$, we have $x = \lim \gamma_p(t)$. We put $x' = \gamma'(t) = \lim \gamma'_p(t)$. Then for an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$ we have $|x\gamma_p(t)| < \varepsilon$, $|x'\gamma'_p(t)| < \varepsilon$, and $|x\eta_p(x)| < \varepsilon$ for sufficiently large p. The last estimate holds since $\lim \eta_p = \mathrm{id}$. Using $|\eta_p(x)\gamma'_p(t)| = |\eta_p(x)\eta_p \circ \gamma_p(t)| = |x\gamma_p(t)|$, we obtain

$$|xx'| \le |x\eta_p(x)| + |\eta_p(x)\gamma'_p(t)| + |\gamma_p(t)x'| \le 3\varepsilon,$$

thus $x = x'$, that is, $\gamma = \gamma'$.

For a general case, the point $o' = \gamma(t)$ can be approximated by dyadic ones, $t_q \to t$. Then respective piecewise geodesic curves $\gamma'_{p,q}$ with dyadic base points $\gamma(t_q)$ approximate pointwise the curve γ'_p with the base point o' for every $p \ge 1$. Thus $\gamma' = \gamma$ also in that case. ☐

Proposition 5.9. *Every zigzag curve $\gamma \subset X_\omega$ is either a geodesic and hence a Ptolemy line, or it degenerates to a point. More precisely, if $\gamma = \gamma(o, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{S})$ for some base point $o \in X_\omega$ and collections $\mathcal{L} = \{l_1, \dots, l_k\}$ of oriented Ptolemy lines in X_ω, $\mathcal{S} = \{s_1, \dots, s_k\}$ of nonnegative numbers with $s_1 + \dots + s_k > 0$, then γ is degenerate if and only if $\sum_i \alpha_i s_i = 0$ for every oriented Ptolemy line $l \subset X_\omega$, where $\alpha_i = \mathrm{slope}(l_i, l)$, $i = 1, \dots, k$.*

Proof. We first show that for each o, $o' \in \gamma$ there is a midpoint $x \in \gamma$. By Lemma 5.8 and Lemma 5.7, homotheties $\varphi \in \Gamma_{\omega,o}$, $\varphi' \in \Gamma_{\omega,o'}$ with coefficient $\lambda = 1/2$ both preserve γ, $\varphi(\gamma) = \gamma = \varphi'(\gamma)$. Then for $x = \varphi(o') \in \gamma$ we have $|ox| = |oo'|/2$, and similarly for $x' = \varphi'(o) \in \gamma$ we have $|x'o'| = |oo'|/2$. Furthermore, the length of the segment of γ between o, x is half of the length of the segment between o, o', $L([ox]_\gamma) = L([oo']_\gamma)/2$. Thus $L([xo']_\gamma) = L([oo']_\gamma)/2$ by additivity of the length. Then $L([x'o']_\gamma) = L([oo']_\gamma)/2 = L([xo']_\gamma)$ and hence $x' = x$ by monotonicity of the length, and x is the required midpoint.

It follows that the segment of γ between its any two points is geodesic. Since γ is invariant under the nontrivial homothety $\varphi \in \Gamma_{\omega,o}$, we see that γ is a Ptolemy line unless it degenerates to a point.

If γ is degenerate, then any Busemann function $b : X_\omega \to \mathbb{R}$ is constant along γ. By Lemma 5.6, we have $\sum_i \alpha_i s_i = 0$ for every oriented Ptolemy line $l \subset X_\omega$, where $\alpha_i = \mathrm{slope}(l_i, l)$, $i = 1, \dots, k$. Conversely, if γ is nondegenerate, then $l = \gamma(\mathbb{R})$ is a Ptolemy line in X_ω by the first part of the proof, and the associated Busemann function $b : X_\omega \to \mathbb{R}$ is nonconstant along l. By Lemma 5.6, we have $b \circ \gamma(t) = \beta t$ for the canonical parameterization of γ with $\beta = \sum_i \alpha_i s_i / \sum_i s_i$, $\alpha_i = \mathrm{slope}(l_i, l)$, $i = 1, \dots, k$. Thus $\sum_i \alpha_i s_i \ne 0$. ☐

Remark 5.10. Assume γ_1 is a piecewise geodesic curve (with finite number of edges) between different fibers in X_ω, $\gamma_1(0) \in F$, $\gamma_1(s_1 + \cdots + s_k) \in F'$, $F \neq F'$, where $s_1, \dots, s_k$ are the lengths of its edges. Then the respective zigzag curve $\gamma = \lim \gamma_p$ is not degenerate. This follows from $\gamma(0) \in F$, $\gamma(s_1 + \cdots + s_k) \in F'$ by construction of the approximating sequence $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_p, \dots \to \gamma$.

Now, we compute a unit speed parameterization a zigzag curve $\gamma = \gamma(o, \mathcal{L}, S)$ assuming for simplicity that the collection $\mathcal{L}$ consists of mutually orthogonal Ptolemy lines.

Lemma 5.11. *Let $\gamma = \gamma(o, \mathcal{L}, S)$ be a zigzag curve in X_ω, where the collection $\mathcal{L} = \{l_1, \dots, l_k\}$ consists of mutually orthogonal oriented Ptolemy lines, $l_i \perp l_j$ for $i \neq j$. Then*

$$|o\gamma(t)| = \frac{\sqrt{\sum_i s_i^2}}{\sum_i s_i}|t|$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, where, we recall, $t \mapsto \gamma(t)$ is the canonical parameterization, and $S = \{s_1, \dots, s_k\}$. In particular, γ is nondegenerate.

Proof. By Proposition 5.9, $l = \gamma(\mathbb{R})$ is a Ptolemy line or it degenerates to a point, in particular, l is invariant for every pure homothety $\varphi : X_\omega \to X_\omega$ with $\varphi(o) = o$. From this one easily finds that there is $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ such that $|o\gamma(t)| = \lambda|t|$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Let b be the Busemann function of l normalized by $b(o) = 0$ and $b(t) < 0$ for $t > 0$ (if l is degenerate, then $b \equiv 0$ by definition). By Lemma 5.6, $-|o\gamma(t)| = b \circ \gamma(t) = \beta t$ with $\beta = \sum_i \alpha_i s_i / \sum_i s_i$ for all $t \geq 0$, where $\alpha_i = \operatorname{slope}(l_i, l)$, $i = 1, \dots, k$. Let β_i, $i = 1, \dots, k$, be the Busemann function of l_i normalized by $b_i(o) = 0$. Using symmetry of the slope (Lemma 4.26), $\alpha_i = \operatorname{slope}(l, l_i)$, we obtain $\beta_i t = b_i \circ \gamma(t) = \alpha_i \lambda t$ for all $t \geq 0$, where by Lemma 5.6 again,

$$\beta_i = \sum_j \operatorname{slope}(l_j, l_i) s_j / \sum_j s_j = -s_i / \sum_j s_j$$

for $i = 1, \dots, k$. Thus $\lambda\beta = -\frac{\sum_i s_i^2}{(\sum_i s_i)^2}$ and $\lambda^2 t = \lambda|o\gamma(t)| = t\frac{\sum_i s_i^2}{(\sum_i s_i)^2}$ for all $t \geq 0$. Therefore, $\lambda^2 = \sum_i s_i^2 / (\sum_i s_i)^2 > 0$. In particular, l is nondegenerate.
□

5.3 Orthogonalization procedure

As usual, we fix $\omega \in X$ and a metric d of the Möbius structure with infinitely remote point ω.

Proposition 5.12. *There is a finite collection $\mathcal{L}_\perp$ of mutually orthogonal Ptolemy lines such that for every $x \in X_\omega$ the fiber $F \subset X_\omega$ through x of the fibration $\pi_\omega : X_\omega \to B_\omega$ is represented as $F = \cap_{l \in \mathcal{L}_\perp} H_l$, where H_l is the horosphere of l through x.*

We first note that the cardinality of any collection of mutually orthogonal Ptolemy lines in X_ω is uniformly bounded above.

Lemma 5.13. *There is $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the cardinality of any collection $\mathcal{L}$ of mutually orthogonal Ptolemy lines in X_ω is bounded by N, $|\mathcal{L}| \le N$.*

Proof. We fix $x \in X_\omega$ and assume W.L.G. that all the lines of $\mathcal{L}$ pass through x. For every line $l \in \mathcal{L}$ we fix a point on l at the distance 1 from x. Let $A \subset X_\omega$ be the set of obtained points. By compactness of X and homogeneity of X_ω it suffices to show that the distance $|aa'| \ge 1$ for each distinct a, $a' \in A$. We have $a \in l$, $a' \in l'$ for some distinct lines l, $l' \in \mathcal{L}$. Since $\operatorname{slope}(l', l) = 0$, the lines l, l' are also orthogonal at the infinite remote point ω according Lemma 4.25 and Lemma 4.26. Thus in the space X_x with infinitely remote point x, the Ptolemy line $l' \setminus x \subset X_x$ lies in the horosphere H of the line $l \setminus x \subset X_x$ through ω. By duality, see Lemma 3.5, the point $x \in l$ is closest on the line l to any fixed point of $l' \subset X_\omega$, in particular $|a'a| \ge |a'x| = 1$. □

Next, we describe an orthogonalization procedure.

Lemma 5.14. *Let $l_1, \dots, l_k$ be a collection of mutually orthogonal Ptolemy lines in X_ω, $l_i \perp l_j$ for $i \ne j$. Given a Ptolemy line $l \subset X_\omega$, through any $o \in X_\omega$ there is a zigzag curve $\gamma = \gamma(o, \mathcal{L}, S)$, where $\mathcal{L} = \{l_1, \dots, l_k, l\}$ is an ordered collection of oriented Ptolemy lines, $S = \{s_1, \dots, s_{k+1}\}$ a collection of nonnegative numbers with $s_1 + \dots + s_{k+1} > 0$, which is orthogonal to $l_1, \dots, l_k$, $\gamma(\mathbb{R}) = l_{k+1} \perp l_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$. Furthermore, if $\sum_1^k \alpha_i^2 \ne 1$, where $\alpha_i = \operatorname{slope}(l, l_i)$, then γ is nondegenerate, and l_{k+1} is a Ptolemy line.*

Proof. We fix an orientation of l and for every $i = 1, \dots, k$ we choose an orientation of l_i so that $\alpha_i = \operatorname{slope}(l, l_i) \ge 0$, and put $\alpha := \sum_i \alpha_i \ge 0$. For any zigzag curve $\gamma = \gamma(o, \mathcal{L}, S)$ in X_ω, where $\mathcal{L} = \{l_1, \dots, l_k, l\}$, $S = \{s_1, \dots, s_{k+1}\}$, for $i = 1, \dots, k$ and for the Busemann function b_i of l_i with $b_i(o) = 0$, by Lemma 5.6 we have $b_i \circ \gamma(t) = \beta_i t$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, where $\beta_i = (-s_i + \alpha_i s_{k+1})/(s_1 + \dots + s_{k+1})$. Then putting $s_i = \frac{\alpha_i}{1+\alpha}$, $i = 1, \dots, k$, $s_{k+1} = \frac{1}{1+\alpha}$, we have $s_1 + \dots s_{k+1} = 1$ and $\beta_i = 0$ for every $i = 1, \dots, k$. Thus γ is orthogonal to $l_1, \dots, l_k$, and it gives us a required Ptolemy line $l_{k+1} = \gamma(\mathbb{R})$ unless γ degenerates.

Let b be the Busemann function of l with $b(o) = 0$. By Lemma 5.6 we have $b \circ \gamma(t) = \beta t$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\beta = \sum_1^k \operatorname{slope}(l_i, l) s_i - s_{k+1}$. Using the symmetry of the slope, we see that $\operatorname{slope}(l_i, l) = \operatorname{slope}(l, l_i) = \alpha_i$ and thus $\beta = (\sum_1^k \alpha_i^2 - 1)/(1 + \alpha)$. If $\sum_1^k \alpha_i^2 \ne 1$, then this shows that γ is nondegenerate. □

We say the a collection $\{l_1, \dots, l_k\}$ mutually orthogonal Ptolemy lines in X_ω is *maximal* if there is no Ptolemy line in X_ω which is orthogonal to every $l_1, \dots, l_k$. By Lemma 5.13, such a collection exists.

Lemma 5.15. *Let $\{l_1,\dots,l_k\}$ be a maximal collection of mutually orthogonal Ptolemy lines in X_ω. Then every Ptolemy line $l\subset X_\omega$ can be represented as a zigzag curve $\gamma(o,\mathcal{L},S)$ with $o\in l$ for a collection $\mathcal{L}=\{l_1,\dots,l_k\}$ of oriented Ptolemy lines and a collection $S=\{s_1,\dots,s_k\}$ of nonnegative numbers with $s_1+\dots+s_k>0$. Furthermore, we have $\sum_i\alpha_i^2=1$, where $\alpha_i=\operatorname{slope}(l,l_i)$, $i=1,\dots,k$.*

Proof. We apply the orthogonalization procedure described in Lemma 5.14 to the collection $\{l_1,\dots,l_k,l\}$ and construct a zigzag curve $\gamma_l=\gamma_l(o,\mathcal{L}_l,S_l)$, where $\mathcal{L}_l=\{l_1,\dots,l_k,l\}$ is the collection above of oriented Ptolemy lines, $S=\{s_1,\dots,s_{k+1}\}$ for an appropriate choice of entries described there. Since γ_l of orthogonal to $l_1,\dots,l_k$, we conclude from maximality of $\{l_1,\dots,l_k\}$ that γ_l degenerates and moreover $\sum_i\alpha_i^2=1$ by Lemma 5.14.

According to Remark 5.10, the ends of the piecewise geodesic curve $\gamma_{l,1}$ with $k+1$ edges $\sigma_1,\dots,\sigma_k,\sigma$ on Ptolemy lines Busemann parallel to $l_1,\dots,l_k,l$ respectively with $|\sigma_i|=s_i$, $i=1,\dots,k$, $|\sigma|=s_{k+1}$, lie in one and the same fiber ($\mathbb{K}$-line) $F\subset X_\omega$, that is, $o=\gamma_{l,1}(0)$ and $x=\gamma_{l,1}(s+s_{k+1})\in F$, where $s=s_1+\dots+s_k$. Thus the reduced piecewise geodesic curve $\gamma_1=\sigma_1\cup\dots\cup\sigma_k$ and the last edge σ of $\gamma_{l,1}$ have the ends in the same fibers F, F', where F' is the fiber through $\gamma_{l,1}(s)=\gamma_1(s)$.

Then the zigzag curve $\gamma=\gamma(o,\mathcal{L},S)$ is nondegenerate, where $\mathcal{L}=\{l_1,\dots,l_k\}$, $S=\{s_1,\dots,s_k\}$, and it gives a Ptolemy line $\gamma(\mathbb{R})\subset X_\omega$ though o which hits the fiber F'. Since the Ptolemy line l' containing the segment σ is Busemann parallel to l and intersects the fibers F, F', the line $\gamma(\mathbb{R})$ is Busemann parallel to l, see Lemma 5.3. Hence $\gamma(\mathbb{R})=l$ by uniqueness, see Lemma 4.11. ☐

Lemma 5.16. *Let $\mathcal{L}=\{l_1,\dots,l_k\}$ be a maximal collection of mutually orthogonal oriented Ptolemy lines in X_ω, $S=\{s_1,\dots,s_k\}$ a collection of nonnegative numbers with $s_1+\dots+s_k=1$, b, $b_i:X_\omega\to\mathbb{R}$ the Busemann functions of the zigzag curve $\gamma=\gamma(o,\mathcal{L},S)$, Ptolemy line l_i with $b(o)=0=b_i(o)$ respectively, $i=1,\dots,k$. Then $\lambda b=\sum_i s_ib_i$, where $\lambda=\sqrt{\sum_i s_i^2}$.*

Proof. We denote by $h=\lambda b-\sum_i s_ib_i$ the function $X_\omega\to\mathbb{R}$ with $h(o)=0$, which is an affine function on every Ptolemy line on X_ω. First, we check that h vanishes along $l_1,\dots,l_k$ (assuming that these lines pass through o). Indeed, $\sum_i s_ib_i(z)=s_jb_j(z)$ for every $z\in l_j$ because $l_i\perp l_j$ for $i\neq j$. Since b is a Busemann function of l, it is affine on l_j with the coefficient $\operatorname{slope}(l_j,l)$, $b(z)=-\operatorname{slope}(l_j,l)b_j(z)$. Using symmetry of the slope, we obtain

$$\operatorname{slope}(l_j,l)=\operatorname{slope}(l,l_j)=\frac{1}{\lambda}\sum_i\operatorname{slope}(l_i,l_j)s_i=-s_j/\lambda,$$

see the proof of Lemma 5.11. Thus $\lambda b(z)=s_jb_j(z)$, and $h(z)=0$.

Next, we show that if h is constant of a Ptolemy line l, then it is constant on every Ptolemy line l' that is Busemann parallel to l (with maybe a different value). By Lemma 4.12 we know that l, l' diverge at most sublinearly, and also that h is affine on l'. Thus $h|l'$ cannot be nonconstant because h is a Lipschitz function on X_ω.

It follows that h vanishes on every piecewise geodesic curve with origin o and with edges Busemann parallel to the lines $l_1, \dots, l_k$. Hence, h vanishes along any zigzag curve of type $\gamma(o, \mathcal{L}, S)$. Using Lemma 5.15, we conclude that h is constant along any Ptolemy line in X_ω.

By Proposition 4.27, every Ptolemy circle possesses a unique tangent line, which is certainly a Ptolemy line, at every point. Using standard approximation arguments, we see that h is constant along any Ptolemy circle in X_ω. By the existence property (E), every $x \in X_\omega$ is connected with o by a Ptolemy circle. Thus $h(x) = 0$ and $\lambda b = \sum_i b_i$. ☐

Proof of Proposition 5.12. Let $\mathcal{L}_\perp = \{l_1, \dots, l_k\}$ be a maximal collection of mutually orthogonal Ptolemy lines in X_ω. This means that we actually consider respective foliations of X_ω by Busemann parallel Ptolemy lines. For any $x \in X_\omega$, for the fiber F and for the respective lines from $\mathcal{L}_\perp$ through x, we have by definition $F \subset \cap_j H_j$, where $H_j \subset X_\omega$ is the horosphere of l_j through x. It follows from Lemma 5.15 and Lemma 5.16 that any Busemann function $b : X_\omega \to \mathbb{R}$ with $b(x) = 0$ is a linear combination of the Busemann functions $b_1, \dots, b_k$ of the lines $l_1, \dots, l_k$ which vanish at x. Thus b vanishes on $\cap_j H_j$, and therefore $F = \cap_j H_j$. ☐

Proof the property ($1_\mathbb{K}$). Given a fiber ($\mathbb{K}$-line) $F \subset X_\omega$ and $x \in X_\omega \setminus F$, we show that there is a Ptolemy line $l \subset X_\omega$ through x that hits F. Uniqueness of l is proved at the end of sect. 5.

Using Proposition 5.12, we represent $F = \cap_{l \in \mathcal{L}_\perp} H_l$, where $\mathcal{L}_\perp$ is a finite collection of mutually orthogonal Ptolemy lines, H_l a horosphere of l. Choosing appropriate orientations of the members of $\mathcal{L}_\perp$, we can assume that $H_l = b_l^{-1}(0)$ and $b_l(x) \geq 0$ for every $l \in \mathcal{L}_\perp$, where $b_l : X_\omega \to \mathbb{R}$ is a Busemann function of l. Moving from x in an appropriate direction along a Ptolemy line, which is Busemann parallel to $l \in \mathcal{L}_\perp$ with $b_l(x) > 0$, we reduce the value of b_l to zero keeping up every other Busemann function $b_{l'}$, $l' \in \mathcal{L}_\perp$, constant. Repeating this procedure, we connect x with F by a piecewise geodesic curve with at most $|\mathcal{L}_\perp|$ edges. Now, the zigzag construction produces a required Ptolemy line through x that hits F. ☐

5.4 Properties of the base B_ω

We fix $\omega \in X$ and a metric d from the Möbius structure for which ω is infinitely remote. We also use notation $|xy| = d(x, y)$ for the distance between x, $y \in X_\omega$.

Lemma 5.17. *Let $\varphi : X_\omega \to X_\omega$ be a pure homothety with $\varphi(o) = o$, $o \in X_\omega$. Then φ preserves the fiber ($\mathbb{K}$-line) F through o, $\varphi(F) = F$. In particular, every shift $\eta_{xx'} : X_\omega \to X_\omega$ with x, $x' \in F$ preserves F.*

Proof. As in Lemma 4.15, we have $\lambda b \circ \varphi = b$ for any Busemann function $b : X_\omega \to \mathbb{R}$ with $b(o) = 0$, where λ is the coefficient of the homothety φ. Since $b(x) = 0$ for every $x \in F$, we see that $b \circ \varphi(x) = 0$, and thus $\varphi(x) \in F$, that is, $\varphi(F) = F$. The assertion about a shift $\eta_{xx'}$ follows now from the definition of $\eta_{xx'}$. ☐

Lemma 5.18. *Let $\eta : X_\omega \to X_\omega$ be a shift that preserves a $\mathbb{K}$-line $F \subset X_\omega$. Then η preserves any other $\mathbb{K}$-line $F' \subset X_\omega$.*

Proof. Let $b : X_\omega \to \mathbb{R}$ be a Busemann function associated with an (oriented) Ptolemy line $l \subset X_\omega$. Then for any isometry $\eta : X_\omega \to X_\omega$ the function $b \circ \eta$ is a Busemann function associated with Ptolemy line $\eta^{-1}(l)$. Thus for an arbitrary shift $\eta : X_\omega \to X_\omega$, we have $b \circ \eta = b + c_b$, where $c_b \in \mathbb{R}$ is a constant depending on b, because the line $\eta^{-1}(l)$ is Busemann parallel to l, hence the function $b \circ \eta$ is also a Busemann function of l, and the functions b, $b \circ \eta$ differ by a constant.

In our case, when η preserves a $\mathbb{K}$-line, this constant is zero, $c_b = 0$, thus $b \circ \eta = b$ for any Busemann function $b : X_\omega \to X_\omega$. Therefore, η preserves any $\mathbb{K}$-line. ☐

We define
$$d(F, F') = \inf\{|xx'| : x \in F,\ x' \in F'\}$$
for $\mathbb{K}$-lines F, $F' \subset X_\omega$.

Lemma 5.19. *Given $\mathbb{K}$-lines F, $F' \subset X_\omega$, and $x \in F$, there is $x' \in F'$ such that $d(F, F') = |xx'|$.*

Proof. Let $x_i \in F$, $x_i' \in F'$ be sequences with $|x_i x_i'| \to d(F, F')$. Using Lemma 5.18, we can assume that $x_i = x$ for all i. Then the sequence x_i' is bounded, and by compactness of X it subconverges to $x' \in F'$ with $|xx'| = d(F, F')$. ☐

Lemma 5.20. *For any $\mathbb{K}$-lines F, $F' \subset X_\omega$, we have $d(F, F') = |xx'|$, where $x = l \cap F$, $x' = l \cap F'$, and l is any Ptolemy line in X_ω that meets both F, F'.*

Proof. By Lemma 5.3, the distance $|xx'|$ is independent of the choice of l. By definition $|xx'| \geq d(F, F')$. By Lemma 5.19, there is $x'' \in F'$ with $|xx''| = d(F, F')$. The horosphere H of (a Busemann function associated with) l through x' contains F', in particular, $x'' \in H$. Then $|xx''| \geq |xx'|$ and hence, $d(F, F') = |xx'|$. ☐

Let $\pi_\omega : X_\omega \to B_\omega$ be the canonical fibration, see sect. 5. For $b \in B_\omega$, we denote with $F_b = \pi_\omega^{-1}(b)$ the $\mathbb{K}$-line over b. For b, $b' \in B_\omega$ we put $|bb'| := |xx'|$, where $x = l \cap F_b$, $x' = l \cap F_{b'}$, and $l \subset X_\omega$ is any Ptolemy line that meets both F_b and $F_{b'}$. By property $(1_{\mathbb{K}})$, such a line l exists, by Lemma 5.20, the number $|bb'|$ is well defined, and the function $(b, b') \mapsto |bb'|$ is a metric on B_ω. This metric is said to be *canonical*.

Proposition 5.21. *The canonical projection $\pi_\omega : X_\omega \to B_\omega$ is a 1-Lipschitz submetry with respect to the canonical metric on B_ω. Furthermore, B_ω is a geodesic metric space with the property that through any two distinct points b, $b' \in B_\omega$ there is a unique geodesic line in B_ω.*

Proof. It follows from Lemma 5.20 that the map π_ω is 1-Lipschitz. Let $D = D_r(o)$ be the metric ball in X_ω of radius $r > 0$ centered at a point $o \in X_\omega$, $D' \subset B_\omega$ the metric ball of the same radius r centered at $\pi_\omega(o)$. The inclusion $D' \subset \pi_\omega(D)$ follows from the definition of the metric of B_ω. The opposite inclusion $D' \supset \pi_\omega(D)$ holds because π_ω is 1-Lipschitz. Thus $\pi_\omega : X_\omega \to B_\omega$ is a 1-Lipschitz submetry.

Furthermore, by Lemma 5.20, the projection π_ω restricted to every Ptolemy line in X_ω is isometric, and thus by property $(1_{\mathbb{K}})$, the base B_ω is a geodesic metric space. Moreover, it follows from $(1_{\mathbb{K}})$ that through any two distinct points b, $b' \in B_\omega$ there is a unique geodesic line in B_ω. ☐

Corollary 5.22. *For any homothety $\varphi : X_\omega \to X_\omega$, the induced map $\pi_*(\varphi) : B_\omega \to B_\omega$ is a homothety with the same dilatation coefficient.* ☐

Proposition 5.23. *The base B_ω is isometric to an Euclidean $\mathbb{R}^k$ for some $k \le \dim X$.*

Proof. Any Busemann function $b : X_\omega \to \mathbb{R}$ is affine on Ptolemy lines by Proposition 4.20. By definition, b is constant on the fibers of π_ω, thus it determines a function $\overline{b} : B_\omega \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\overline{b} \circ \pi_\omega = b$. This function is affine on geodesic lines in B_ω because every geodesic line $\overline{l} \subset B_\omega$ is of the form $\overline{l} = \pi_\omega(l)$ for some Ptolemy line $l \subset X_\omega$, and each unit speed parameterization $c : \mathbb{R} \to X_\omega$ of l induces the unit speed parameterization $\overline{c} = \pi_\omega \circ c$ of $\overline{l}$. Then $\overline{b} \circ \overline{c} = \overline{b} \circ \pi_\omega \circ c = b \circ c$ is an affine function on $\mathbb{R}$.

We fix a base point $o \in X_\omega$ and a maximal collection $\mathcal{L} = \{l_1, \dots, l_k\}$ of mutually orthogonal Ptolemy lines of X_ω through o. Let $b_1, \dots, b_k$ be Busemann functions of the lines $l_1, \dots, l_k$ respectively which vanish at o. We denote with $\overline{l}_i$ the projection of l_i to B_ω, and with $\overline{b}_i : B_\omega \to \mathbb{R}$ the function corresponding to b_i, $i = 1, \dots, k$. By Proposition 5.12, the functions $b_1, \dots, b_k$ separates fibers in X_ω. Thus the functions $\overline{b}_1, \dots, \overline{b}_k$ separates points of B_ω, that is, for each z, $z' \in B_\omega$ there is i with $\overline{b}_i(z) \ne \overline{b}_i(z')$. Therefore, the continuous map $h : B_\omega \to \mathbb{R}^k$, $h(z) = (\overline{b}_1(z), \dots, \overline{b}_k(z))$ is injective. This map is surjective by the same argument as in the proof of the property $(1_{\mathbb{K}})$, and it introduces coordinates on B_ω. We compute the distance on B_ω in these coordinates. Applying a shift if necessary, see Corollary 5.22, we consider W.L.G. the distance $|\overline{o}\,\overline{z}|$ for every $z \in X_\omega$, where $\overline{z} = \pi_\omega(z)$. By $(1_{\mathbb{K}})$ there is a unique Ptolemy line $l \subset X_\omega$ through o that hits the fiber F_z through z. It follows from our definitions that for $\alpha_i = \operatorname{slope}(l, l_i)$ we have $\overline{b}_i(\overline{z}) = \alpha_i|\overline{o}\,\overline{z}|$, $i = 1, \dots, k$. By Lemma 5.15, $\sum_i \alpha_i^2 = 1$, thus $|\overline{o}\,\overline{z}|^2 = \sum_i \overline{b}_i^2(\overline{z})$. This shows that B_ω is isometric to an Euclidean $\mathbb{R}^k$. We have $k \le \dim X$, because $\pi_\omega : X_\omega \to B_\omega$ is a 1-Lipschitz submetry. ☐

6 Extension of Möbius automorphisms of circles

6.1 Distance and arclength parameterizations of a circle

In this section, we establish existence of a distance parameterization in a Ptolemy circle and study its relationship with an arclength parameterization. A distance parameterization is convenient to obtain an important estimate (9) below. On the other hand, in an application of this estimate we use computation of slops, which are most convenient to do in an arclength parameterization.

In what follows, we consider a (bounded) Ptolemy circle $\sigma \subset X_\omega$ and points x, $y \in \sigma$ with $a := |xy| > 0$.

Lemma 6.1. *Let σ_+, σ_- be the two components of $\sigma \setminus \{x, y\}$. Then for all $0 < t < a$ there exists exactly one point $x_t^+ \in \sigma_+$ (resp. $x_t^- \in \sigma_-$) with $|xx_t^+| = |xx_t^-| = t$. Therefore $\gamma : (-a, a) \to \sigma$ with $\gamma(0) = x$, $\gamma(t) = x_t^+$ for $t > 0$, and $\gamma(t) = x_{-t}^-$ for $t < 0$ parameterizes a neighborhood of x in σ.*

Proof. The existence of a point $x_t^+ \in \sigma_+$ with $|xx_t^+| = t$ is clear by continuity. To prove uniqueness consider points $x < p < q < y$ in this order on σ_+ and assume $b := |xp| < a = |xy|$. Let $c := |xq|$, $\lambda_a := |pq|$, $\lambda_b := |qy|$, $\lambda_c := |py|$.

The Ptolemy equality and the triangle inequality give

$$a\lambda_a + b\lambda_b = c\lambda_c \le c(\lambda_a + \lambda_b).$$

Therefore

$$c \ge \frac{\lambda_a}{\lambda_a + \lambda_b}a + \frac{\lambda_b}{\lambda_a + \lambda_b}b > b$$

where the last equality holds, since $a > b$. In particular $|xp| \neq |xq|$. ☐

In what follows, we use the parameterization $\gamma : (-a, a) \to \sigma$ of a neighborhood of $x \in \sigma$, and call it a *distance* parameterization.

Lemma 6.2. *The function $g(t) := |\gamma(t)y|$ is concave and C^1-smooth on $(-a, a)$.*

Proof. For $-a \le t_1 < t_2 \le a$ the Ptolemy equality for the points $x, \gamma(t_1), \gamma(t_2), y$ implies

$$t_2 g(t_1) - t_1 g(t_2) = a|\gamma(t_1)\gamma(t_2)|.$$

Thus for $-a \le t_1 < t_2 < t_3 \le a$ the triangle inequality $|\gamma(t_1)\gamma(t_3)| \le |\gamma(t_1)\gamma(t_2)| + |\gamma(t_2)\gamma(t_3)|$ implies

$$t_3 g(t_2) - t_2 g(t_3) + t_2 g(t_1) - t_1 g(t_2) \ \ge\ t_3 g(t_1) - t_1 g(t_3)$$

which is equivalent to

$$\frac{g(t_2) - g(t_1)}{t_2 - t_1} \ge \frac{g(t_3) - g(t_2)}{t_3 - t_2}.$$

Therefore, g is concave. It follows, in particular, that g has the left $g'_-(t)$ and the right derivative $g'_+(t)$ at every $t \in (-a, a)$, $g'_-(t) \ge g'_+(t)$ and these derivatives are nonincreasing, $g'_+(t) \ge g'_-(t')$ for $t < t'$. Furthermore, $g'_-(t) \to g'_-(t')$ as $t \nearrow t'$, $g'_+(t') \to g'_+(t)$ as $t' \searrow t$. These are standard well known facts about concave functions, see e.g. [H-UL].

We fix $t_0 \in (-a, a)$ and consider the Ptolemy line $l \subset X_\omega$ tangent to σ at $x_0 = \gamma(t_0)$. We assume that l is oriented and that its orientation is compatible with the orientation of σ given by the distance parameterization γ. Let $c : \mathbb{R} \to X_\omega$ be the unit speed parameterization of l compatible with the orientation, $c(0) = x_0$. By Corollary 4.28, $y \notin l$. By Lemma 4.19, the function $\widetilde{g}(s) = |c(s)y|$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$, is C^1-smooth. If $t_0 = 0$, then $g'_-(t_0) = \frac{d\widetilde{g}}{ds}(0) = g'_+(t_0)$, because l is tangent to σ at $x_0 = x$, and thus g is differentiable at $t_0 = 0$.

Consider now the case $t_0 \ne 0$. Then again by Corollary 4.28, $x \notin l$, thus the function $\widetilde{f}(s) = |c(s)x|$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$, is C^1-smooth. We show that $\frac{d\widetilde{f}}{ds}(0) \ne 0$. We suppose W.L.G. that $t_0 > 0$. Then for all $t_1 \in (0, t_0)$ sufficiently close to t_0 we have $\frac{d\widetilde{h}}{ds}(0) \ne 0$, where $\widetilde{h}(s) = |x_1 c(s)|$, $x_1 = \gamma(t_1)$. We fix such a point t_1, and using Lemma 6.1 consider the distance parameterization of a neighborhood of $x_0 = \gamma(t_0)$ in σ, $|z(\tau)x_1| = \tau$ for all $z \in \sigma$ sufficiently close to x_0. Then $t = t(\tau)$ and the function $f(\tau) = |x\gamma \circ t(\tau)|$ is concave by the first part of the proof. Since the functions $\widetilde{f}(s) = |xc(s)|$, $\widetilde{h}(s) = |x_1 c(s)|$ are C^1-smooth, and $\frac{d\widetilde{h}}{ds}(0) \ne 0$, the function $\widetilde{f}(\tau) = \widetilde{f} \circ \widetilde{h}^{-1}(\tau)$ is C^1-smooth in a neighborhood of $\tau_0 = |x_1 x_0|$ by the inverse function theorem. Therefore, $f'_-(\tau_0) = \frac{d\widetilde{f}}{ds}(0) = f'_+(\tau_0)$ because l is tangent to σ at x_0. The assumption $\frac{d\widetilde{f}}{ds}(0) = 0$ implies $\frac{df}{d\tau}(\tau_0) = 0$. By concavity, τ_0 is a maximum point of the function $f(\tau)$, and there are different τ, τ' arbitrarily close to τ_0 with $f(\tau) = f(\tau')$. This contradicts properties of the parameterization $\gamma(\tau) = \gamma \circ t(\tau)$. Hence, $\frac{d\widetilde{f}}{ds}(0) \ne 0$.

Again, by the inverse function theorem, the function $\widetilde{g}(t) = \widetilde{g} \circ \widetilde{f}^{-1}(t)$ is C^1-smooth in a neighborhood of t_0. However, $\frac{d\widetilde{g}}{dt}(t_0)$ coincides with the left as well as with the right derivative of the function g at t_0 because l

is tangent to σ at x_0. Therefore, g is differentiable at t_0. It follows from continuity properties of one-sided derivatives of concave functions that the derivative g' is continuous, i.e., g is C^1-smooth. $\square$

Lemma 6.3. *Every Ptolemy circle $\sigma \subset X_\omega$ is rectifiable and*

$$L(xx') = |xx'| + o(|xx'|^2)$$

as $x' \to x$ in σ, where $L(xx')$ is the length of the (smallest) arc $xx' \subset \sigma$.

Proof. We fix $y \in \sigma$, $y \neq x$, and introduce a distance parameterization $\gamma : (-a, a) \to \sigma$ of a neighborhood of $x = \gamma(0)$ in σ. Rescaling the metric of X_ω we assume that $a = |xy| = 1$ for simplicity of computations. We use notation $d(z, z') = |zz'|$ for the distance in X_ω, and $|zz'|_y$ for the distance in X_y, assuming that

$$|zz'|_y = \frac{|zz'|}{|zy||z'y|}$$

is the metric inversion of the metric d.

Recall that $\sigma \setminus y$ is a Ptolemy line in X_y. Thus for a given $r \in (0, 1)$, and for every partition $0 = t_0 \leq \cdots \leq t_n = r$ we have

$$\sum_i |\gamma(t_i)\gamma(t_{i+1})| \leq \Lambda |xx_r|_y,$$

where $\Lambda = \max\{g^2(t) : 0 \leq t \leq r\}$, $g(t) = |\gamma(t)y|$, $x_r = \gamma(r)$. Hence σ is rectifiable and $L(xx_r) \leq \Lambda |xx_r|_y$. Moreover, using $|\gamma(t_i)\gamma(t_{i+1})| = g(t_i)g(t_{i+1})|\gamma(t_i)\gamma(t_{i+1})|_y$, we actually have

$$L(r) = L(xx_r) = \int_0^{r/g(r)} g^2(s) ds,$$

where $g(s) = g \circ t(s)$ with $s = \frac{t}{g(0)g(t)} = t/g(t)$. Recall that the function $g(t)$ is C^1-smooth by Lemma 6.2. Then $ds = \frac{g(t) - tg'(t)}{g^2(t)} dt$ and $\frac{dt}{ds} = \frac{g^2(t)}{g(t) - tg'(t)}$, in particular, $\frac{dt}{ds}(0) = 1$.

Using developments $g(s) = 1 + \frac{dg}{ds}(0)s + o(s)$, $g^2(s) = 1 + 2\frac{dg}{ds}(0)s + o(s)$, we obtain

$$L(r) = \frac{r}{g(r)} + \frac{dg}{ds}(0)\frac{r^2}{g^2(r)} + o(r^2),$$

where $\frac{dg}{ds}(0) = g'(0)\frac{dt}{ds}(0) = g'(0)$. Since $g(r) = 1 + g'(0)r + o(r)$, $g^2(r) = 1 + 2g'(0)r + o(r)$, we finally have

$$L(r) = r(1 - g'(0)r) + g'(0)r^2(1 - 2g'(0)r) + o(r^2) = r + o(r^2).$$

Hence $L(xx') = |xx'| + o(|xx'|^2)$ as $x' \to x$ in σ. $\square$

6.2 Slope of Ptolemy circles

If oriented Ptolemy circles σ, $\sigma' \subset X$ are disjoint, then their slope is not determined. Assume now that $\omega \in \sigma \cap \sigma'$. Then $\operatorname{slope}_\omega(\sigma, \sigma) \in [-1, 1]$ is defined as the slope of oriented Ptolemy lines $\sigma \setminus x$, $\sigma' \setminus x \subset X_\omega$. This is well defined and symmetric, $\operatorname{slope}_\omega(\sigma, \sigma') = \operatorname{slope}_\omega(\sigma', \sigma)$, by Lemma 4.26. In the case $\operatorname{slope}_\omega(\sigma, \sigma') = \pm 1$, the Ptolemy circles are tangent to each other at ω, having compatible (-1) or opposite $(+1)$ orientations. This means that in any space $X_{\omega'}$ with $\omega' \neq \omega$, the Ptolemy circles $\sigma \setminus \omega'$, $\sigma' \setminus \omega' \subset X_\omega$ have a common tangent line at ω.

More generally, let l, $l' \subset X_{\omega'}$ be the tangent lines to σ, σ' respectively at ω, oriented according to the orientations of σ, σ'. Then $\operatorname{slope}_\omega(\sigma, \sigma') = \operatorname{slope}(l, l')$ because in the space X_ω the Ptolemy line $\sigma \setminus \omega$ is Busemann parallel to $l \setminus \omega$, and $\sigma' \setminus \omega$ is Busemann parallel to $l' \setminus \omega$, and we can apply Lemma 4.22.

Furthermore, if σ, σ' have two distinct common points, ω, $\omega' \in \sigma \cap \sigma'$, then $\operatorname{slope}_\omega(\sigma, \sigma') = \operatorname{slope}_{\omega'}(\sigma, \sigma')$. This follows from Lemma 4.25.

Lemma 6.4. *Assume that a (bounded) oriented Ptolemy circle $\sigma \subset X_\omega$ has two different points in common with a Ptolemy line $l \subset X_\omega$, x, $y \in \sigma \cap l$, and the line l is oriented from y to x. Let $\sigma_+ \subset \sigma$ be the arc of σ from x to y chosen according to the orientation of σ. Let x_t, y_t be the distance parameterizations of neighborhoods of x, y respectively such that x_t, $y_t \in \sigma_+$ for $t > 0$, $|x_t x| = t = |y_t y|$. Furthermore, let $b^\pm : X_\omega \to \mathbb{R}$ be the opposite Busemann functions of l normalized by $b^+(x) = 0$, $b^+(y) = -a$, $b^-(x) = -a$, $b^-(y) = 0$, where $a = |xy|$. Then*

$$b^+(x_t) + b^-(y_t) \leq 2\alpha t - \frac{1}{a}(1 - \alpha^2) t^2 \tag{9}$$

for all $t > 0$ in the domain of the parameterizations, where $\alpha = \operatorname{slope}_x(\sigma, l) = \operatorname{slope}_y(\sigma, l)$.

Proof. By Lemma 6.2 the functions $g(t) = |x_t y|$, $f(t) = |y_t x|$ are C^1-smooth and concave. Furthermore, their first derivatives at 0, $g'(0)$ and $f'(0)$, coincide with first derivatives of the distance functions to the respective tangent lines, $g'(0) = \widetilde{g}'(0)$ and $f'(0) = \widetilde{f}'(0)$, where $\widetilde{g}(s) = |c_x(s) y|$, $\widetilde{f}(s) = |c_y(s) x|$, and the unit speed parameterizations of the tangent lines l_x to σ at x and l_y to σ at y are chosen compatible with the distance parameterizations x_t, y_t so that $c_x(0) = x$, $c_y(0) = y$.

Using that l is oriented from y to x, and σ_+ from x to y and applying equation (8), we find $\widetilde{g}'(0) = \operatorname{slope}(l_x, l)$. By the same equation (8) we have $\widetilde{f}'(0) = \operatorname{slope}(l_y, -l) = -\operatorname{slope}(l_y, l)$. The sign -1 appears because the orientation of l from x to y is opposite to the chosen orientation. Note that the orientation of l_x is compatible with that of σ, while the orientation of l_y is opposite to that of σ. Therefore, $g'(0) = \alpha = \operatorname{slope}(\sigma, l)$ and $f'(0) = -\operatorname{slope}(l_y, l) = \operatorname{slope}(\sigma, l) = \alpha$.

Using concavity we obtain $g(t) \leq g(0) + g'(0)t = a + \alpha t$ and similarly $f(t) \leq a + \alpha t$ for all $0 \leq t < a$. The Ptolemy equality applied to the ordered quadruple $(x, x_t, y_t, y) \subset \sigma$ gives $g(t)f(t) = t^2 + a|x_t y_t|$, hence

$$|x_t y_t| \leq a + 2\alpha t - \frac{1}{a}(1 - \alpha^2)t^2.$$

Let H_t^+, H_t^- be the horospheres of b^+, b^- through x_t, y_t respectively, $x_t \in H_t^+$, $y_t \in H_t^-$. Since X is Busemann flat, see Lemma 4.19, H_t^+ is also a horosphere of b^- and H_t^- is a horosphere of b^+. Thus $|x_t y_t| \geq \xi$, where ξ is the distance between the points $l \cap H_t^+$, $l \cap H_t^-$, $\xi = a + b^+(x_t) + b^-(y_t)$. Therefore,

$$b^+(x_t) + b^-(y_t) \leq 2\alpha t - \frac{1}{a}(1 - \alpha^2)t^2.$$

□

6.3 Extension property

Surprisingly, the proof of the extension property (E_2) is based on study of second order properties of Ptolemy circles like Lemma 6.4.

Proposition 6.5. *Any compact Ptolemy space with properties (E) and (I) possesses the extension property (E_2), see sect. 4.1.*

Lemma 6.6. *Any Möbius automorphism of any Ptolemy circle $\sigma \subset X$ preserving orientations extends to a Möbius automorphism of X.*

Proof. We represent σ as the boundary at infinity of the real hyperbolic plane, $\sigma = \partial_\infty \mathrm{H}^2$. Then the group G of preserving orientations Möbius automorphisms of σ is identified with the group of preserving orientations isometries of H^2. The last is generated by central symmetries, and any central symmetry of H^2 induces an s-inversion of σ. Thus G is generated by s-inversions of σ.

Now, any s-inversion of σ can be obtained as follows. Take distinct ω, $\omega' \in \sigma$ and a metric sphere $S \subset X$ between ω, ω'. Then an s-inversion $\varphi = \varphi_{\omega,\omega',S} : X \to X$ restricts to an s-inversion of σ. Thus any Möbius automorphism of σ from G extends to a Möbius automorphism of X. □

The group $\mathrm{Aut}\, X$ of Möbius automorphisms of X is noncompact: a sequence of homotheties of X_ω with coefficients $\lambda_i \to \infty$ and with the same fixed point has no converging subsequences. However, we have the following standard compactness result.

Lemma 6.7. *Assume that for a nondegenerate triple $T = (x, y, z) \subset X$ and for a sequence $\varphi_i \in \mathrm{Aut}\, X$ the sequence $T_i = \varphi_i(T)$ converges to a nondegenerate triple $T' = (x', y', z') \subset X$. Then there exists $\varphi \in \mathrm{Aut}\, X$ with $\varphi(T) = T'$.*

Proof. For every $u \in X \setminus T$ the quadruple $Q = (T, u)$ is nondegenerate in the sense that its cross-ratio triple $\mathrm{crt}(Q) = (a : b : c)$ has no zero entry. Since $\mathrm{crt}(\varphi_i(Q)) = \mathrm{crt}(Q)$, any accumulation point u' of the sequence $u_i = \varphi_i(u)$ is not in T'. Thus for the nondegenerate triple $S = (x, y, u)$ any sublimit $S' = (x', y', u')$ of the sequence $S_i = \varphi(S)$ is nondegenerate. Applying the same argument to any $v \in X \setminus S$, we observe that the sequences u_i, $v_i = \varphi_i(v)$ have no common accumulation point. This shows that any limiting map φ of the sequence φ_i, obtained e.g. by taking a nonprincipal ultra-filter limit, is injective, and hence it is a Möbius automorphism of X with $\varphi(T) = T'$. ☐

Proposition 6.8. *The group of Möbius automorphisms of X acts transitively on the set of the oriented Ptolemy circles in X. In particular, for any oriented circle $\sigma \subset X$ there is a Möbius automorphism $\varphi : X \to X$ such that $\varphi(\sigma) = \sigma$ and φ reverses the orientation of σ.*

Proof. We fix an oriented Ptolemy circle $\sigma \subset X$ and distinct points $x, y \in \sigma$. For an oriented circle $\sigma_0 \subset X$ we denote with A the set of all the circles $\varphi(\sigma_0)$, $\varphi \in \mathrm{Aut}\, X$, with the induced orientation which pass also through x and y. Let

$$\alpha = \inf\{\mathrm{slope}(\sigma, \sigma') : \sigma' \in A\}.$$

By two-point homogeneity property, see Proposition 4.17, $A \neq \emptyset$. Applying Lemma 6.7 we find $\sigma' \in A$ with $\mathrm{slope}(\sigma, \sigma') = \alpha$. Next, we show that $\alpha < 1$. Applying a shift we first make σ_0 disjoint with σ. Taking a point $\omega \in \sigma_0$ as infinitely remote, we consider all Ptolemy lines in X_ω which are Busemann parallel to $\sigma_0 \setminus \omega$ and intersect σ. Since σ is bounded in X_ω, at least one of them, l, is not tangent to σ. Then $\mathrm{slope}(\sigma, l) < 1$. This l can be obtained from $\sigma_0 \setminus \omega$ by a shift. Applying another shift to l in the space $X_{\omega'}$ with $\omega' \in \sigma \cap l$ (this does not change the slope), we can assume that $x \in \sigma \cap l$. Repeating this in the space X_x, we find $\widetilde{\sigma} \in A$ with $\mathrm{slope}(\sigma, \widetilde{\sigma}) < 1$. Thus $\alpha < 1$.

We show that $\alpha = -1$. Then $\sigma = \varphi(\sigma_0)$ as oriented Ptolemy circles for some $\varphi \in \mathrm{Aut}\, X$, which would complete the proof.

Assume that $\alpha > -1$. The points x, y subdivide each of the circles σ, σ' into two arcs. We choose an arc $\sigma_+ \subset \sigma$ leading from x to y according to the orientation of σ, and an arc $\sigma'_+ \subset \sigma'$ leading from y to x according to the orientation of σ'. Taking a point $\omega \in \sigma'$ inside of the opposite to σ'_+ arc, we see that $l = \sigma' \setminus \omega$ is a Ptolemy line in the space X_ω oriented from y to x.

Given $x' \in \sigma_+$, for every Ptolemy line $l_{x'} \subset X_\omega$ through x', which is Busemann parallel to l and is oriented as l, we have $\mathrm{slope}(\sigma, l_{x'}) \geq \alpha$ by the definition of α, because by the same argument as above $l_{x'}$ can be put in the set A without changing the slope.

Let $b^\pm : X_\omega \to \mathbb{R}$ be the opposite Busemann functions of l normalized by $b^+(x) = 0$, $b^+(y) = -a$, $b^-(x) = -a$, $b^-(y) = 0$, where $a = |xy|$. Using

Lemma 6.3 we consider for a sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ arclength parameterizations c_x, $c_y : (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \to \sigma$ with $c_x(0) = x$, $c_y(0) = y$, $c_x(s)$, $c_y(s) \in \sigma_+$ for $s > 0$, of neighborhoods of x, y respectively in σ. Since Busemann functions on X_ω are affine and hence differentiable along Ptolemy lines, and since the derivative $\frac{db^+ \circ c_x}{ds}(s)$ coincides with the derivative of b^+ along the tangent line to σ at $c_x(s)$, we have

$$\frac{db^+ \circ c_x}{ds}(s) = \operatorname{slope}(\sigma, l_{c(s)}) \geq \alpha.$$

For a sufficiently small $t > 0$ let $x_t \in \sigma_+$ be a point at the distance t from x, $|x_t x| = t$, $x_t = c_x(\tau)$ for some $\tau = \tau(t)$. By integrating we obtain $b^+(x_t) \geq \alpha L(x x_t) \geq \alpha t$. A similar argument shows that $b^-(y_t) \geq \alpha t$, where $y_t = c_y(\tau')$ for some $\tau' = \tau'(t)$, $|y y_t| = t$. Therefore, $b^+(x_t) + b^-(y_t) \geq 2\alpha t$. This contradicts the estimate (9) of Lemma 6.4. Thus $\alpha = -1$. ☐

Proof of Proposition 6.5. Given a Möbius map $\psi : \sigma \to \sigma'$ between Ptolemy circles σ, $\sigma' \subset X$, we choose orientations of σ, σ' so that ψ preserves the orientations. By Proposition 6.8 there is $\varphi \in \operatorname{Aut} X$ with $\varphi(\sigma) = \sigma'$ preserving the orientations. Then $\varphi^{-1} \circ \psi : \sigma \to \sigma$ preserves the orientation of σ, and hence it extends by Lemma 6.6 to $\varphi' \in \operatorname{Aut} X$, $\varphi'|\sigma = \varphi^{-1} \circ \psi$. Then $\varphi \circ \varphi' \in \operatorname{Aut} X$ is a required Möbius automorphism. ☐

7 Topology of the space X and of $\mathbb{K}$-lines

7.1 Groups of shifts

Recall that by Lemma 4.15 a shift $\eta : X_\omega \to X_\omega$ is an isometry that preserves every foliation of X_ω by (oriented) Busemann parallel Ptolemy lines. Clearly, the shifts of X_ω form a group which we denote with N_ω. Then N_ω is a subgroup of the group $\operatorname{Aut} X$ of the Möbius automorphisms of X.

Lemma 7.1. *The group N_ω acts simply transitively on X_ω.*

Proof. Given x, $x' \in X_\omega$, the shift $\eta_{xx'}$ moves x to x', $\eta_{xx'}(x) = x'$, by construction, see sect. 4.3. Thus N_ω acts transitively on X_ω.

Assume that $\eta(x) = x$ for some shift $\eta : X_\omega \to X_\omega$ and some $x \in X_\omega$. We denote with V the fixed point set of η, $\eta(y) = y$ for every $y \in V$. We show that $V = X$. Note that every Ptolemy line $l \subset X_\omega$, which meets V, is contained in V because the isometry η preserves every foliation of X_ω by oriented Busemann parallel Ptolemy lines. Next, we note that every Ptolemy circle $\sigma \subset X_\omega$, which meets V at two different points, is contained in V. Indeed, the tangent to σ lines at these points are contained in V. However, σ is uniquely determined by its tangent line and any other its point, see Corollary 4.28.

Assume that $V \neq X$, and let $\omega' \in X \backslash V$. Since V is closed, ω' is contained in $X \setminus V$ together with a neighborhood U of ω'. Let $\varphi : X \to X$ is a space inversion with $\varphi(\omega') = \omega$, $W = \varphi(V)$. Then W misses the neighborhood $\varphi(U)$ of ω, and thus W is compact in X_ω. Furthermore, W contains together with any two different points every Ptolemy circle through these points. The image $\overline{W} = \pi_\omega(W) \subset B_\omega$ under the canonical projection $\pi_\omega : X_\omega \to B_\omega$ is compact since W is compact in X_ω. On the other hand, given $z \in W$ and a Ptolemy line $l \subset X_\omega$ through z, there is a Ptolemy circle $\sigma \subset W$ through z with the tangent line l. Indeed, for every $z' \in W$, $z' \neq z$, by Corollary 4.28 there is a unique circle through z, z' that is tangent to l. This circle is contained in W by properties of W. It follows that $\overline{W}$ is open in B_ω and thus $\overline{W} = B_\omega$. This contradicts the fact that $\overline{W}$ is compact.

Therefore, $V = X$, and thus $\eta = \mathrm{id}$, i.e. the group N_ω acts simply transitively on X_ω. $\square$

We fix $o \in X_\omega$ and using Lemma 7.1 identify N_ω with X_ω by $\eta \mapsto \eta(o)$. Then N_ω is a locally compact topological group.

An automorphism $\tau : N_\omega \to N_\omega$ is said to be *contractible* if for every $\eta \in N_\omega$ we have $\lim_{n\to\infty} \tau^n(\eta) = \mathrm{id}$. If N_ω admits a contractible automorphism, then N_ω is also said to be *contractible.*

Lemma 7.2. *There is a contractible automorphism $\tau : N_\omega \to N_\omega$.*

Proof. We take any pure homothety $\varphi : X_\omega \to X_\omega$ with $\varphi(o) = o$ and with the coefficient $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. Then we define $\tau(\eta) = \varphi \circ \eta \circ \varphi^{-1}$. The map $\eta' = \tau(\eta) : X_\omega \to X_\omega$ is an isometry preserving every foliation of X_ω by Busemann parallel Ptolemy lines, i.e. η' is a shift, and it is clear that τ is an automorphism of N_ω.

For the sequence of shifts $\eta_n = \tau^n(\eta)$ we have $\eta_n(o) = \varphi^n \circ \eta(o) \to o$ as $n \to \infty$. Thus η_n converges to a shift η_∞ with $\eta_\infty(o) = o$, hence, $\eta_\infty = \mathrm{id}$. $\square$

Corollary 7.3. *The group N_ω is a simply connected nilpotent Lie group. In particular, the space X_ω is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^n$, and the space X is homeomorphic to the sphere S^n with $n = \dim X$.*

Proof. The group N_ω is connected and locally compact because the space X_ω is. By Lemma 7.2, N_ω is contractible. Then by [Sieb, Corollary 2.4] N_ω is a simply connected nilpotent Lie group. $\square$

We denote with Z_ω a subgroup in N_ω which consists of all shifts $\eta \in N_\omega$ acting identically on the base B_ω, $\pi_*(\eta) = \mathrm{id}$, where $\pi_*(\eta) : B_\omega \to B_\omega$ is the shift induced by the projection $\pi_\omega : X_\omega \to B_\omega$, see Corollary 5.22. Every $\eta \in Z_\omega$ preserves every fiber ($\mathbb{K}$-line) of π_ω, see Lemma 5.17 and Lemma 5.18.

Proposition 7.4. *The group Z_ω acts simply transitively on every $\mathbb{K}$-line $F \subset X_\omega$, and thus it is a contractible, connected, locally compact topological group. Therefore, Z_ω is a simply connected nilpotent Lie group, and F is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^p$ for some $0 \le p < n$.*

Proof. The group Z_ω acts transitively on F by Lemma 5.17. The action is simply transitive by Lemma 7.1. We fix $o \in F$ and identify Z_ω with F by $\eta \mapsto \eta(o)$. By the same argument as in Lemma 7.2 we see that the group Z_ω is contractible. Furthermore, F is locally compact. Given x, $x' \in F$, there is a Ptolemy circle $\sigma \subset X_\omega$ through x, x'. By $(1_{\mathbb{K}})$, through any point $z \in \sigma$ there is a uniquely determined Ptolemy line that hits F. This defines a continuous map $\sigma \to F$. Thus F is linearly connected. Hence, Z_ω is a contractible, locally compact, connected topological group. By [Sieb, Corollary 2.4], Z_ω is a simply connected nilpotent Lie group, and thus F is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^p$ for some $0 \le p \le n$. In fact $p < n$ because X contains Ptolemy circles and thus $k = \dim B_\omega > 0$, while $n = k + p$. ☐

To complete the proof of Theorem 4.5 it remains to show that if $k = 1$, then $X = \widehat{\mathbb{R}}$. In the following section, we establish a more general fact from which this property follows immediately.

7.2 Non-integrability of the canonical distribution

Given $o \in X_\omega$, $\overline{x} \in B_\omega$, by the property $(1_{\mathbb{K}})$ there is a unique $x \in F_{\overline{x}} = \pi_\omega^{-1}(\overline{x})$ that is connected with o by a geodesic segment ox. The point x is called the *lift* of $\overline{x}$ with respect to o, and we use notation $x = \mathrm{lift}_o(\overline{x})$. This defines an embedding $\mathrm{lift}_o : B_\omega \to X_\omega$ with $\pi_\omega \circ \mathrm{lift}_o = \mathrm{id}$ for every $o \in X_\omega$. We denote $D_o = \mathrm{lift}_o(B_\omega)$. The embedding lift_o is radially isometric, $|o\,\mathrm{lift}_o(\overline{x})| = |\pi(o)\overline{x}|$ for every $\overline{x} \in B_\omega$. Though there is no reason for lift_o as well as for the projection $\pi_\omega|D_o$ to be isometric, the map lift_o is continuous which follows the uniqueness property of $(1_{\mathbb{K}})$ and compactness of X.

The family of subspaces D_o, $o \in X_\omega$, is called the (canonical) *distribution* on X_ω. We say that the canonical distribution $\mathcal{D} = \{D_o : o \in X_\omega\}$ on X_ω is *integrable* if for any $o \in X_\omega$ and any $o' \in D_o$, the subspaces D_o and $D_{o'}$ of X_ω coincide, $D_o = D_{o'}$. For example, if the base B_ω is one-dimensional, then the canonical distribution $\mathcal{D}$ is obviously integrable.

Proposition 7.5. *Assume that the canonical distribution on X_ω is integrable for every $\omega \in X$. Then $p = 0$, i.e. every fiber of every projection π_ω is a point, and the space X is Möbius equivalent to $\widehat{\mathbb{R}}^n$ with $n = \dim X$.*

Proof. We first show that $\pi_\omega : D_o \to B_\omega$ is an isometry for every $o \in X_\omega$. Recall that the map $\pi_\omega : D_o \to B_\omega$ is radially isometric. For any o', $x \in D_o$ we have $x \in D_{o'}$ because $D_o = D_{o'}$. Thus $|\pi_\omega(o')\pi_\omega(x)| = |o'x|$, and the map $\pi_\omega : D_o \to B_\omega$ is an isometry.

It follows that through any two distinct points ω, $o \in X$ there is a uniquely determined subspace $B \subset X$, the induced Möbius structure of which is the canonical Möbius structure of the sphere S^k, where k is the dimension of any base B_ω, $\omega \in X$. Any such a sphere is called a *foliating sphere.*

Next we show that two different foliating spheres B, $B' \subset X$ have at most one point in common. For $\omega \in B \cap B'$ consider a metric of the Möbius structure such that ω is the infinitely remote point. Then $B \setminus \{\omega\}$, $B' \setminus \{\omega\}$ are disjoint being the members of the foliation of X_ω by foliating spheres.

Now, we exploit the same idea as in the proof of Lemma 7.1. Assume $p > 0$. Then there are different x, $x' \in F$, and let $B \subset X$ the foliating sphere through x, x'. We have $\omega \notin B$ since otherwise $B \setminus \omega$ is a member of the foliation of X_ω, and $B \setminus \omega$ is covered by Ptolemy lines l through x, x'. Then by Lemma 4.21 $B \setminus \omega$ must lie in F. However F contains no Ptolemy line by construction.

Thus $B \subset X_\omega$ is compact, and its projection $\overline{B} = \pi_\omega(B) \subset B_\omega$ is compact. On the other hand, given $z \in B$ and a Ptolemy line $l \subset X_\omega$ through z, there is a Ptolemy circle $\sigma \subset B$ through z with the tangent line l. Indeed, for every $z' \in B$, $z' \neq z$, by Corollary 4.28 there is a unique circle through z, z' that is tangent to l. This circle is contained in B because B is Möbius equivalent to $\widehat{\mathbb{R}}^k$ and it contains with any two points every Ptolemy circle in X through these points. It follows that $\overline{B}$ is open in B_ω and thus $\overline{B} = B_\omega$. This contradicts the fact that $\overline{B}$ is compact in B_ω.

Hence $p = 0$, $n = k$, and X is Möbius equivalent to $\widehat{\mathbb{R}}^n$ with $n = \dim X$. □

Corollary 7.6. *Assume $p > 0$, that is, fibers of the canonical projections π_ω, $\omega \in X$, are nondegenerate. Then the canonical distribution on X_ω is non-integrable for every $\omega \in X$.*

Proof. By Proposition 4.17 the space X is 2-point homogeneous. It follows that if the canonical distribution on X_ω is integrable for some ω, then this is true for every $\omega \in X$. Then $p = 0$ by Proposition 7.5. This contradicts our assumption. □

The next corollary follows immediately from Proposition 7.5, and it completes the proof of Theorem 4.5.

Corollary 7.7. *If the base B_ω of X_ω is one-dimensional (this is independent of $\omega \in X$), then $p = 0$ and $X = \widehat{\mathbb{R}}$.* □

8 Semi-$\mathbb{C}$-planes

Starting from this place, we consider in what follows a compact Ptolemy space X that satisfies the assumption of Theorem 4.5 and its conclusion for the case $p = 1$, i.e. when fibers of the canonical projection $\pi_\omega : X_\omega \to B_\omega$ are one-dimensional for every $\omega \in X$, and therefore they are homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}$. This also means that the notation $\mathbb{K}$ is replaced for X by $\mathbb{C}$, e.g. fibers are $\mathbb{C}$-lines, the properties ($1_\mathbb{K}$), ($2_\mathbb{K}$) become ($1_\mathbb{C}$), ($2_\mathbb{C}$) respectively, semi-$\mathbb{K}$-planes are called semi-$\mathbb{C}$-planes, etc. It follows from Corollary 7.7 that $\dim B_\omega = k \geq 2$, $\omega \in X$.

8.1 Foliations of semi-$\mathbb{C}$-planes

Let $l \subset X_\omega$ be a Ptolemy line, $M = M_l$ the respective semi-$\mathbb{C}$-plane, see sect. 5.1. Any fiber ($\mathbb{C}$-line) $F \subset X_\omega$ of π_ω, that meets l, is contained in M by definition of M. We fix such an $F \subset M$. By Lemma 5.3, every Ptolemy line in X_ω through any point of F, which is Busemann parallel to l, is contained in M and hits every other $\mathbb{C}$-line $F' \subset M$. Furthermore, any two $\mathbb{C}$-lines F, $F' \subset M$ are equidistant in the sense that the segments of any two Ptolemy lines l, $l' \subset M$ between F, F' have equal lengths.

Proposition 8.1. *The map $\psi : l \times F \to M$, $\psi(x,y) = F_x \cap l_y$, where $F_x \subset M$ is the $\mathbb{C}$-line through $x \in l$, $l_y \subset M$ is the Ptolemy line through $y \in F$, is a homeomorphism, in particular M is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^2$.*

Proof. The map ψ is well defined and bijective by properties of the projection $\pi_\omega : X_\omega \to B_\omega$, of the semi-$\mathbb{C}$-plane M, and by ($1_\mathbb{C}$). Since X is Hausdorff, to show that ψ is a homeomorphism, it suffices to show that ψ is continuous.

Assume that $F \ni y_i \to y \in F$, $z = l_y \cap F_x$, $z_i = l_i \cap F_x$, where l_y, $l_i = l_{y_i} \subset M$ are Ptolemy lines through y, y_i respectively, $F_x \subset M$ is the $\mathbb{C}$-line through $x \in l$. Since $|y_i z_i| = |yz|$ by the equidistant property, the sequence $|zz_i|$ is bounded, thus $z_i \in F_x$ subconverges to some $z' \in F_x$. Since a pointwise limit of geodesics in any metric space is a geodesic, we see that $l_i \to l'$ pointwise, where $l' \subset X_\omega$ is a Ptolemy line through y, z'. By ($1_\mathbb{C}$), $l' = l_y \subset M$, hence $z' = z = \lim z_i$. This shows that the map $\psi_x : F \to F_x$, $\psi_x(y) = \psi(x,y)$, is continuous for every $x \in l$.

The map $\psi_y : l \to l_y$ given by $\psi_y(x) = \psi(x,y)$ coincides with the isometry $\mathrm{lift}_y \circ \pi_\omega | l : l \to l_y$. Now the map ψ is continuous at every point $(x,y) \in l \times F$ because

$$\begin{aligned}|\psi(x',y')\psi(x,y)| &\leq |\psi(x',y')\psi(x,y')| + |\psi(x,y')\psi(x,y)| \\ &= |x'x| + |\psi_x(y')\psi_x(y)| \to 0\end{aligned}$$

as $(x',y') \to (x,y)$. ☐

It follows from Proposition 8.1 that every semi-$\mathbb{C}$-plane $M \subset X_\omega$ carries two foliations, one by $\mathbb{C}$-lines and the other by Ptolemy lines. Thus fixing an order on a $\mathbb{C}$-line $F \subset M$, we have a well defined order on any other

$\mathbb{C}$-line $F' \subset M$ compatible with the Ptolemy line foliation of M. Therefore, given a Ptolemy line $l \subset M$, the notion of a half-plane of M bounded by l is well defined, and there are two half-planes bounded by l whose union is M. Any homothety $\varphi : M \to M$ with $\varphi(l) = l$ either preserves each of the two half-plane bounded by l or it permutes them.

8.2 Flips of semi-$\mathbb{C}$-planes and self-duality

By the extension property (E_2), every flip $\varphi : l \to l$ of a Ptolemy line $l \subset X_\omega$ extends to an isometry of X_ω for which we use the same notation φ. Since φ preserves the line l, the semi-$\mathbb{C}$-plane M that contains l is also preserved by φ and $\varphi : M \to M$ is an isometry with a fixed point $o \in l \subset M$. If φ preserves each of the half-planes bounded by l, then we say that $\varphi : M \to M$ is a flip.

Proposition 8.2. *For every semi-$\mathbb{C}$-plane $M \subset X_\omega$ every flip $\varphi : l \to l$ of any Ptolemy line $l \subset M$ extends to an isometry of X_ω, which is a flip on M.*

We begin the proof with following

Lemma 8.3. *Let $M \subset X_\omega$ be a semi-$\mathbb{C}$-plane, $l \subset M$ a Ptolemy line. Given distinct p, $p' \in l$, there exists a continuous path $\sigma : [0,1] \to X_\omega$ between p, p', $\sigma(0) = p$, $\sigma(1) = p'$, such that $\sigma((0,1)) \subset X_\omega \setminus M$, and $o\sigma(t)$ is a geodesic segment in X_ω of length $|pp'|/2$ for the midpoint $o \in pp'$ and for all $t \in [0,1]$.*

Proof. It follows from the property $(1_\mathbb{C})$ that for each distinct $\mathbb{C}$-lines F, $F' \subset X_\omega$ there is a unique semi-$\mathbb{C}$-plane $M \subset X_\omega$ that contains F, F', and if distinct semi-$\mathbb{C}$-planes M, $M' \subset X_\omega$ intersect, then their intersection $M \cap M'$ is a unique common $\mathbb{C}$-line.

Let F, $F' \subset X_\omega$ be the $\mathbb{C}$-lines through p, p' respectively. Since the dimension of the base B_ω is at least 2, and every semi-$\mathbb{C}$-plane in X_ω projects down to a line in the base, there is a point $x \in X_\omega \setminus M$. Let F'' be the $\mathbb{C}$-line through x. We denote with M', M'' the semi-$\mathbb{C}$-planes that contain the pairs F, F'' and F', F'' respectively. Then F, $F' \subset M$ bound a strip $FF' \subset M$ foliated by $\mathbb{C}$-lines. Similarly, $FF'' \subset M'$, $F'F'' \subset M''$ are respective strips foliated by $\mathbb{C}$-lines.

We parameterize the set of the $\mathbb{C}$-lines of $FF'' \cup F'F''$ by the segment $[0,1]$ in the natural way, $t \mapsto F_t$, so that $F_0 = F$, $F_{1/2} = F''$, $F_1 = F'$. Then the $\mathbb{C}$-line F_t is disjoint with the semi-$\mathbb{C}$-plane M for all $0 < t < 1$ by our construction. By the property $(1_\mathbb{C})$, for each F_t, $0 \le t \le 1$, there is a unique Ptolemy line $l_t \subset X_\omega$ connecting o with F_t (note that $l_0 = l = l_1$).

Now, the point $\sigma(t) \in l_t$, $0 \le t \le 1$, is uniquely determined by conditions $|o\sigma(t)| = |pp'|/2$, and $\sigma(t)$ lies on the subray of l_t with the vertex o that intersects F_t. In particular, $\sigma(0) = p$, $\sigma(1) = p'$ and $\sigma((0,1)) \subset X_\omega \setminus M$. Furthermore, $o\sigma(t)$ is a subsegment of the Ptolemy line l_t in X_ω.

Note that by Proposition 8.1 the map $t \mapsto F_t$ is continuous in the sense that if $t_i \to t$, then $F_{t_i} \to F_t$ pointwise. Thus continuity of the map $\sigma : [0,1] \to X_\omega$ follows from compactness of X and the fact that $o\sigma(t)$ is the unique geodesic segment in X_ω between o and F_t for every $t \in [0,1]$. $\square$

Proof of Proposition 8.2. Let $o \in l$ be the fixed point of the flip $\varphi : l \to l$, $F \subset M$ the $\mathbb{C}$-line through o. Since $\varphi : l \to l$ is an isometry, every its extension $\overline{\varphi} : X_\omega \to X_\omega$ is an isometry, the semi-$\mathbb{C}$-plane M is invariant under $\overline{\varphi}$, $\overline{\varphi}(M) = M$, by definition of M, and $\overline{\varphi}(F) = F$ because there is only one $\mathbb{C}$-line through o. If the restriction $\overline{\varphi}|F$ is the identity of F for some extension $\overline{\varphi}$ of φ, then $\overline{\varphi} : M \to M$ is a flip.

We fix $p \in l$, $p \neq o$, and put $p' = \varphi(p)$. Let $\sigma : [0,1] \to X_\omega$ be the path between $p = \sigma(0)$ and $p' = \sigma(1)$ constructed in Lemma 8.3. We denote by l_t the Ptolemy line in X_ω that contains the segment $o\sigma(t)$, and by $\varphi_t : l \to l_t$ the isometry with $\varphi_t(o) = o$, $\varphi_t(p) = \sigma(t)$, $t \in [0,1]$. Then $\varphi_0 = \mathrm{id}_l$ and $\varphi_1 = \varphi$.

Assume that an isometry $\alpha_t : X_\omega \to X_\omega$ fixes the Ptolemy line l_t pointwise for some $t \in [0,1]$ and the restriction $\alpha_t|F : F \to F$ is a flip. Using the same notation $\varphi_t : X_\omega \to X_\omega$ for an extension of $\varphi_t : l \to l_t$ that exists by (E_2), we note that $\beta_t = \varphi_t^{-1} \circ \alpha_t \circ \varphi_t : X_\omega \to X_\omega$ preserves M, fixes the Ptolemy line l pointwise and $\beta_t : F \to F$ is a flip. Then for every extension $\overline{\varphi}$ of φ that is not a flip on M, the isometry $\overline{\varphi} \circ \beta_t : M \to M$ is a flip that extends φ.

Thus we assume that for every $t \in [0,1]$, every isometry $\alpha_t : X_\omega \to X_\omega$, that fixes the Ptolemy line l_t pointwise, restricts to the identity of F, $\alpha_t|F = \mathrm{id}_F$. The set

$$A = \{t \in [0,1] : \overline{\varphi}|F = \mathrm{id}_F \text{ for every extension } \overline{\varphi}_t : X_\omega \to X_\omega \text{ of } \varphi_t\}$$

is closed in $[0,1]$ by continuity and $0 \in A$ by our assumption. We show that A is open in $[0,1]$. If not, then there is a sequence $t_i \in [0,1] \setminus A$ converging to some $t \in A$, and for every i there is an extension $\overline{\varphi}_i : X_\omega \to X_\omega$ of φ_{t_i} such that $\overline{\varphi}_i|F : F \to F$ is a flip. The sequence $\{\overline{\varphi}_i\}$ subconverges to an isometry $\psi : X_\omega \to X_\omega$ such that $\psi|l = \varphi_t$ and $\psi|F : F \to F$ is a flip. This contradicts the condition $t \in A$. Thus $A = [0,1]$ and $\varphi = \varphi_1$ extends to the required flip $\overline{\varphi} : M \to M$. $\square$

Given a Ptolemy circle $\sigma \subset X$ and distinct ω, $\omega' \in \sigma$, recall that the set $B^{\omega}_{\sigma,\omega'}$ consists of all $x \in X_\omega$ with $b^{\pm}(x) \geq 0$, where $b^{\pm} : X_\omega \to \mathbb{R}$ are the opposite Busemann functions of the Ptolemy line $\sigma \setminus \omega \subset X_\omega$ with $b^{\pm}(\omega') = 0$, see sect. 3.1. In a Busemann flat Ptolemy space, $B^{\omega}_{\sigma,\omega'} = H^{\omega}_{\sigma,\omega'}$ is the horosphere of $\sigma \setminus \omega$ through ω'. Furthermore, $D^{\omega'}_{\sigma,\omega}$ is the subset in $X_{\omega'}$ which consists of all x such that ω is a closest to x point in the geodesic line $\sigma \setminus \omega'$ (w.r.t. the metric of $X_{\omega'}$). By duality, see Lemma 3.2, we have

$$B^{\omega}_{\sigma,\omega'} \cup \omega = D^{\omega'}_{\sigma,\omega} \cup \omega',$$

or in the case of a flat space by Lemma 3.5

$$H^{\omega}_{\sigma,\omega'} \cup \omega = D^{\omega'}_{\sigma,\omega} \cup \omega'.$$

It follows that

$$B^{\omega}_{\omega'} \cup \omega = D^{\omega'}_{\omega} \cup \omega',$$

where $B^{\omega}_{\omega'}$ is the intersection of $B^{\omega}_{\sigma,\omega'}$ taken over all the Ptolemy circles σ in X containing both ω, ω', $D^{\omega'}_{\omega}$ is the intersection of $D^{\omega'}_{\sigma,\omega}$ taken over all the Ptolemy circles $\sigma \subset X$ containing both ω, ω'. In the case of a flat space this equality takes the form

$$H^{\omega}_{\omega'} \cup \omega = D^{\omega'}_{\omega} \cup \omega',$$

where $H^{\omega}_{\omega'} = F$ is the fiber through ω' of the canonical projection $\pi_\omega : X_\omega \to B_\omega$. In other words, for the fiber ($\mathbb{C}$-line) $F \subset X_\omega$ through ω', every point $x \in F \setminus \omega'$ has the property that ω is a closest point to x on the Ptolemy line $\sigma \setminus \omega' \subset X_{\omega'}$ in the space $X_{\omega'}$ for every Ptolemy circle $\sigma \subset X$ through ω, ω'.

Corollary 8.4. *The space X is self-dual in the sense that $H^{\omega}_{\omega'} = D^{\omega}_{\omega'}$ for each distinct ω, $\omega' \in X$. That is, every point x of the $\mathbb{C}$-line $F \subset X_\omega$ through ω' has the property that ω' is a closest to x point on every Ptolemy line in X_ω through x, and vice versa.*

Proof. We show that $H^{\omega}_{\omega'} \subset D^{\omega}_{\omega'}$. We fix $x \in H^{\omega}_{\omega'}$ and let $l \subset X_\omega$ be a Ptolemy line through ω'. There is $z \in l$ closest to x among all the points of l. By Proposition 8.2 there is an isometry $\varphi : X_\omega \to X_\omega$ with $\varphi(x) = x$ that flips l at ω'. Then $z' = \varphi(z) \in l$ is also closest to x. By convexity of the distance function from x along l, the segment $zz' \subset l$ consists of points closest to x. However, $\omega' \in zz'$. Hence $H^{\omega}_{\omega'} \subset D^{\omega}_{\omega'}$. By duality, $D^{\omega}_{\omega'} \cup \omega = H^{\omega'}_{\omega} \cup \omega'$. Thus $H^{\omega}_{\omega'} \cup \omega \subset H^{\omega'}_{\omega} \cup \omega'$. Interchanging ω with ω', we obtain $H^{\omega}_{\omega'} \cup \omega = H^{\omega'}_{\omega} \cup \omega' = D^{\omega}_{\omega'} \cup \omega$, i.e. $H^{\omega}_{\omega'} = D^{\omega}_{\omega'}$, and X is self-dual. □

We put $\widehat{F} = F \cup \omega$ for every fiber F of π_ω. Then $\widehat{F}$ is a compact subset of X called a $\mathbb{C}$-*circle*. Since F is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}$, $\widehat{F}$ is homeomorphic to S^1. It follows from Lemma 5.2 that the collection of all the $\mathbb{C}$-circles in X is invariant under any Möbius automorphism of X.

Lemma 8.5. *For every $\mathbb{C}$-circle $\widehat{F} \subset X$ and every point $\omega \in \widehat{F}$, the set $F = \widehat{F} \setminus \omega$ is a fiber of the fibration $\pi_\omega : X_\omega \to B_\omega$.*

Proof. We have $\widehat{F} = F' \cup \omega'$ for some $\omega' \in X$, where F' is a fiber of the fibration $\pi_{\omega'} : X_{\omega'} \to B_{\omega'}$. We suppose that $\omega' \neq \omega$ since otherwise the assertion is trivial. Then $\omega \in F'$ and $F' = H^{\omega'}_{\omega}$. By self-duality, see Corollary 8.4, $H^{\omega'}_{\omega} = D^{\omega'}_{\omega}$. Using duality we obtain $\widehat{F} = F' \cup \omega' = H^{\omega}_{\omega'} \cup \omega$. Thus $F = \widehat{F} \setminus \omega = H^{\omega}_{\omega'}$ is the fiber of π_ω through ω'. □

Corollary 8.6. *In addition to* ($1_{\mathbb{C}}$) *and* ($2_{\mathbb{C}}$)*, see Theorem 4.5, the space* X *has the following basic properties*

($3_{\mathbb{C}}$) *through any two distinct points in* X *there is a unique* $\mathbb{C}$*-circle;*

($4_{\mathbb{C}}$) *any* $\mathbb{C}$*-circle and any Ptolemy circle in* X *have at most two points in common.*

Proof. ($3_{\mathbb{C}}$). Given distinct ω, $\omega' \in X$, let $F \subset X_\omega$ be the fiber of $\pi_\omega : X_\omega \to B_\omega$ through ω'. Then $\widehat{F} = F \cup \omega$ is a $\mathbb{C}$-circle through ω, ω'. By Lemma 8.5, $F' = \widehat{F} \setminus \omega'$ is the fiber of $\pi_{\omega'} : X_{\omega'} \to B_{\omega'}$ through ω. Since the fibers of π_ω, $\pi_{\omega'}$ through given points are uniquely determined, it follows that $\widehat{F}$ is a unique $\mathbb{C}$-circle through ω, ω'.

($4_{\mathbb{C}}$). Let $\omega \in \widehat{F} \cap \sigma$ be a common point of a $\mathbb{C}$-circle $\widehat{F} \subset X$ and a Ptolemy circle $\sigma \subset X$. Then $l = \sigma \setminus \omega \subset X_\omega$ is a Ptolemy line, and by Lemma 8.5, $F = \widehat{F} \setminus \omega$ is a fiber of $\pi_\omega : X_\omega \to B_\omega$. It follows from the definition of fibers of π_ω and Lemma 4.21 that F and l have at most one point in common. Hence, the claim. □

8.3 Both foliations of semi-$\mathbb{C}$-planes are equidistant

We already know that the foliation of a semi-$\mathbb{C}$-plane $M \subset X_\omega$ by $\mathbb{C}$-lines is equidistant. Now, Ptolemy lines l, l' in M are called *equidistant* if the distance between $x = l \cap F$ and $x' = l' \cap F$ is independent of a $\mathbb{C}$-line $F \subset M$.

Lemma 8.7. *All the Ptolemy lines in every semi-*$\mathbb{C}$*-plane* $M \subset X$ *are pairwise equidistant.*

Proof. Let l, $l' \subset M$ be Ptolemy lines, c, $c' : \mathbb{R} \to M$ unit speed parameterizations of l, l' respectively with $c(0)$, $c'(0) \in F$, where $F \subset M$ is a $\mathbb{C}$-line. We assume using equidistant property of $\mathbb{C}$-lines that c, c' are compatible in the sense that the points $c(t)$, $c'(t)$ lie in one and the same $\mathbb{C}$-line $F_t \subset M$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$. We put $\mu(t) = |c(t)c'(t)|$. By self-duality, $c'(t)$ is a closest to $c(t)$ point on l', and vice versa, $c(t)$ is a closest to $c'(t)$ point on l for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus $|c(t)c'(t')|$, $|c'(t)c(t')| \geq \max\{\mu(t), \mu(t')\}$ for each t, $t' \in \mathbb{R}$. Applying the Ptolemy inequality to the quadruple $(c(t), c(t'), c'(t'), c'(t))$, we obtain

$$\max\{\mu(t), \mu(t')\}^2 \leq |c(t)c'(t')||c'(t)c(t')| \leq \mu(t)\mu(t') + (t - t')^2.$$

We show that $\mu(a) = \mu(0)$ for every $a \in \mathbb{R}$. Assume W.L.G. that $a > 0$ and put $m = 1/\min_{0 \leq s \leq a} \mu(s)$. Then $|\mu(t) - \mu(t')| \leq m(t - t')^2$ for each $0 \leq t, t' \leq a$. Now

$$\mu(a) - \mu(0) = \mu(s) - \mu(0) + \mu(2s) - \mu(s) + \cdots + \mu(a) - \mu((k-1)s),$$

where $s = a/k$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. It follows $|\mu(a) - \mu(0)| \leq mks^2 = ma^2/k \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$. Hence, $\mu(a) = \mu(0)$. □

Lemma 8.8. *For any $x, y \in F$, $x', y' \in F'$, where F, F' are $\mathbb{C}$-lines in a semi-$\mathbb{C}$-plane M, such that $x, x' \in l$, $y, y' \in l'$, where l, $l' \subset M$ are Ptolemy lines, we have $|xy| = |x'y'| =: a$, $|xx'| = |yy'| =: b$, $|xy'| = |x'y| =: c$, and $c^2 \le a^2 + b^2$.*

Proof. We have $|xx'| = |yy'|$ because $\mathbb{C}$-lines in M are equidistant, and $|xy| = |x'y'|$ because Ptolemy lines in M are also equidistant. By Proposition 8.2, there exists a flip $\varphi : M \to M$ that permutes x, y and x', y' respectively, $\varphi(x) = x'$, $\varphi(y) = y'$. Thus $|xy'| = |x'y|$. Applying the Ptolemy inequality, we obtain $c^2 \le a^2 + b^2$. □

For any two fibers F_b, $F_{b'}$ of π_ω, by ($1_{\mathbb{C}}$) and Lemma 8.7, we have the canonically determined isometry $\mu_{bb'} : F_b \to F_{b'}$.

Lemma 8.9. *The isometries $\mu_{bb'} : F_b \to F_{b'}$ depend continuously of b, $b' \in B_\omega$, that is, for $b_i \to b'$ and for any $x \in F_b$, we have $\mu_{bb_i}(x) \to \mu_{bb'}(x)$.*

Proof. If a sequence of geodesic segments in a metric space pointwise converges, then the limit is also a geodesic segment. Together with uniqueness of Ptolemy lines in X_ω and compactness of X, this implies the claim. □

Now, we fix an order of F_b and define the order of $F_{b'}$ via the isometry $\mu_{bb'}$. This gives a simultaneously determined order O on all the fibers of π.

Lemma 8.10. *The order O is well defined and independent of the choice of $b \in B_\omega$.*

Proof. The base B_ω is contractible. Using Lemma 8.9, we see that the order of $F_{b''}$ induced by $\mu_{bb''}$ coincides with the order induced by $\mu_{b'b''} \circ \mu_{bb'}$ for each b', $b'' \in B_\omega$. Hence, the claim. □

Let G_ω be the group of all Möbius automorphisms of X fixing ω and preserving the order O. Every $\varphi \in G_\omega$ acts on X_ω as a homothety. By Corollary 5.22, we have a homomorphism π_* of G_ω into the group of homotheties of B_ω. We denote $H = \pi_*(G_\omega)$.

9 Isometry group of X_ω

Fix $\omega \in X$ and a metric from the Möbius structure of X such that ω is the infinitely remote point. Recall that $N_\omega \subset \operatorname{Aut} X$ is the group of shifts of X_ω, see sect. 7.1.

Lemma 9.1. *Every shift $\eta \in N_\omega$ preserves the order O on $\mathbb{C}$-lines in X_ω.*

Proof. Let $\varphi : X \to X$ be a space inversion that permutes ω, $\omega' \in X$. By Corollary 8.6($3_{\mathbb{C}}$), φ preserves the (unique) $\mathbb{C}$-circle $\widehat{F}$ through ω, ω', $\varphi(\widehat{F}) = \widehat{F}$. Since φ has no fixed point, φ also preserves orientations of

$\widehat{F}$. Let $\gamma : X_\omega \to X_\omega$ be a pure homothety with $\gamma(\omega') = \omega'$. Recall that $\gamma = \varphi' \circ \varphi$ for some space inversions φ, φ' which both permute ω, ω'. It follows that $\gamma(\widehat{F}) = \widehat{F}$ and γ preserves the order O of the $\mathbb{C}$-line $F = \widehat{F} \setminus \omega$ through ω'.

By Lemma 4.15, γ preserves every foliation of X_ω by Busemann parallel Ptolemy lines, thus $\gamma(F')$ is a $\mathbb{C}$-line for every $\mathbb{C}$-line $F' \subset X_\omega$. Now, by continuity γ preserves the order O on $\mathbb{C}$-lines in X_ω. Then it follows from the definition of shifts that every shift $\eta : X_\omega \to X_\omega$ preserves the order O. □

Lemma 9.2. *Given a Ptolemy line $l \subset X_\omega$, x, $x' \in l$, the shift $\eta : X_\omega \to X_\omega$ with $\eta(x) = x'$ preserves every Ptolemy line $l' \subset M$, $\eta(l') = l'$, where $M \subset X_\omega$ is the semi-$\mathbb{C}$-plane containing l. In particular, every isometry $\mu_{bb'} : F_b \to F_{b'}$ between $\mathbb{C}$-lines F_b, $F_{b'} \subset X_\omega$ extends to shift $\eta : X_\omega \to X_\omega$.*

Proof. Let F, $F' \subset X_\omega$ be the $\mathbb{C}$-lines through x, x' respectively. Then F, $F' \subset M$. We put $y = l' \cap F$, $y' = l' \cap F'$ and note that $|xy| = |x'y'|$ by Lemma 8.7.

We have $\eta(M) = M$, thus $\eta(l') \subset M$. By Lemma 9.1, η preserves the order O, thus $\eta(y) = y'$ and $y' \in \eta(l')$. Since the Ptolemy line in M through y' is unique, we obtain $\eta(l') = l'$. □

9.1 Isometries of $\mathbb{C}$-lines

A shift $\eta : X_\omega \to X_\omega$ is said to be *vertical* if it induces the identity of the base B_ω, $\pi_*(\eta) = \mathrm{id}$.

Proposition 9.3. *The displacement function of every vertical shift $\eta : X_\omega \to X_\omega$ is constant.*

Let $T \subset B_\omega$ be a *pointed* oriented triangle, i.e., we assume that an orientation and a vertex o of T are fixed. Then T determines a map $\tau_T : F \to F$, where $F \subset X_\omega$ is the fiber of π_ω over o, $F = \pi_\omega^{-1}(o)$. Given $x \in F$, we lift to X_ω the sides of T in the cyclic order according to the orientation and starting with o which is initially lifted to x. Then $\tau_T(x) \in F$ is the resulting lift of the triangle sides.

Lemma 9.4. *The map $\tau_T : F \to F$ is an isometry that preserves the order and has the constant displacement function.*

Proof. The map τ_T is obtained as a composition of three $\mathbb{C}$-line isometries of type $\mu_{bb'}$, see sect. 8.3. Any isometry $\mu_{bb'}$ preserves the order O, see Lemma 8.10. Thus $\tau_T : F \to F$ is an isometry preserving the order.

Given x, $x' \in F$, we let $y = \tau_T(x)$, $y' = \tau_T(x')$. There is a shift $\eta : X_\omega \to X_\omega$ with $\eta(x) = x'$. By Lemma 5.17, η is vertical, and by Lemma 9.1 it preserves the order O. Thus η preserves F, every semi-$\mathbb{C}$-plane $M \subset X_\omega$

and maps isometrically every Ptolemy line $l \subset M$ to an equidistant Ptolemy line $l' \subset M$. Then it follows from definition of τ_T that $\eta(y) = y'$. Therefore $|x'y'| = |xy|$, and the displacement function of τ_T is constant. $\square$

Lemma 9.5. *For every $b \in B_\omega$ there is a pointed oriented triangle $T \subset B_\omega$, for which the map $\tau_T : F \to F$, $F = \pi_\omega^{-1}(b)$, is not identical.*

Proof. By Corollary 7.6, the canonical distribution $\mathcal{D}$ on X_ω is not integrable. This means that there are $o \in X_\omega$, o', $x \in D_o$ such that $x \notin D_{o'}$. Then the points $b' = \pi_\omega(o)$, $\pi_\omega(o')$, $\pi_\omega(x) \in B_\omega$ are vertices of a pointed oriented triangle T' for which the map $\tau_{T'} : F' \to F'$ is not identical, where $F' = \pi_\omega^{-1}(b')$. There is a shift $\eta : X_\omega \to X_\omega$ with $\eta(F') = F$. The shift η induces a shift $\pi_*(\eta) : B_\omega \to B_\omega$ of the base. Then $T = \pi_*(\eta)(T')$ is a required triangle in B_ω. $\square$

Proof of Proposition 9.3. We fix a $\mathbb{C}$-line $F \subset X_\omega$ and first show that the displacement function of η is constant along F.

Let $\lambda(T)$ be the perimeter of a pointed oriented triangle $T \subset B_\omega$ with the base point $b = \pi_\omega(F)$. By triangle inequality, we have $|x\tau_T(x)| \le \lambda(T)$ for every $x \in F$. Note that $\tau_T^k = \tau_{T^k}$ for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, where T^k means that the triangle T is passed around $|k|$ times in the direction prescribed by the sign of k. Thus the displacement function of τ_T^k is also constant by Lemma 9.4.

Using Lemma 9.5 and applying appropriate homotheties of X_ω, we can find a pointed oriented triangle T with the base point b, arbitrarily small perimeter and non-identical isometry $\tau_T : F \to F$. By construction, τ_T is a composition of three isometries of type $\mu_{bb'} : F_b \to F_{b'}$. Then by Lemma 9.2, τ_T extends to an isometry from the group N_ω, which is a vertical shift. We use the same notation τ_T for this extension, $\tau_T : X_\omega \to X_\omega$.

Given $x \in F$, there is a sequence T_i of pointed oriented triangles with the base point b and $\lambda(T_i) \to 0$, and a sequence $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\tau_i(x) = \tau_{T_i}^{k_i}(x) \to \eta(x)$. Then $\tau_i : X_\omega \to X_\omega$ subconverges to a vertical shift $\tau : X_\omega \to X_\omega$ with $\tau(x) = \eta(x)$ that preserves the order and has the constant displacement along F. Using Lemma 7.1 we conclude that $\eta = \tau$ has constant displacement along F.

Now, the displacement of η is constant because η preserves every $\mathbb{C}$-line in X_ω and Ptolemy lines in semi-$\mathbb{C}$-planes are equidistant by Lemma 8.7. $\square$

9.2 Existence of an unclosed parallelogram

Let $P \subset B_\omega$ be a *pointed* oriented parallelogram, i.e., we assume that an orientation and a vertex o of P are fixed. Similarly to the map τ_T discussed in sect. 9.1, we have a map $\tau_P : F \to F$, where $F \subset X_\omega$ is the fiber of π over o, $F = \pi^{-1}(o)$. Namely, given $x \in F$, we lift the sides of P to X_ω in the cyclic order according the orientation and starting with o which is initially lifted to x. Then $\tau_P(x) \in F$ is the resulting lift of the parallelogram sides. We say that an pointed oriented parallelogram $P \subset B_\omega$ is *closed*, if the map $\tau_P : F \to F$ is identical, $\tau_P = \mathrm{id}_F$. This property depends of the choice neither of the base vertex nor of the orientation of P. Thus we can speak about closed or unclosed parallelograms as well as closed or unclosed triangles in B_ω. By Lemma 9.5, there exists an unclosed triangle.

We need the following fact.

Lemma 9.6. *Let G be a closed subgroup of the orthogonal group $\mathbb{O}(n)$, $n \geq 2$, which acts transitively on the sphere $S^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Then for any 2-dimensional subspace $L \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ there is $g \in G$ such that $g(v) = -v$ for every $v \in L$.*

Proof. A list of all compact connected Lie groups acting transitively and effectively on the sphere S^{n-1} is obtained in [MS], [Bor]. It consists of $SO(n)$, $U(m)$, $SU(m)$ with $n = 2m$, $Sp(m)Sp(1)$, $Sp(m)U(1)$, $Sp(m)$ with $n = 4m$, G_2 with $n = 7$, Spin(7) with $n = 8$, Spin(9) with $n = 16$, see [Bes, sect. 7.13]. The required property is obvious for $SO(n)$. The groups $U(m)$, $SU(m)$ with $n = 2m$ include the element $-\mathrm{id}$. This is also true for $Sp(m)$ with $n = 4m$ and Spin(7), Spin(9).

The group G_2 is the automorphism group of the octonions $\mathbb{O}$ which acts on imaginary octonions $\mathrm{Im}\,\mathbb{O} = \mathbb{R}^7$ in a way that any *basic triple* e_1, e_2, $e_3 \in \mathrm{Im}\,\mathbb{O}$ is moved to any other basic triple by uniquely determined automorphism $g \in G_2$, see [Ba]. Here e_1 can be chosen arbitrarily with $e_1^2 = -1$, e_2 with $e_2^2 = -1$ anticommutes with e_1, $e_1e_2 = -e_2e_1$, and e_3 with $e_3^2 = -1$ anticommutes with e_1, e_2 and e_1e_2. We can take basic triples (e_1, e_2, e_3) and (e_1', e_2', e_3') so that $e_1, e_2 \in L \cap S^6 \subset \mathrm{Im}\,\mathbb{O}$, $e_1' = -e_1$, $e_2' = -e_2$, $e_3' = e_3$ and put $g(e_1) = e_1'$, $g(e_2) = e_2'$, $g(e_3) = e_3'$. This defines a required $g \in G_2$ with $g|L = -\mathrm{id}_L$. $\square$

Lemma 9.7. *There exists a unclosed parallelogram in B_ω.*

Proof. Using property (E_2) and argue as in the proof of Proposition 8.2, one shows that the stabilizer of any $o \in X_\omega$ in the isometry group of X_ω preserving the order acts transitively on the set of the directed Ptolemy lines through o. Let $T \subset B_\omega$ be an unclosed triangle. By Lemma 9.6, there is an isometry $\overline{g} : X_\omega \to X_\omega$ preserving the order such that $g = \pi_*(\overline{g}) : B_\omega \to B_\omega$ is the central symmetry of the plane $L \subset B_\omega$ containing T with respect to the midpoint $\overline{o}$ of some side of T.

Let b be a common vertex of the triangles T, $g(T)$ and the parallelogram $P = T \cup g(T)$, $F = F_b \subset X_\omega$ the fiber of π_ω over b. The isometries τ_T and $\tau_{g(T)}$ of F coincide, $\tau_{g(T)} = \tau_T$, because the isometry $\overline{g} : X_\omega \to X_\omega$ preserves the order. Then one easily sees that the isometry $\tau_P : F \to F$ satisfies $\tau_P = \tau_T^2 \neq \mathrm{id}_F$. Therefore, the parallelogram P is unclosed. $\square$

9.3 The maximal unipotent subgroup

Recall that the group N_ω of the shifts $X_\omega \to X_\omega$ acts on X_ω simply transitively (Lemma 7.1) and that the subgroup $Z_\omega \subset N_\omega$ of vertical shifts acts simply transitively of every $\mathbb{C}$-line $F \subset X_\omega$ (Proposition 7.4). In context of rank one symmetric spaces $Y = \mathbb{K}\mathrm{H}^n$ of noncompact type the group N_ω is called a *maximal unipotent subgroup* of the isometry group of the space Y.

We have $[N_\omega, N_\omega] \subset Z_\omega$ because any two shifts of the base B_ω commute.

Lemma 9.8. *The group Z_ω lies in the center of N_ω.*

Proof. By Proposition 9.3, the displacement function δ_ζ of ζ is constant for every $\zeta \in Z_\omega$. Thus for every $\alpha \in N_\omega$, $x \in X_\omega$, we have $\delta_\zeta(x) = \delta_\zeta(\alpha(x))$. The points x, $\alpha(x)$, $\zeta\alpha(x)$, $\alpha\zeta(x)$ lie in one and the same semi-$\mathbb{C}$-plane, thus $\zeta\alpha(x) = \alpha\zeta(x)$. It follows that $[\zeta, \alpha] = \mathrm{id}_{X_\omega}$, i.e., ζ lies in the center of N_ω. $\square$

Lemma 9.9. *Given $a > 0$ and a Ptolemy line $l \subset X_\omega$, there are α, $\beta \in N_\omega$ such that $\alpha(l) = l$ and the displacement of $\gamma = [\alpha, \beta]$ equals a.*

Proof. By Lemma 9.7, there is an unclosed parallelogram $P \subset B_\omega$. Applying an appropriate homothety $\varphi : X_\omega \to X_\omega$ if necessary, we can assume that the Ptolemy line $l \subset X_\omega$ projects down to the line $\pi_\omega(l) \subset B_\omega$ that contains a side $b''b \subset P$, and the displacement of $\tau_P : F \to F$ is a, $|x\tau_P(x)| = a$ for every $x \in F$, where $F \subset X_\omega$ is the fiber of π_ω over a vertex of P, cp. Lemma 9.4.

Let $b' \in P$ be the vertex adjacent to b'' and opposite to b. We consider the Ptolemy line $l' \subset X_\omega$ through $o = l \cap F_{b''}$ that project down to the line $\pi_\omega(l') \subset B_\omega$ containing the side $b''b'$ of P. There are shifts α, $\beta \in N_\omega$, which leave invariant the Ptolemy lines l, l' respectively, such that $\pi_*(\alpha)(b'') = b$, $\pi_*(\beta)(b'') = b'$.

Since the parallelogram P is unclosed, we have $\alpha\beta \neq \beta\alpha$, and $\delta_\gamma(o) = a$, where $\delta_\gamma : X_\omega \to \mathbb{R}$ is the displacement of $\gamma = [\alpha, \beta]$. By Proposition 9.3, the displacement δ_γ is constant, thus $\delta_\gamma(x) = a$ for every $x \in X_\omega$. $\square$

Proposition 9.10. *The group N_ω is nilpotent and the group Z_ω is the center of N_ω. Moreover $Z_\omega = [N_\omega, N_\omega]$.*

Proof. Assume $\alpha' \in N_\omega$ commutes with every $\beta \in N_\omega$. We show that $\alpha' \in Z_\omega$. Together with Lemma 9.8 this implies that Z_ω is the center of N_ω.

Composing α' with an appropriate $\nu \in Z_\omega$ if necessary, we can assume that $\alpha'(l) = l$ for some Ptolemy line $l \subset X_\omega$. It suffices to show that $\alpha'(o) = o$ for some $o \in l$. By Lemma 9.9, there are α, $\beta \in N_\omega$ such that $\alpha(l) = l$ and the displacement $\delta_\gamma = a$ for a given $a > 0$, where $\gamma = [\alpha, \beta]$. Suppose that $|o\alpha'(o)| \neq 0$. Conjugating α' by an appropriate pure homothety of X_ω preserving o, we can assume that $|o\alpha(o)| = |o\alpha'(o)|$. Replacing α' with

$(\alpha')^{-1}$ if necessary, we can also assume $\alpha'(o) = \alpha(o)$. Then $\alpha' = \alpha$. But this contradicts the assumption that α' commutes with every $\beta \in N_\omega$. Hence $\alpha'(o) = o$.

Let $a > 0$ be the displacement of some $\zeta \in Z_\omega$, $\zeta \neq \mathrm{id}$. Again by Lemma 9.9, there are α, $\beta \in N_\omega$ such that the displacement of $\gamma = [\alpha, \beta]$ equals a. Then ζ coincides with γ or γ^{-1}. Thus $Z_\omega = [N_\omega, N_\omega]$. ☐

10 Area law of lifting and metrics of $\mathbb{C}$-lines

10.1 Lifting of polygons

Let $P \subset B_\omega$ be an oriented parallelogram. Fixing a vertex $b \in P$, we obtain a preserving the order isometry $\tau_B : F \to F$, where $F \subset X_\omega$ is the fiber of $\pi_\omega : X_\omega \to B$ over b, see sect. 9.2. It is convenient to associate with P an extension of τ_P to X_ω which is defined as $\tau_P(x) = \nu(x)$ for every $x \in X_\omega$, where $\nu : X_\omega \to X_\omega$ is a vertical shift, $\pi_*(\nu) = \mathrm{id}_{B_\omega}$, while restricted to F coincides with τ_P. The isometry ν exists by Lemma 9.2, and it is unique by Lemma 7.1. We use the same notation for the extension $\tau_P : X_\omega \to X_\omega$ and call it a *lifting isometry.*

Furthermore, for every isometry $\varphi \in G_\omega$ (recall that such an isometry preserves ω and the order O) we have according to Proposition 9.3, $\tau_{P'} = \tau_P$, where $P' = \pi_*(\varphi)(P)$. In particular, the map τ_P is not changed if we replace the parallelogram P by any its shifted copy $P' \subset B$.

The vertical shift $\tau_P : X_\omega \to X_\omega$ lies in the group Z_ω, see sect. 9.3, for every parallelogram $P \subset B_\omega$. Since Z_ω is commutative, we have $\tau_P \circ \tau_{P'} = \tau_{P'} \circ \tau_P$ for any parallelograms and even for any closed oriented polygons P, $P' \subset B_\omega$.

Let $Q \subset B_\omega$ be a closed, oriented polygon. Adding a segment $qq' \subset B_\omega$ between points q, $q' \in Q$ we obtain closed, oriented polygons P, P' such that $Q \cup qq' = P \cup P'$, the orientations of P, P' coincide with that of Q along Q, and the segment $qq' = P \cap P'$ receives from P, P' opposite orientations. In this case we use notation $Q = P \cup P'$.

Lemma 10.1. *In the notation above we have $\tau_Q = \tau_{P'} \circ \tau_P$.*

Proof. We fix $q \in Q \cap P \cap P'$ as the base point. Moving from q along Q in the direction prescribed by the orientation of Q, we also move along one of P, P' according to the induced orientation. We assume W.L.G. that this is the polygon P. In that way, we first lift P to X_ω starting with some point $o \in F$, where F is the fiber of the projection $\pi_\omega : X_\omega \to B_\omega$ over q, such that the side $q'q \subset P$ is the last one while lifting P. Now, we lift P' to X_ω starting with $o' = \tau_P(o) \in F$ moving first along the side $qq' \subset P'$. Then clearly the resulting lift of P' gives $\tau_Q(o) = \tau_{P'}(o') \in F$. Thus $\tau_Q = \tau_{P'} \circ \tau_P$. ☐

10.2 Area law of lifting

Let $P_0 \subset B_\omega$ be a parallelogram. Applying if necessary a homothety from the group $H = \pi_*(G_\omega)$, we assume W.L.G. that $\operatorname{area} P_0 = 1$. Furthermore, cutting P_0 by a line in the plane of P_0 and gluing back the obtained pieces shifted appropriately, one easily transforms P_0 to a rectangle P_1, which therefore satisfies $\tau_{P_1} = \tau_{P_0}$ by Lemma 10.1.

Let $L \subset B_\omega$ be the 2-dimensional subspace containing P_1. We fix two mutually orthogonal directions in L such that the sides of P_1 are parallel to them, and call one of them the *horizontal* direction and the other one *vertical* direction.

We denote by $\delta(P)$ the displacement of any parallelogram $P \subset B_\omega$, $\delta(P) = |x\tau_P(x)|$ for every $x \in X_\omega$, see Proposition 9.3, and put $c_0 := \delta(P_0) = \delta(P_1) \geq 0$.

We denote by $\mathcal{P}_1$ the class of all the rectangles in L of area 1 with horizontal and vertical sides such that $\delta(P) = c_0$ for every $P \in \mathcal{P}_1$. We write this equality as

$$\delta(P)^2 = c_0^2 \cdot \operatorname{area} P \tag{10}$$

and call c_0 the *lifting constant* of the class $\mathcal{P}_1$. Equality (10) is called the *area law of lifting*. Note that $P_1 \in \mathcal{P}_1$.

Lemma 10.2. *The class $\mathcal{P}_1$ is closed under the following operations with rectangles:*

(a) a shift in L;

(b) cutting by finitely many parallel horizontal or vertical lines and gluing back the shifted pieces;

(c) taking the limit of a convergent sequence of rectangles.

Proof. Operation (a) preserves the class $\mathcal{P}_1$ because every shift $\gamma : B_\omega \to B_\omega$ is of the form $\gamma = \pi_*(\zeta)$, where $\zeta : X_\omega \to X_\omega$ is a shift, and thus $\tau_P = \tau_{\gamma(P)}$ for every parallelogram $P \subset B_\omega$. Using Lemma 10.1, we see that operation (b) preserves the class $\mathcal{P}_1$. Operation (c) preserves the class $\mathcal{P}_1$ because the map $\tau_P : X_\omega \to X_\omega$ depends continuously on P. ☐

Lemma 10.3. *The class $\mathcal{P}_1$ includes a unit square $Q_0 \subset L$.*

Proof. Given a rectangle $P \subset L$ with horizontal and vertical sides, and integer m, $n \geq 1$, we construct a new rectangle $P' \subset L$ using operation (b) as follows. First, we subdivide P into m pairwise congruent rectangles cutting it by $(m-1)$ horizontal lines and gluing back the shifted pieces into a horizontal row. Second, we subdivide the obtained rectangle $\widetilde{P}$ into n pairwise congruent rectangles cutting $\widetilde{P}$ by $(n-1)$ vertical lines and gluing back the shifted pieces into a vertical column. This gives the resulting rectangle $P' =: \Psi_{m,n}(P)$. Note that if $P \in \mathcal{P}_1$, then $\Psi_{m,n}(P) \in \mathcal{P}_1$ for each integer m, $n \geq 1$ by Lemma 10.2. Furthermore, if $a = a(P)$ is the length of the horizontal sides of P and $b = b(P)$ is the length of the vertical sides of P, then

$$a' = a(P') = \frac{m}{n}a,\ b' = b(P) = \frac{n}{m}b.$$

Assume W.L.G. that $a < b$. Then there are integer $m > n \geq 1$ such that

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(1 + \frac{b}{a}\right) \leq \frac{m^2}{n^2} < \frac{b}{a}.$$

Thus

$$1 < \frac{b'}{a'} \leq \lambda\frac{b}{a} < \frac{b}{a},$$

where $\lambda^{-1} = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \frac{b}{a}) > 1$. This generates a sequence of rectangles P_i, $P_{i+1} = \Psi_{m_i,n_i}(P_i)$. By the choice of m_i, n_i this sequence cannot have accumulation points different from Q_0, thus $P_i \to Q_0$. Therefore $Q_0 \in \mathcal{P}_1$ by operation (c). ☐

Corollary 10.4. *Every rectangle $P \subset L$ of area 1 with horizontal and vertical sides is in the class $\mathcal{P}_1$, $P \in \mathcal{P}_1$.*

Proof. According to Lemma 10.2, it suffices to show that P can be obtained from Q_0 by operations (a)–(c). By the proof of Lemma 10.3, there is a sequence of integer pairs (m_i, n_i) such that the sequence of rectangles P_i, where $P_1 = P$, $P_{i+1} = \Psi_i(P_i)$ and $\Psi_i = \Psi_{m_i,n_i}$, converges to Q_0, $P_i \to Q_0$. For every integer $i \geq 1$ we inductively define a rectangle Q_i such that $Q_i = \Phi_i(Q_{i-1})$, where $\Phi_i = (\Psi_i \circ \cdots \circ \Psi_1)^{-1}$. Since $\Phi_i^{-1}(P) = P_i \to Q_0$, we have $Q_i \to P$. Furthermore, $Q_i \in \mathcal{P}_1$ for every $i \geq 1$ because $Q_0 \in \mathcal{P}_1$ by Lemma 10.3. Therefore $P \in \mathcal{P}_1$. ☐

We denote with $\mathcal{P}$ the class of all the rectangles $P \subset L$ with horizontal and vertical sides that satisfy the area law of lifting, $\delta(P)^2 = c_0^2 \cdot \operatorname{area} P$, with the lifting constant c_0.

Proposition 10.5. *Every rectangle $P \subset L$ with horizontal and vertical sides is in the class $\mathcal{P}$.*

Proof. By property (H) and Lemma 4.15 for every $o \in X_\omega$ and every $\lambda > 0$ there is a pure homothety $h_\lambda : X_\omega \to X_\omega$ with $h_\lambda(o) = o$ and with coefficient λ. Then h_λ preserves every foliation of X_ω by Busemann parallel Ptolemy lines. Thus its projection to the base, $\overline{h}_\lambda = \pi_*(h_\lambda) : B_\omega \to B_\omega$, is a homothety with coefficient λ that fixes $\overline{o} = \pi_\omega(o)$, and $\overline{h}_\lambda(l) = l$ for every (geodesic) line $l \subset B_\omega$ through $\overline{o}$.

We take $o \in X_\omega$ with $\overline{o} \in L$. Then $\overline{h}_\lambda$ preserves L and horizontal and vertical directions on it. Furthermore, for every $P' = \overline{h}_\lambda(P)$, $P \subset L$ is a polygon, we have $\delta(P') = \lambda\delta(P)$. Taking $P \in \mathcal{P}_1$ we obtain

$$\delta(P')^2 = \lambda^2\delta(P)^2 = c_0^2 \cdot \operatorname{area} P'.$$

Thus $P' \in \mathcal{P}$. However, by Corollary 10.4 every rectangle $P' \subset L$ with horizontal and vertical sides can be represented as $P' = \overline{h}_\lambda(P)$ for some $P \in \mathcal{P}_1$ and some $\lambda > 0$. $\square$

10.3 Metrics on $\mathbb{C}$-lines

Recall that every $\mathbb{C}$-line $F \subset X_\omega$ is a fiber of the projection $\pi_\omega : X_\omega \to B_\omega$.

Proposition 10.6. *Given x, y, $z \in F$, y lies between x and z with respect to the order on a $\mathbb{C}$-line F, we have*

$$|xz|^2 = |xy|^2 + |yz|^2.$$

Proof. By Lemma 9.7 there is an unclosed parallelogram $P \subset B_\omega$. Thus by Proposition 10.5 there is a two-dimensional subspace $L \subset B_\omega$ with horizontal and vertical directions satisfying the area law of lifting with a lifting constant $c_0 > 0$. W.L.G. we can assume that $F = \pi_\omega^{-1}(o)$ for some point $o \in L$. By Proposition 10.5, for every $a > 0$ a rectangle $P_a \subset L$ with the horizontal sides of length 1 and the vertical sides of length a belongs to the class $\mathcal{P}$, $\delta(P_a)^2 = c_0^2 \cdot a$. We put $a = |xy|^2/c_0^2$, $b = |yz|^2/c_0^2$ and represent the rectangle P_{a+b} as the union of P_a and P_b with a common horizontal side. Then $\tau_{P_{a+b}} = \tau_{P_b} \circ \tau_{P_a}$ by Lemma 10.1. Since $\delta(P_a) = c_0\sqrt{a} = |xy|$, we have $\tau_{P_a}(x) = y$ and similarly $\tau_{P_b}(y) = z$. Therefore $\tau_{P_{a+b}}(x) = z$, and we obtain

$$|xz|^2 = \delta(P_{a+b})^2 = c_0^2(a+b) = \delta(P_a)^2 + \delta(P_b)^2 = |xy|^2 + |yz|^2.$$

$\square$

11 Canonical complex structure on the base

In this section we show that the base B_ω possesses a complex structure uniquely determined by the geometry of the space X_ω. This complex structure is said to be *canonical.*

11.1 Functional ξ_u

We fix a base point $o \in B_\omega$ and regard B_ω as an Euclidean vector space identifying $u \in B_\omega$ with the vector $\overrightarrow{ou}$. Given a unit vector $u \in B_\omega$, $|u| := |ou| = 1$, we let $u^\perp \subset B_\omega$ be the orthogonal complement to u. For every vector $v \in u^\perp$ we denote with $u \wedge v \subset B_\omega$ the oriented rectangle spanned by

u, v, and with $\tau_{u\wedge v} : X_\omega \to X_\omega$ the respective lifting isometry, see sect. 10.1. We define the sign of v with respect to u as

$$\operatorname{sign}_u(v) = \begin{cases} +1, & x < \tau_{u\wedge v}(x) \\ 0, & x = \tau_{u\wedge v}(x) \\ -1, & \tau_{u\wedge v}(x) < x \end{cases}$$

for some and hence any $x \in X_\omega$. Now, we define a function $\xi_u : u^\perp \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\xi_u(v) = \operatorname{sign}_u(v) \cdot \delta(u \wedge v)^2,$$

where $\delta(u \wedge v)$ is the displacement of $\tau_{u\wedge v}$, $\delta(u \wedge v) = |x\tau_{u\wedge v}(x)|$ for some and hence any $x \in X_\omega$.

The following lemma will be used in the proof of additivity of ξ_u.

Lemma 11.1. *Let $P = xyzu$, $Q = xyz'u'$, $Q' = u'z'zu$ be oriented rectangles in B_ω such that $z'u' = Q \cap Q'$ is the common side of Q and Q'. Then for the closed oriented polygon $Q \cup Q' = xyz'zuu'x \subset B_\omega$ the lifting isometries τ_P, $\tau_{Q\cup Q} : X_\omega \to X_\omega$ coincide, $\tau_P = \tau_{Q\cup Q}$.*

Proof. The lifting isometries τ_P and $\tau_{Q\cup Q'}$ differ by lifting isometries τ_Δ, $\tau_{\Delta'}$,

$$\tau_{Q\cup Q'}^{-1} \circ \tau_P = \tau_{\Delta'} \circ \tau_\Delta,$$

where $\Delta = uxu'$, $\Delta' = zz'y$. However, the triangle Δ' is a shifted copy of the triangle Δ, and Δ, Δ' enter the formula above with opposite orientations. Thus their contributions cancel out, and we have $\tau_P = \tau_{Q\cup Q}$. ☐

Proposition 11.2. *The function $\xi_u : u^\perp \to \mathbb{R}$ is a nonzero linear functional for every unit vector $u \in B_\omega$.*

Proof. First, we show that ξ_u is additive, $\xi_u(v+v') = \xi_u(v)+\xi_u(v')$ for all v, $v' \in u^\perp$. We denote $w = v+v'$. It follows from Lemma 11.1 and Lemma 10.1 that $\tau_{u\wedge w} = \tau_{u\wedge v'} \circ \tau_{u\wedge v}$. We fix a fiber $F \subset X_\omega$, a point $x \in F$, and assume W.L.G. that $x < \tau_{u\wedge w}(x)$ (this assumption depends neither on F nor on $x \in F$). If $x < \tau_{u\wedge v}(x) < \tau_{u\wedge w}(x)$, then $\operatorname{sign}_u(w) = \operatorname{sign}_u(v) = \operatorname{sign}_u(v') = 1$ by definition and $\delta(u\wedge w)^2 = \delta(u\wedge v)^2 + \delta(u\wedge v')^2$ by Proposition 10.6. In the opposite case we have W.L.G. that $\tau_{u\wedge v'}(x) < x < \tau_{u\wedge w}(x) < \tau_{u\wedge v}(x)$ and thus $\operatorname{sign}_u(w) = \operatorname{sign}_u(v) = -\operatorname{sign}_u(v')$, $\delta(u \wedge w)^2 = \delta(u \wedge v)^2 - \delta(u \wedge v')^2$. In both cases this gives $\xi_u(w) = \xi_u(v) + \xi_u(v')$.

Next, we show that ξ_u is homogeneous. We have $\operatorname{sign}_u(\lambda v) = \operatorname{sign}(\lambda) \cdot \operatorname{sign}_u(v)$ for each $v \in u^\perp$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, because the orientation of the rectangle $u \wedge (\lambda v)$ depends on the sign of λ. Thus using the area law of lifting, which holds by Proposition 10.5 in the plane L spanned by u, v, with some constant $c_0 \geq 0$, we have

$$\xi_u(\lambda v) = \operatorname{sign}(\lambda) \operatorname{sign}_u(v) c_0^2 |\lambda||v| = \lambda \operatorname{sign}_u(v) c_0^2 |v| = \lambda \xi_u(v)$$

for every $v \in u^\perp$ and every $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus $\xi_u : u^\perp \to \mathbb{R}$ is linear.

Finally, there are unclosed rectangles in B_ω and the subgroup of isometries in $H = \pi_*(G_\omega)$ preserving o acts transitively on the unit sphere $S(o)$. Then there is a unit vector $v \in u^\perp$ such that $\operatorname{sign}_u(v) = 1$. Thus $\xi_u \neq 0$. ☐

We put $c = \sup_Q \delta(Q)$, where the supremum is taken over all the unit squares $Q \subset B$, and call c the *lifting constant* of B.

Lemma 11.3. *For every unit vector $u \in B_\omega$ the norm of the linear functional $\xi_u : u^\perp \to \mathbb{R}$ is $|\xi_u| = c^2$.*

Proof. By Proposition 11.2, $\xi_u \neq 0$. Thus there is a unique unit vector $v \in u^\perp$ with $\xi_u(v) = |\xi_u| \le c^2$.

The lifting constant c can be computed by taking the supremum $\sup_Q \delta(Q)$ over the compact set of all unit squares $Q \subset B_\omega$ having the base point o as a vertex. By continuity of the lift $Q \mapsto \tau_Q$, there is a unit square Q_0 with $\delta(Q_0) = c$. Applying to Q_0 an isometry of type $\pi_*(\varphi) : B_\omega \to B_\omega$ if necessary, we can assume that $Q_0 = u \wedge v'$, where $v' \in u^\perp$, $\operatorname{sign}_u(v') = 1$. Then $\xi_u(v') = c^2$. It follows that $v' = v$ and $|\xi_u| = c^2$. ☐

11.2 Complex structure on the base

By Lemma 11.3, for every unit vector $u \in B_\omega$ there is a unique $v \in u^\perp$, $|v| = 1$, $\operatorname{sign}_u(v) = 1$, such that $\xi_u(v) = c^2$. This define a map $J : S(o) \to S(o)$, $J(u) = v$, where $S(o) \subset B_\omega$ is the unit sphere centered at o. We put $J(o) = o$ and extend J on B by homogeneity, $J(u) = |u|J(u/|u|)$ for every $u \in B_\omega$.

Lemma 11.4. *Let $h : S(o) \to S(o)$ be a map commuting with a subgroup $G \subset \mathbb{O}(k)$, $k = \dim B_\omega$, acting transitively on $S(o)$ (we do not require that h is an isometry). Then the displacement of h is constant.*

Proof. Given x, $y \in S(o)$, there is $g \in G$ with $g(x) = y$. Then $|yh(y)| = |g(x)h(g(x))| = |g(x)g(h(x))| = |xh(x)|$. ☐

Proposition 11.5. *The map $J : B_\omega \to B_\omega$ is a complex structure on B_ω, that is, J is a linear isometry with $J^2 = -\operatorname{id}$. Moreover, every Möbius automorphism $\varphi : X_\omega \to X_\omega$ respecting the order of the $\mathbb{C}$-lines in X_ω preserves J, $\pi_*(\varphi) \circ J = J \circ \pi_*(\varphi)$.*

Proof. For $u \in S(o)$ let $K_u \subset u^\perp$ be the kernel of ξ_u, $K_u = \ker \xi_u$. By definition, $v = J(u) = \operatorname{grad} \xi_u / |\operatorname{grad} \xi_u|$, thus $K_u \subset u^\perp \cap v^\perp$. Since $\dim K_u = \dim u^\perp - 1 = \dim(u^\perp \cap v^\perp)$, we have $K_u = u^\perp \cap v^\perp = K_v$, that is, the kernel K_u is invariant under J, $J(K_u) = K_v = K_u$. Furthermore, $\operatorname{sign}_v(u) = -\operatorname{sign}_u(v)$ because the rectangles $u \wedge v$ and $v \wedge u$ have opposite orientations. We conclude that $J(v) = -u$, i.e., $J^2 = -\operatorname{id}$.

Let $\varphi : X_\omega \to X_\omega$ be a Möbius automorphism that respects the order O of the $\mathbb{C}$-lines in X_ω. Recall that then φ is a homothety, because φ preserves the infinitely remote point ω. Applying if necessary a shift, we can assume W.L.G. that the homothety $\overline{\varphi} = \pi_*(\varphi) : B_\omega \to B_\omega$ also preserves the base point o, $\overline{\varphi}(o) = o$. Given u, $v \in S(o)$, $v \in u^\perp$, we denote by u', $v' \in S(o)$ the unit vectors $u' = \overline{\varphi}(u)/|\overline{\varphi}(u)|$, $v' = \overline{\varphi}(v)/|\overline{\varphi}(v)|$. Then $v' \in u'^\perp$. Furthermore, for the displacements $\delta(u \wedge v)$, $\delta(u' \wedge v')$ we have

$$|\overline{\varphi}(u)| \cdot |\overline{\varphi}(v)| \cdot \delta(u' \wedge v') = \delta(\overline{\varphi}(u) \wedge \overline{\varphi}(v)) = \lambda^2 \delta(u \wedge v),$$

where $\lambda > 0$ is the homothety coefficient of φ, the first equality follows from Proposition 10.5 and the second one follows from the fact that the homothety $\overline{\varphi} : B_\omega \to B_\omega$ is the projection of the homothety $\varphi : X_\omega \to X_\omega$. Thus $\delta(u' \wedge v') = \delta(u \wedge v)$. Since φ preserves the order O of the $\mathbb{C}$-lines in X_ω, we have $\operatorname{sign}_{u'}(v') = \operatorname{sign}_u(v)$ and thus $\xi_{u'}(v') = \xi_u(v)$. It follows $\overline{\varphi}(K_u) = K_{u'}$. This means that $\overline{\varphi} \circ J(u) = \lambda J(u')$, and we obtain

$$J \circ \overline{\varphi}(u) = J(\lambda u') = \lambda J(u') = \overline{\varphi} \circ J(u),$$

that is, J is preserved by any Möbius automorphism $\varphi : X_\omega \to X_\omega$.

It remains to show that $J : B_\omega \to B_\omega$ is a linear isometry. For every $u \in S(o)$, the 2-dimensional subspace $L_u \subset B_\omega$ spanned by u, $J(u)$, as well as its orthogonal complement $K_u = L_u^\perp \subset B_\omega$, is J-invariant, $J(L_u) = L_u$, $J(L_u^\perp) = L_u^\perp$. By induction over the dimension of B_ω, we construct an orthonormal basic $b = \{u_1, v_1, \dots, u_k, v_k\}$ of B_ω, where $u_1 = u$, $v_i = J(u_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, k$. In particular, the dimension of B_ω is even, $\dim B = 2m$. Now, we define a linear map $J_0 : B_\omega \to B_\omega$ by $J_0(u_i) = v_i$, $J_0(v_i) = -u_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$, that is, J_0 coincides with J on the basis b.

Let G be a subgroup of isometries of type $\overline{\varphi} = \pi_*(\varphi) : B_\omega \to B_\omega$, $\overline{\varphi}(o) = o$, where $\varphi : X_\omega \to X_\omega$ is a Möbius automorphism that respects the order O of the $\mathbb{C}$-lines in X_ω. Since J_0 coincides with J on the complex lines spanned by u_i, v_i, $i = 1, \dots, k$, and J commutes with every $\overline{\varphi} \in G$, we obtain that the isometry

$$g = \overline{\varphi} \circ J_0 \circ \overline{\varphi}^{-1} \circ J_0^{-1} : B_\omega \to B_\omega$$

is identical on every complex line above. These complex lines span B_ω, thus $g = \mathrm{id}$ for every $\overline{\varphi} \in G$, that is, the group G centralizes J_0. By property (E_2), G acts transitively on $S(o)$.

The map $h = J \circ J_0^{-1} : S(o) \to S(o)$ commutes with every $g \in G$ because J and J_0 do. Then by Lemma 11.4 the displacement of h is constant. Since $h(u_i) = u_i$ for every $i = 1, \dots, k$, we obtain $h = \mathrm{id}$ and $J = J_0$. ☐

Remark 11.6. A *complex line* in B_ω is a 2-dimensional subspace L invariant under J, $J(L) = L$. By definition of J, the lifting constant for L takes the maximal value over all 2-dimensional subspaces.

12 Coordinates in X_ω

For a given $\omega \in X$ we fix as usual a metric from the Möbius structure with infinitely remote point ω. We also fix an order O on X_ω, a base point $o \in X_\omega$, and identify the base B_ω with Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^k$, $k = \dim B_\omega$, with origin $\pi_\omega(o)$. With $\mu : X_\omega \to F_o$ we denote the projection onto the fiber $F_o = \pi_\omega^{-1}(\pi_\omega(o))$ of π_ω. It follows from Lemma 8.9 that μ is continuous. We define the *standard coordinates* of every point $x \in X_\omega$ as $(z,h) \in \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R} = \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$, where $z = \pi_\omega(x)$, $h = \frac{1}{4}\operatorname{sign}\mu(x)|o\mu(x)|^2$,

$$\operatorname{sign}\mu(x) = \begin{cases} +1 & o < \mu(x) \\ 0 & o = \mu(x) \\ -1 & \mu(x) < o. \end{cases}$$

The coefficient $\frac{1}{4}$ in front of the expression for the coordinate h is introduced to provide the property that the standard generator $c = [a,b]$ of the center of the classical Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{H}^1$ has coordinates $c = (0,1)$.

12.1 Multiplication law in coordinates

Since the group N_ω acts on X_ω simply transitively, see Lemma 7.1, every isometry $g \in N_\omega$ can be written as $g = (z,h)$, where (z,h) are the coordinates of $g(o)$.

Lemma 12.1. *For $g = (z,h)$, $g' = (z',h') \in N_\omega$ we have*

$$g \cdot g' = (z + z', h + h' + h \circ \tau_T(o)),$$

where $T = \frac{1}{2}(z \wedge z') = \pi_\omega(o)z(z+z') \subset B_\omega$ is the oriented triangle, $\tau_T : X_\omega \to X_\omega$ the respective lifting isometry, see sect. 10.1.

Proof. The center Z_ω of N_ω acts on X_ω by vertical shifts, and by Proposition 10.6 we have $g \cdot g' = (0, h+h')$ for $g = (0,h)$, $g' = (0,h') \in Z_\omega$.

The group $\pi_*(N_\omega) = N_\omega/Z_\omega$ acts on the base B_ω by shifts, and we have $\pi_*(g) \cdot \pi_*(g') = z + z'$ for $g = (z,h)$, $g' = (z',h') \in N$.

Let $l \subset X_\omega$ be the Ptolemy line through o such that $z : B_\omega \to B_\omega$ is the shift along the line $\pi_\omega(l)$. There is an isometry $\widetilde{g} \in N_\omega$ which preserves l and projects down to $z = \pi_*(\widetilde{g})$. Then $\widetilde{g} = (z,0)$, and $g = (z,h)$ can be written as $(z,h) = (z,0)\cdot(0,h) = \widetilde{g}\cdot(0,h)$. Similarly we have $g' = (z',h') = (z',0)\cdot(0,h') = \widetilde{g}'\cdot(0,h')$, and the isometry $g' \in N_\omega$ preserves a line $l' \subset X_\omega$ through o. Then $l'' = \widetilde{g}(l')$ is the Ptolemy line in X_ω through $\widetilde{g}(o) = (z,0)$ and $\widetilde{g}\cdot\widetilde{g}'(o)$. The z-coordinate of the point $\widetilde{g}\cdot\widetilde{g}'(o)$ is $z + z'$.

Now, we compute the h-coordinate of $\widetilde{g}\cdot\widetilde{g}'(o)$. Let $z \wedge z' \subset B_\omega$ be the oriented parallelogram spanned by z, z', $T = \frac{1}{2}(z \wedge z')$ the oriented triangle $\pi_\omega(o)z(z+z')$. Then $\mu(\widetilde{g}\cdot\widetilde{g}'(o)) = \tau_T(o)$. Thus $\widetilde{g}\cdot\widetilde{g}' = (z+z', h\circ\tau_T(o))$, and we obtain $g \cdot g' = \widetilde{g}\cdot(0,h)\cdot\widetilde{g}'\cdot(0,h') = (z+z', h+h'+h\circ\tau_T(o))$. $\square$

Lemma 12.2. *For any triangle* $T = \frac{1}{2} z \wedge z' \subset B_\omega$*, we have*

$$h \circ \tau_T(o) = \frac{c^2}{8} \langle J(z), z' \rangle,$$

where $c > 0$ *is the lifting constant of* B_ω*.*

Proof. By Lemma 10.1, $\tau_{z \wedge z'} = \tau_T^2$. Thus by Proposition 10.6 $|o\tau_T(o)|^2 = \frac{1}{2}\delta(z \wedge z')^2$, where $\delta(z \wedge z')$ is the displacement of the isometry $\tau_{z \wedge z'}$. By results of section 10.2 the lifting isometry $\tau_{z \wedge z'}$ does not change when we replace the parallelogram $z \wedge z'$ by a rectangle of equal area that has a side of length one in the same 2-dimensional subspace. Thus we can assume that $|z| = 1$ and $z \perp z'$. Since $\tau_T(o) \in F_o$, we have $\mu \circ \tau_T = \tau_T$ and $\operatorname{sign} \mu \circ \tau_T = \operatorname{sign}_z(z')$, see section 11. It follows that $h \circ \tau_T(o) = \frac{1}{8}\xi_z(z')$, where the linear functional $\xi_z : z^\perp \to \mathbb{R}$ is used in the definition of the complex structure J (for the definition of ξ_z see sect. 11.1).

By Lemma 11.3, $|\xi_z| = c^2$. Then $\xi_z(z') = c^2 \langle J(z), z' \rangle$ and $h \circ \tau_T(o) = \frac{c^2}{8}\langle J(z), z' \rangle$. □

12.2 The distance function D

For two points $x, y \in X_\omega$ let F_x and F_y be the $\mathbb{C}$-lines through x, y, and let $\mu_{xy} : F_x \to F_y$ be the projection, see sect. 8.3. We denote with

$$|xy|_\omega^{\mathbb{R}} = |\pi_\omega(x)\pi_\omega(y)|, \quad |xy|_\omega^{\mathbb{C}} = |\mu_{xy}(x)y|.$$

Note that $|yx|_\omega^{\mathbb{C}} = |xy|_\omega^{\mathbb{C}}$ because Ptolemy lines are equidistant on every semi-$\mathbb{C}$-plane in X_ω, see Lemma 8.7.

Lemma 12.3. *There exists some function* $D : [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$*, such that for all* $\omega \in X$ *and all* $x, y \in X_\omega$

$$|xy|_\omega = D(|xy|_\omega^{\mathbb{R}}, |xy|_\omega^{\mathbb{C}}).$$

Proof. To prove this, we have to show, that given triples of points ω, x, y and ω', x', y' in X with $|xy|_\omega^{\mathbb{R}} = |x'y'|_{\omega'}^{\mathbb{R}}$ and $|xy|_\omega^{\mathbb{C}} = |x'y'|_{\omega'}^{\mathbb{C}}$ we have $|xy|_\omega = |x'y'|_{\omega'}$.

We can assume that $|xy|_\omega^{\mathbb{R}} \neq 0$, since otherwise the claim is trivial. Let $z = \mu_{xy}(x)$ and $z' = \mu_{x'y'}(x')$. By assumption $|xz|_\omega = |x'z'|_{\omega'} \neq 0$ and $|zy|_\omega = |z'y'|_{\omega'}$. By ($E_2$) there exists a Möbius map $\varphi : X \to X$ which maps $\omega \to \omega'$, $x \to x'$, $z \to z'$ because each triple of points (ω, x, z) and (ω', x', z') belongs to a respective Ptolemy circle in X. Note that $\varphi : X_\omega \to X_{\omega'}$ is an isometry that maps the fibers F_x, $F_y = F_z$ to $F_{x'}$, $F_{y'} = F_{z'}$. Then φ maps y either to y' or to $y'' \in F_{y'}$, the points symmetric to y' with $|y''z'|_{\omega'} = |z'y'|_{\omega'}$. In the last case, applying an isometry of $X_{\omega'}$ that order preserves the $\mathbb{C}$-lines $F_{x'}$, $F_{y'}$ and maps y' to z', and Lemma 8.8, we have $|xy|_\omega = |x'y''|_{\omega'} = |x'y'|_{\omega'}$. This proves our claim. □

Lemma 12.4. *The distance function D is homogeneous, $D(\lambda a, \lambda b) = \lambda D(a, b)$ for every a, $b \geq 0$, $\lambda > 0$.*

Proof. Let $\varphi_\lambda : X_\omega \to X_\omega$ be a homothety with coefficient λ. Then for each x, $x' \in X_\omega$ we have $|\varphi_\lambda(x)\varphi_\lambda(x')|_\omega^{\mathbb{R}} = \lambda|xx'|_\omega^{\mathbb{R}}$ and $|\varphi_\lambda(x)\varphi_\lambda(x')|_\omega^{\mathbb{C}} = \lambda|xx'|_\omega^{\mathbb{C}}$. Together with $|\varphi_\lambda(x)\varphi_\lambda(x')| = \lambda|xx'|$ this implies the claim. □

12.3 Existence of a vertical flip

Results of this section have important applications in sect. 13.

A *vertical flip* w.r.t. $o \in X_\omega$ is an isometry $j : X_\omega \to X_\omega$ that reverses the order O and preserves o, $j(o) = o$. In particular, $j(F) = F$ for the $\mathbb{C}$-line F through o and $j|F$ reverses the order of F.

Let $J : B_\omega \to B_\omega$ be the canonical complex structure on B_ω. The orthonormal basis $b = \{u_1, v_1, \dots, u_k, v_k\}$, where $v_i = J(u_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, k$, is called a *canonical basis* of B_ω for the complex structure J. We define a linear map $\mathrm{Conj} : B_\omega \to B_\omega$ by $\mathrm{Conj}(u_i) = u_i$, $\mathrm{Conj}(v_i) = -v_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$. Then Conj is an isometry that anticommutes with J, $J \circ \mathrm{Conj} = -\mathrm{Conj} \circ J$. In particular, we have

$$\langle J \circ \mathrm{Conj}(z), \mathrm{Conj}(z')\rangle = -\langle J(z), z'\rangle \tag{11}$$

for each z, $z' \in B_\omega$, where $\langle z, z'\rangle$ is the inner product of z, z' of the Euclidean space B_ω.

Proposition 12.5. *For every $o \in X_\omega$ and a Ptolemy line $l \subset X_\omega$ through o there exists a vertical flip of X_ω with respect to o that fixes l pointwise.*

Proof. We introduce standard coordinates $x = (z, h)$ in X_ω with the origin o and take a canonical basis $b = \{u_1, v_1, \dots, u_k, v_k\}$ of the complex structure J such that the vector u_1 generates 1-dimensional subspace $\pi_\omega(l) \subset B_\omega$. Let $\mathrm{Conj} : B_\omega \to B_\omega$ be the conjugation isometry associated with b. We define $j : X_\omega \to X_\omega$ as

$$j(x) = j(z, h) = (\mathrm{Conj}(z), -h).$$

Then $j(o) = o$, j fixes l pointwise by the choice of b, $j(F) = F$, where F is the $\mathbb{C}$-line through o, and $j|F$ reverses the order. We only have to show that j is an isometry.

Recall that X_ω is identified with the group N_ω by $x = g(o)$, $x \in X_\omega$, $g \in N_\omega$. For $g \in N_\omega$, $g = (z, h)$, we put $\|g\| := |og(o)| = D(|z|, 2\sqrt{|h|})$, where we used Lemma 12.3 in the last equality. Since N_ω acts on X_ω by isometries, for $x = g(o)$, $x' = g'(o)$, we have $|xx'| = |g(o)g'(o)| = |og^{-1} \cdot g'(o)| = \|g^{-1} \cdot g'\|$. Using Lemma 12.1, we obtain for $g = (z, h)$, $g' = (z', h')$

$$g^{-1} \cdot g' = (-z, -h) \cdot (z', h') = (z' - z, h' - h + h \circ \tau_T(o)),$$

where $T = \frac{1}{2}(-z \wedge z') = \pi(o)(-z)(z'-z) \subset B_\omega$ is the oriented triangle. By Lemma 12.2, $h \circ \tau_T(o) = \frac{c^2}{8}\langle J(-z), z'\rangle = -\frac{c^2}{8}\langle J(z), z'\rangle$. Therefore

$$|xx'| = D\left(|z-z'|, 2|h-h'+\frac{c^2}{8}\langle J(z), z'\rangle|^{1/2}\right). \tag{12}$$

Similarly for $j(x) = (\mathrm{Conj}(z), -h)$, $j(x') = (\mathrm{Conj}(z'), -h')$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}|j(x)j(x')| &= \|\,(\mathrm{Conj}(z), -h)^{-1} \cdot \big(\mathrm{Conj}(z'), -h'\big)\,\| \\ &= \|\,\big(\mathrm{Conj}(z') - \mathrm{Conj}(z), h-h'+h\circ\tau_{T'}(o)\big)\,\|,\end{aligned}$$

where $T' = \frac{1}{2}(-\mathrm{Conj}(z) \wedge \mathrm{Conj}(z')) = \pi(o)(-\mathrm{Conj}(z))(\mathrm{Conj}(z'-z))$ is the oriented triangle in B_ω. Then $h \circ \tau_{T'}(o) = -\frac{c^2}{8}\langle J\circ\mathrm{Conj}(z), \mathrm{Conj}(z')\rangle = \frac{c^2}{8}\langle J(z), z'\rangle$ by Equality (11). Using that $\mathrm{Conj} : B_\omega \to B_\omega$ is an isometry, we obtain

$$|j(x)j(x')| = D\left(|z-z'|, 2|h-h'+\frac{c^2}{8}\langle J(z), z'\rangle|^{1/2}\right).$$

Comparing the formulae for the distances $|xx'|$, $|j(x)j(x')|$ we see that j is an isometry. ☐

13 Ptolemy circles in X_ω

Here we study shape of Ptolemy circles in X_ω. Results of this section are used to compute the lifting constant c.

13.1 Ptolemy circles meeting a $\mathbb{C}$-line twice

Let now $\omega \in X$, and let $F \subset X_\omega$ be a $\mathbb{C}$-line. With $\mu = \mu_\omega : X_\omega \to F$ we denote the projection onto F.

Lemma 13.1. *Let $z \in F$ and assume $\mu(u) = z$ and $\mu(v) = z$ for u, $v \in X_\omega$ such that $|uv| = |uz| + |zv|$. Then u, v, z lie on a common Ptolemy line in X_ω.*

Proof. We can assume that both u, v are not on F, since otherwise the claim is obvious. Let l be the Ptolemy line through z and u, and let l' be the Ptolemy line through z and v. Let $c, c' : \mathbb{R} \to X_\omega$ be unit speed parameterizations of l, l', such that $c(0) = c'(0) = z$, $c(t_0) = u$, for $t_0 = |zu|$ and $c'(s_0) = v$, for $s_0 = -|vz|$. By assumption $|c(t_0)c'(s_0)| = t_0 - s_0$. For every $\lambda > 0$ there is a pure homothety $\varphi_\lambda : X_\omega \to X_\omega$, $\varphi_\lambda(z) = z$, with coefficient λ. Then $\varphi_\lambda \circ c(t) = c(\lambda t)$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\varphi_\lambda \circ c'(s) = c'(\lambda s)$ for every $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus $|c(t)c'(s)| = t - s$ for all $t \geq 0$, $s \leq 0$. This implies $b(c'(s)) = -s$ for all $s \leq 0$, where $b : X_\omega \to \mathbb{R}$ is a Busemann function, associated with l, $b(y) = \lim_{t\to\infty}(|c(t)y| - |c(t)z|)$, $y \in X_\omega$. Thus $b(c'(s)) = -s$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$, since b is affine. Therefore $b \circ c' = b \circ c$. Then $l' = l$ by Lemma 4.11. ☐

Lemma 13.2. *Let $\sigma \subset X_\omega$ be a $\mathbb{R}$-circle intersecting the $\mathbb{C}$-line F in two points x and y. Let $u, v \in \sigma$ be points in different components of $\sigma \setminus \{x, y\}$ such that $\mu(u) = \mu(v) = z \in F$. Then the points u, z, v are contained in a Ptolemy line.*

Proof. Let l be the Ptolemy line through the points u and $z = \mu(u)$. Consider the point v' on l with order $v' < z < u$ such that $|v'z| = |vz|$. We show that $v = v'$. By the Ptolemy equality on σ we have

$$|vu||xy| = |xu||yv| + |uy||vx|,$$

where we use that the pair u, $v \in \sigma$ is separated by the pair x, $y \in \sigma$. On the other hand, we have

$$|v'u||xy| \leq |xu||yv'| + |uy||v'x|.$$

Note also that $|vy| = |v'y|, |vx| = |v'x|$ by Lemma 12.3. Then $|v'u| \leq |vu|$. On the other hand, $|vu| \leq |v'u|$ by definition of v'. Thus $|vu| = |v'u|$ and $v' = v$ by Lemma 13.1. □

Corollary 13.3. *Let $F \subset X_\omega$ be a $\mathbb{C}$-line, and $\sigma \subset X_\omega$ a Ptolemy circle intersecting F in two distinct points x, $y \in F$. Let $z \in F$ a point between x and y, $x < z < y$. Then $|\mu^{-1}(z) \cap \sigma| = 2$.*

Proof. Note that $\omega \notin \sigma$ since otherwise σ is a Ptolemy line in X_ω intersecting F in x, y in contradiction with Corollary 8.6($4_{\mathbb{C}}$). By the continuity of μ we have $|\mu^{-1}(z) \cap \sigma| \geq 2$, since at least one point of every arc of σ from x to y projects to z. Assume that there are 3 points projecting to z, u from one arc, and v, v' from the other, then by Lemma 13.2 the points u, v, v' are on the Ptolemy line through $\mu(u)$ and u. But they are also on σ. Thus σ and this Ptolemy line coincide by Corollary 4.10. This is a contradiction. □

Lemma 13.4. *Given a $\mathbb{C}$-line $F \subset X_\omega$, points x, y, $z \in F$ such that $x < z < y$ and a Ptolemy line $l \subset X_\omega$ through z, there is at most one Ptolemy circle through x, y that meets l.*

Proof. It follows from Lemma 13.2 and Corollary 13.3 that every Ptolemy circle σ through x, y that meets l intersects l in two points u, v separated by z, $u < z < v \subset l$. If $\sigma' \neq \sigma$ is another Ptolemy circle through x, y that meets l in points u', v', $u' < z < v' \subset l$, then the points u, v, u', $v' \subset l$ are pairwise distinct by Corollary 4.10. Consider now the situation in a metric of the Möbius structure with infinitely remote point y. In this metric l is a Ptolemy circle intersecting F in z, ω, and $x \in F$ is a point between z and ω. The Ptolemy circles σ, σ' are Ptolemy lines through x. Let $\mu_y : X_y \to F$ be the projection in this metric. Then $\mu_y(u) = \mu_y(v) = \mu_y(u') = \mu_y(v') = x$, in contradiction to Corollary 13.3. Thus $\sigma = \sigma'$. □

Lemma 13.5. *Under the assumptions of Lemma 13.2 we have* $|uz| = |zv|$.

Proof. We denote by l the Ptolemy line containing u, z, v. By Proposition 8.2, there exists an isometry φ of X_ω preserving the order of $\mathbb{C}$-lines that extends the flip of l at z. Thus $\varphi(x) = x$, $\varphi(y) = y$, $\varphi(z) = z$, $\varphi(\omega) = \omega$. Then $\sigma' = \varphi(\sigma)$ is a Ptolemy circle through x, y that meets l at the points $\varphi(u)$, $\varphi(v)$. By Lemma 13.4, $\sigma' = \sigma$, hence $\varphi(u) = v, \varphi(v) = u$ and thus $|uz| = |zv|$. $\square$

Corollary 13.6. *The projection* $\mu(\sigma)$ *is the segment in* F *from* x *to* y. *Let* σ_1 *and* σ_2 *be the two segments of* σ *with endpoints* x *and* y, *then* $\mu|\sigma_i : \sigma_i \to \mu(\sigma_i)$ *is a homeomorphism for* $i = 1, 2$.

Proof. Let $z \in F$ be a point between x and y, $x < z < y$. There are $u_i \in \sigma_i$ with $\mu(u_i) = z$, $i = 1, 2$, and by Corollary 13.3 the points u_1, u_2 are uniquely determined. By Lemma 13.5 we have $|u_1 z| = |u_2 z|$. Then $|u_1 z| = |u_2 z| \to 0$ as $z \to x$ or y. Indeed otherwise we find $u_1 \in \sigma_1$, $u_2 \in \sigma_2$ distinct from x, y such that $\mu(u_1) = \mu(u_2) = x$ or y. Then by Lemma 13.2 there is a Ptolemy line $l \subset X_\omega$ through u_i, $\mu(u_i)$, $i = 1, 2$. These points are common for l and σ, hence $l = \sigma$ by Corollary 4.10, a contradiction since $\omega \notin \sigma$.

If follows that $\mu^{-1}(x) \cap \sigma = x$ and $\mu^{-1}(y) \cap \sigma = y$. Furthermore $\mu^{-1}(w) \cap \sigma = \emptyset$, if $w \in F$ is not in the segment from x to y, since $\sigma \subset X_\omega$ is an embedded circle. Therefore both the restrictions $\mu|\sigma_i : \sigma_i \to \mu(\sigma_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, are continuous bijections and thus homeomorphisms. $\square$

Lemma 13.7. *Given points* $x < z < y$ *in the* $\mathbb{C}$*-line* F *and a Ptolemy circle* $\sigma \subset X_\omega$ *through* x, y, *we have* $|xz| \cdot |zy| = |zu|^2$, *where* $u \in \sigma$ *is a point with* $\mu(u) = z$.

Proof. We denote $|xz| = a$, $|zy| = d$. In a first step we show that the distance $r = |uz|$ depends only on a, d. Let F' be a $\mathbb{C}$-line with infinitely remote point ω', $x' < z' < y'$ be points in F' with $|x'z'| = a$, $|z'y'| = d$, $\sigma' \subset X_{\omega'}$ a Ptolemy circle through x', y', a point $u' \in \sigma'$ is projected to z' by the respective projection $\mu' : X_{\omega'} \to F'$, where the distances are taken in a metric $d_{\omega'}$ of the Möbius structure.

There are Ptolemy circles l and l' in X through ω, z, u and ω', z', u' respectively. By (E_2), a Möbius map $\varphi : l \to l'$ with $\varphi(\omega) = \omega'$, $\varphi(z) = z'$, $\varphi(u) = u'$, is extended to a Möbius automorphism $X \to X$ for which we use the same notation φ. Then $\varphi : (X, d_\omega) \to (X, d_{\omega'})$ is a homothety with $\varphi(F) = F'$. Thus applying if necessary a homothety w.r.t. the metric $d_{\omega'}$ that fixes z' and leaves invariant l', we can assume that $|z'\varphi(x)| = |z'x'| = a$ and $|z'\varphi(y)| = |z'y'| = d$. Then $\varphi : (X, d_\omega) \to (X, d_{\omega'})$ is an isometry. Next, applying if necessary a vertical flip $j : X_{\omega'} \to X_{\omega'}$ which fixes the Ptolemy line l' pointwise, we can assume that $\varphi(x) = x'$, $\varphi(y) = y'$. Then by Lemma 13.4, we have $\varphi(\sigma) = \sigma'$. Hence using Lemma 13.5, we obtain $|z'u'| = |zu| = r$.

In a second step we show that $r^2 = ad$. Let d_z be the inversion of the metric $\lambda d_\omega(p,q) = \lambda|pq|$ with respect to z, where $\lambda = 1/ad$, $d_z(p,q) = \frac{ad|pq|}{|zp|\cdot|zq|}$. Then $d_z(\omega,x) = d$, $d_z(\omega,y) = a$, and σ is still a Ptolemy circle through the points x, y in the $\mathbb{C}$-line F with infinitely remote point z. The Ptolemy line l in the metric d_ω through z, u with infinitely remote point ω is the Ptolemy line in the metric d_z through ω, u with infinitely remote point z. Hence $d_z(\omega,u) = r$ by the first step. On the other hand, we have $d_z(\omega,u) = ad/r$. Thus $ad = r^2$. ☐

Proposition 13.8. *Let $\sigma \subset X_\omega$ be a Ptolemy circle that intersects the $\mathbb{C}$-line F in distinct points x, y and meets a Ptolemy line $l \subset X_\omega$ in points u, v with $|uz| = |zv| = 1$, where $z \in l \cap F$ is the midpoint between x, y. Then $|zw| = 1$ for every $w \in \sigma$.*

Proof. Let $\varphi : l \to l$ be a Möbius involution with $\varphi(u) = u$, $\varphi(v) = v$ and $\varphi(z) = \omega$, $\varphi(\omega) = z$. By (E_2), φ extends to a Möbius automorphism of X for which we use the same notation, $\varphi : X \to X$. Then φ preserves the $\mathbb{C}$-circle F, $\varphi(F) = F$, because by Corollary 8.6($3_\mathbb{C}$), F is the unique $\mathbb{C}$-circle through z, ω.

Let d_z be the metric inversion of d_ω w.r.t. z, where $d_\omega(p,q) = |pq|$ for p, $q \in X$, that is, $d_z(p,q) = \frac{|pq|}{|zp|\cdot|zq|}$. Then $\varphi : (X, d_\omega) \to (X, d_z)$ is an isometry because

$$d_z(\varphi(u),\varphi(z)) = d_z(u,\omega) = \frac{1}{d_\omega(z,u)} = 1.$$

We have $|xz| = |zy|$, and $|xz|\cdot|zy| = 1$ by Lemma 13.7. Thus $|xz| = |zy| = 1$. Then $d_z(x,\omega) = 1/|zx| = 1$ and similarly $d_z(y,\omega) = 1$. It follows that φ preserves the set $\{x,y\}$, $\varphi(\{x,y\}) = \{x,y\}$ and hence the Ptolemy circle σ is invariant under φ, $\varphi(\sigma) = \sigma$.

By Proposition 12.5, there exists a vertical flip $j : X_\omega \to X_\omega$ that fixes the Ptolemy line l pointwise. Then j flips F, $j(x) = y$, $j(y) = x$ and thus $j(\sigma) = \sigma$. Applying j if necessary, we can assume that $\varphi(x) = x$, $\varphi(y) = y$. Then φ fixes σ pointwise, $\varphi(w) = w$ for every $w \in \sigma$. Now, we have

$$|xw| = d_z(\varphi(x),\varphi(w)) = d_z(x,w) = \frac{|xw|}{|zw|\cdot|zx|} = \frac{|xw|}{|zw|},$$

which implies $|zw| = 1$ for every $w \in \sigma$. ☐

13.2 Computing the distance function D

Now, we are able to find the distance function D, see section 12.2.

Proposition 13.9. *We have $D(a,b)^4 = a^4 + b^4$ for each a, $b \geq 0$.*

Proof. Let $\sigma \subset X_\omega$ be a Ptolemy circle through points x, y in the $\mathbb{C}$-line F, $o \in F$ the midpoint between x, y, $|xo| = |oy| = 1$. By rescaling with coefficient $\lambda > 0$, which has to be found, we assume that $|oz| = \lambda b$, $|zu| = \lambda a$ for some $u \in \sigma$ with $\mu(u) = z$, where $z \in F$ is a point between o and y. Using Proposition 10.6 and notations $|xz| = c$, $|zy| = d$, we obtain $d^2 = 1 - \lambda^2 b^2$, $c^2 = 1 + \lambda^2 b^2$. By Lemma 13.7, we have $c^2 d^2 = \lambda^4 a^4$. Hence $\lambda^4 = 1/(a^4 + b^4)$. By Proposition 13.8, $|ou| = 1 = \lambda D(a, b)$. Therefore, $D(a, b)^4 = 1/\lambda^4 = a^4 + b^4$. □

13.3 The lifting constant

Lemma 13.10. *Let $\sigma \subset X_\omega$ be a Ptolemy circle centered at $z = l \cap F$, where the Ptolemy line $l \subset X_\omega$ intersects σ in u, v, the $\mathbb{C}$-line $F \subset X_\omega$ intersects σ in x, y. Let $l' \subset X_\omega$ be the tangent Ptolemy line to σ at the point u. Then the lines $\bar{l} = \pi_\omega(l)$, $\bar{l}' = \pi_\omega(l') \subset B_\omega$ span a complex line, that is, $J(\bar{l}) \parallel \bar{l}'$ for the canonical complex structure $J : B_\omega \to B_\omega$.*

Proof. We regard B_ω as an Euclidean space with origin $o = \pi_\omega(z) = \pi_\omega(x) = \pi_\omega(y)$, and J as an isometry of B_ω with $J(o) = o$.

By Proposition 12.5, there is a vertical flip $j : X_\omega \to X_\omega$ with respect to z that fixes l pointwise. Then $j(u) = u$, $j(v) = v$, $j(x) = y$ and $j(y) = x$. Thus j preserves σ, $j(\sigma) = \sigma$. Moreover j preserves l', $j(l') = l'$, by uniqueness the tangent Ptolemy line, see Proposition 4.27, and it acts on l' as a flip because j flips the Ptolemy circle σ.

Let $\bar{l}'' \subset B_\omega$ be the line through the origin o parallel to $\bar{l}'$. Recall that $\pi_*(j) = \mathrm{Conj}$, see sect. 12.3. Since j preserves l pointwise and flips l', the line $\bar{l}'$ and thus the line $\bar{l}''$ is orthogonal to $\bar{l}$. It suffices to show that $J(\bar{l}) = \bar{l}''$. To this end, we use a freedom in the construction of the vertical flip j. Namely, assume that $J(\bar{l}) \neq \bar{l}''$. Since $J(\bar{l}) \perp \bar{l}$, the projection $m \subset B_\omega$ of $\bar{l}''$ to the orthogonal complement $L^\perp \subset B_\omega$ of the subspace L spanned by u_1, $v_1 = J(u_1)$ is nontrivial. We construct a canonical basis $b = \{u_1, v_1, \dots, u_k, v_k\}$ of B_ω for the complex structure J, see sect. 12.3, so that u_1 generates $\bar{l}$ and u_2 generates m. Then for the respective conjugation isometry $\mathrm{Conj} : B_\omega \to B_\omega$ we have $\mathrm{Conj}(\bar{l}'') \neq \bar{l}''$ unless $\bar{l}'' = m$ because by definition $\mathrm{Conj}(u_i) = u_i$, $\mathrm{Conj}(v_i) = -v_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$.

Since $j(l') = l'$, it follows from definition of the respective flip $j : X_\omega \to X_\omega$, see Proposition 12.5, that $\mathrm{Conj}(\bar{l}'') = \bar{l}''$ and hence $\bar{l}'' = m$. But then the isometry Conj preserves $\bar{l}''$ pointwise and thus j preserves the tangent Ptolemy line l' pointwise. This contradicts the property that j acts on l' as a flip. Therefore $J(\bar{l}) = \bar{l}''$, and $\bar{l}$, $\bar{l}'$ span the complex line $L \subset B_\omega$. □

Lemma 13.11. *Let $\mu = \mu_F : X_\omega \to X_\omega$ be the projection onto a $\mathbb{C}$-line $F \subset X_\omega$. Then for each u, $v \in X_\omega$ we have*

$$|\mu(u)\mu(v)|^2 \leq |uv|^2 + \delta(T)^2,$$

where $T = \overline{u}\,\overline{z}\,\overline{v}$ is the (oriented) triangle in the base B_ω with vertices $\overline{z} = \pi_\omega(F)$, $\overline{u} = \pi_\omega(u)$, $\overline{v} = \pi_\omega(v)$, and $\delta(T)$ is the displacement of the lifting isometry $\tau_T : X_\omega \to X_\omega$.

Proof. Let $F' = \pi^{-1}(\overline{u})$ be the $\mathbb{C}$-line in X_ω through u, $u' = \tau_T(u) \in F'$, $v' = \mu_{F'}(v) \in F'$. By Proposition 10.6, we have $|u'v'|^2 = ||uv'|^2 \pm |uu'|^2|$, and $|uu'| = \delta(T)$. It follows from Proposition 13.9 that $|uv'| \le |uv|$. Thus

$$|\mu(u)\mu(v)|^2 = |u'v'|^2 \le |uv|^2 + \delta(T)^2.$$

$\square$

Proposition 13.12. *Let $c > 0$ be the supremum of the lifting constants, taken over all the unit squares Q in B_ω, $c = \sup_Q \delta(Q)$, see section 11. Then $c = 2$.*

Proof. Let $\sigma \subset X_\omega$ be a Ptolemy circle of radius 1 centered at $z \in F$, where $F \subset X_\omega$ is a $\mathbb{C}$-line, x, $y \in \sigma \cap F$ such that $x < z < y$ with respect to the order O, l the Ptolemy line through z that intersects σ. We denote with $j : X_\omega \to X_\omega$ a vertical flip which preserves l pointwise, see sect. 12.3. Then recall $j(\sigma) = \sigma$.

Given $t \in F$, $x < t < y$, we denote with $a = a(t) = |xt|$, $d = d(t) = |ty|$. Then by Proposition 10.6 we have $a^2 + d^2 = 2$. For $v \in \sigma$ with $\mu(v) = t$, we let $r = r(t) = |tv|$. Then by Lemma 13.7, $r^2 = a \cdot d$. Denote with $b^+ = b^+(t) = |xv|$ and with $b^- = b^-(t) = |yv|$. Then by Proposition 13.9

$$(b^+)^4 = a^4 + r^4 = a^2(a^2 + d^2) = 2a^2$$

and similarly $(b^-)^4 = 2d^2$. Thus $(b^+)^2 = a\sqrt{2}$ and $(b^-)^2 = d\sqrt{2}$.

Now, we assume that $a < d$ and take a point $w \in \sigma$ on the arc xvy of σ with $\mu(w) = s \in F$ such that $|sy| = a = |xt|$, that is, $w = j(v)$. Then $|yw| = |xv| = b^+$, $|xw| = |yv| = b^-$, and using the Ptolemy equality applied to the quadruple $(x, v, w, y) \subset \sigma$, we obtain $(b^-)^2 - (b^+)^2 = |vw|\sqrt{2}$. This gives

$$d - a = |vw|.$$

Furthermore, $|st|^2 = d^2 - a^2$ again by Proposition 10.6.

The Ptolemy line l intersects the Ptolemy circle σ in two points, and we denote with $u \in l \cap \sigma$ the point between v, w on the arc $xvwy$ of σ. Then $j(u) = u$ and j preserves the tangent Ptolemy line l' to σ through u. We denote with v', $w' \in l'$ points closest in l' to v, w respectively. Then $|vv'| = o(|uv|)$, $|ww'| = o(|uw|)$. Note that $|uv| = |uw|$ by j-symmetry. Thus $|v'w'| = |vw| + o(|uv|) = d - a + o(|uv|)$.

Since $\overline{v}'\,\overline{w}' \subset \overline{l}'$, by Lemma 13.10 the triangle $T = \overline{z}\,\overline{v}'\,\overline{w}'$ lies a complex line in B_ω, where $\overline{z} = \pi_\omega(z) = \pi_\omega(s) = \pi_\omega(t)$, $\overline{v}' = \pi_\omega(v')$, $\overline{w}' = \pi_\omega(w')$, $\overline{l}' = \pi_\omega(l')$, and thus the canonical complex structure J with $J(\overline{z}) = \overline{z}$

preserves the 2-subspace of B_ω that contains T. Hence the area law of lifting applied to T gives

$$\delta(T)^2 = c^2 \cdot \operatorname{area} T,$$

see Remark 11.6, where $\delta(T)$ is the displacement of the lifting isometry $\tau_T : X_\omega \to X_\omega$. We have $|\overline{v}'\overline{w}'| = |v'w'| = d - a + o(|uv|)$, $|\overline{z}\,\overline{v}'| = |\pi_\omega(t)\pi_\omega(v)| + o(|uv|) = |tv| + o(|uv|) = r + o(|uv|)$ and similarly $|\overline{z}\,\overline{w}'| = r + o(|uv|)$. Thus $\operatorname{area} T = \frac{1}{2}r(d-a) + o(|uv|)$.

Denote with $t' = \mu(v')$ and $s' = \mu(w')$ points in the $\mathbb{C}$-line F. Then $\delta(T) = |t's'|$. By Lemma 13.11, $|tt'|^2 \le |vv'|^2 + \delta(T_t)^2$, $|ss'|^2 \le |ww'|^2 + \delta(T_s)^2$, where $T_t = \overline{z}\,\overline{v}\,\overline{v}'$, $T_s = \overline{z}\,\overline{w}\,\overline{w}'$ are triangles in B_ω. We have $|\overline{v}\,\overline{v}'|$, $|\overline{w}\,\overline{w}'| = o(|uv|)$ and $|\overline{z}\,\overline{v}|$, $\overline{z}\,\overline{w}| \le 1$. Thus $\operatorname{area} T_t$, $\operatorname{area} T_s = o(|uv|)$, and hence $\delta(T_t)^2$, $\delta(T_s)^2 = o(|uv|)$ by the area law of lifting. Therefore $|tt'|^2$, $|ss'|^2 = o(|uv|)$, and using Proposition 10.6 we obtain

$$\big||t's'|^2 - |ts|^2\big| \le |tt'|^2 + |ss'|^2 = o(|uv|).$$

Then

$$\delta(T)^2 = |t's'|^2 = |ts|^2 + o(|uv|) = d^2 - a^2 + o(|uv|)$$

and therefore

$$d^2 - a^2 = \frac{c^2}{2} r(d-a) + o(|uv|).$$

Since σ is a Ptolemy circle of radius one, we have a, $d \to 1$ and

$$c^2 = \frac{2(a+d)}{\sqrt{ad}} + o(1) \to 4$$

as $|uv| \to 0$. Thus $c = 2$. $\square$

14 The model space $\partial_\infty \mathbb{K}\mathrm{H}^k$

Every rank one symmetric space M of non-compact type is a hyperbolic space $\mathbb{K}\,\mathrm{H}^k$ over a normed division algebra $\mathbb{K}$. The only possibilities are the real numbers $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$, $\dim \mathbb{K} = 1$; the complex numbers $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$, $\dim \mathbb{K} = 2$; the quaternions $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{H}$, $\dim \mathbb{K} = 4$; and the octonions $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}a$, $\dim \mathbb{K} = 8$. Then $\dim M = k \cdot \dim \mathbb{K}$, where $k \ge 2$ and $k = 2$ in the case $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}a$. We choose a normalization of the metric so that in the case $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ the sectional curvatures of M are $K_\sigma \equiv -1$ and in the case $\mathbb{K} \ne \mathbb{R}$ the sectional curvatures of M are pinched as $-4 \le K_\sigma \le -1$.

We use the standard notation TM for the tangent bundle of M and UM for the subbundle of the unit vectors. For every unit vector $u \in U_oM$, where $o \in M$, the eigenspaces $E_u(\lambda)$ of the *curvature operator* $\mathcal{R}(\cdot, u)u : u^\perp \to u^\perp$, where $u^\perp \subset T_oM$ is the subspace orthogonal to u, are parallel along the geodesic $\gamma(t) = \exp_o(tu)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and the respective eigenvalues $\lambda = -1, -4$ are constant along γ. The dimensions of the eigenspaces are $\dim E_u(-1) = (k-1)\dim \mathbb{K}$, $\dim E_u(-4) = \dim \mathbb{K} - 1$, $u^\perp = E_u(-1) \oplus E_u(-4)$.

14.1 The Möbius structure of $\partial_\infty \mathbb{K}\mathrm{H}^k$

We let $Y = \partial_\infty M$ be the geodesic boundary at infinity of M. For every $o \in M$ the function $d_o(\xi, \xi') = e^{-(\xi|\xi')_o}$ for ξ, $\xi' \in Y$ is a (bounded) metric on Y, where $(\xi|\xi')_o$ is the Gromov product based at o. For every $\omega \in Y$ and every Busemann function $b : M \to \mathbb{R}$ centered at ω the function $d_b(\omega, \omega) := 0$ and $d_b(\xi, \xi') = e^{-(\xi|\xi')_b}$, except the case $\xi = \xi' = \omega$, is an (unbounded) metric on Y, where $(\xi|\xi')_b$ is the Gromov product with respect to b. Since M is a CAT(-1)-space, the metrics d_o, d_b satisfy the Ptolemy inequality and furthermore all these metrics are pairwise Möbius equivalent, see [FS1].

We let $\mathcal{M}$ be the *canonical* Möbius structure on Y generated by the metrics of type d_o, $o \in M$. Recall that $\mathcal{M}$ is the class of metrics on Y each of which is Möbius equivalent to any d_o. Then Y endowed with $\mathcal{M}$ is a compact Ptolemy space. Every metric $d \in \mathcal{M}$ is of type $d = d_b$ for some Busemann function $b : M \to \mathbb{R}$, or $d = \lambda d_o$, for some $o \in M$ and $\lambda > 0$. We emphasize that in general metrics of $\mathcal{M}$ are neither Carnot-Carathéodory metrics nor length metrics.

The following lemma is a modification of Lemma 4.3.

Lemma 14.1. *Let $\varphi : X \to X$ be a Möbius involution, $\varphi^2 = \mathrm{id}$, of a Ptolemy space X with $\varphi(\omega) = \omega'$ for distinct ω, $\omega' \in X$. Then there is a unique metric sphere $S \subset X$ between ω, ω' invariant for φ, $\varphi(S) = S$.*

Proof. Let d be a metric of the Möbius structure with infinitely remote point ω'. Since $\varphi(\omega) = \omega'$, the point ω is infinitely remote for the induced metric $\varphi^* d$. Thus for some $\lambda > 0$ we have

$$(\varphi^* d)(x, y) = \frac{\lambda d(x, y)}{d(x, \omega) d(y, \omega)}$$

for each x, $y \in X$ which are not equal to ω simultaneously. We let $S = S_r^d(\omega) \subset X$ be a metric sphere between ω, ω' with $r^2 = \lambda$, $d(x, \omega) = r$ for every $x \in S$. Then

$$d(\varphi(x), \omega) = d(\varphi(x), \varphi(\omega')) = (\varphi^* d)(x, \omega') = \lambda / d(x, \omega) = r$$

for every $x \in S$. Hence $\varphi(S) = S$. For any $x \in X$ with $d(x, \omega) \lesseqgtr r$ the same argument shows that $d(\varphi(x), \omega) \gtreqless r$, thus an invariant sphere between ω, ω' is unique. $\square$

Proposition 14.2. *Let $Y = \partial_\infty M$ be the boundary at infinity of a rank one symmetric space M of noncompact type. Then regarded as a compact Ptolemy space with respective Möbius structure $\mathcal{M}$, Y satisfies properties (E) and (I).*

Proof. Every rank one symmetric space M of noncompact type contains geodesic subspaces L isometric to H^2. Then $\sigma = \partial_\infty L \subset Y$ is a Ptolemy circle. Hence, the property (E) is fulfilled for Y.

Given distinct ω, $\omega' \in Y$ and a metric sphere $S \subset Y$ between ω, ω', we show that there is a unique space inversion $\varphi = \varphi_{\omega,\omega',S} : Y \to Y$ w.r.t. ω, ω', S.

Let $b : M \to \mathbb{R}$ be a Busemann function of the geodesic ray $x\omega \subset M$, $x \in l = \omega\omega' \subset M$. Then the Gromov product $(\xi|\xi')_b \in \mathbb{R}$ w.r.t. b is well defined for ξ, $\xi' \in Y \setminus \omega$, see e.g. [BS, sect.3.2], [BK], and the function $d(\xi,\xi') = e^{-(\xi|\xi')_b}$ is a metric of the Möbius structure with infinitely remote point ω. Let $r > 0$ be the radius of S, $S = S_r^d(\omega')$. We take $o \in l$ with $b(o) = -\ln r$. Let $u \in U_oM$ be a tangent vector to l. For every $\xi \in Y$ such that the direction $v_\xi \in U_oM \cap u^\perp$ of the ray $o\xi \subset M$ is an eigenvector of the curvature operator, $v_\xi \in E_u(-1) \cup E_u(-4)$, the ideal triangle $\omega\xi\omega'$ lies in a geodesic subspace of M isometric to H^2 (the case $v_\xi \in E_u(-1)$) or to $\frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{H}^2$ (the case $v_\xi \in E_u(-4)$). By symmetry, o is an equiradial point of $\omega\xi\omega'$, see [BK, Proposition 2.5], thus $(\xi|\omega')_b = b(o) = -\ln r$ and $d(\xi,\omega') = r$, that is, $\xi \in S$.

The central symmetry $f : M \to M$ at o, $d_of = -\operatorname{id}_{T_oM}$, is an isometry that induces a Möbius involution $\varphi = \partial_\infty f : Y \to Y$ without fixed points and with $\varphi(\omega) = \omega'$. Then for every $\xi \in S$ with $v_\xi \in E_u(-i^2)$ we have $v_{\varphi(\xi)} = -v_\xi \in E_u(-i^2)$, $i = 1, 2$. Thus $\varphi(\xi) \in S$. It follows from Lemma 14.1 that the sphere S is invariant for φ, $\varphi(S) = S$. Furthermore, every Ptolemy circle $\sigma \subset Y$ through ω, ω' is the boundary at infinity of a geodesic subspace $L \subset M$ containing l and isometric to H^2, see [FS1]. Since L is invariant for f, we have $\varphi(\sigma) = \sigma$. Therefore, $\varphi = \varphi_{\omega,\omega',S} : Y \to Y$ is a space inversion w.r.t. ω, ω', S.

Assume there is a Möbius involution $\psi : Y \to Y$, $\psi^2 = \mathrm{id}$, without fixed points and with $\psi(\omega) = \omega'$, $\psi(S) = S$, such that $\psi(\sigma) = \sigma$ for every Ptolemy circle $\sigma \subset Y$ through ω, ω'. We show that $\psi = \varphi$.

Let $B \subset Y$ be the union of all the Ptolemy circles through ω, ω'. Then $\psi|B = \varphi|B$ because S intersects every arc between ω, ω' of a Ptolemy circle through ω, ω' at a unique point, and any Möbius automorphism of σ is uniquely determined by values at three distinct points.

Note that the existence of the fibration $\pi_\omega : Y_\omega \to B_\omega$ with the base B_ω isometric to $\mathbb{R}^{(k-1)\dim\mathbb{K}}$, $\dim M = k\dim\mathbb{K}$, is well known, and it follows from consideration of a model, see [Gol] for the case $M = \mathbb{C}\,\mathrm{H}^k$.

We put $\eta = \psi^{-1} \circ \varphi$ and note that $\eta|B = \mathrm{id}_B$. Then $\eta : Y_\omega \to Y_\omega$ is an isometry w.r.t. the metric d that induces the identity map of the base B_ω. Thus η preserves any foliation of Y_ω by Busemann parallel Ptolemy line. Then $\eta = \mathrm{id}$ by the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 7.1. Hence, $\psi = \varphi$, and the property (I) is fulfilled for Y. $\square$

14.2 Isomorphism with the model space $\partial_\infty\mathbb{C}\mathrm{H}^k$

For a given $\omega \in X$ we fix as usual a metric from the Möbius structure with infinitely remote point ω. As in sect. 12 we introduce standard coordinates in X_ω with origin $o \in X_\omega$ identifying X_ω with $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} = \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ by $x = (z, h)$. Moreover, we regard X_ω as the nilpotent group N_ω, see sect. 9.3, identifying every $x \in X_\omega$ with $g \in N_\omega$ via the rule $x = g(o)$. Then the multiplication law of N_ω in the coordinates for $g = (z, h)$, $g' = (z', h')$ is given by

$$g \cdot g' = \left(z + z', h + h' + \frac{1}{2} \langle J(z), z' \rangle \right),$$

where we used Lemmas 12.1, 12.2 and Proposition 13.12. Next, we fix a canonical basis $b = \{u_1, v_1, \dots, u_k, v_k\}$ of $B_\omega = \mathbb{R}^n$, $n = 2k$, for the complex structure J, where $v_i = J(u_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, k$. Then $z = (z_1, \dots, z_k)$ for every $z \in B_\omega$, where $z_i = x_i u_i + y_i v_i$ with x_i, $y_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and $z = \sum_i z_i$, that is, we identify B_ω with the complex coordinate space $\mathbb{C}^k$. Note that $\operatorname{Conj} z_i = x_i u_i - y_i v_i$ for every $z_i = x_i u_i + y_i v_i$, and we use the standard notation $\operatorname{Conj} z = \overline{z}$ for the complex conjugation.

Let $(z, z') = \sum_i z_i \overline{z}'_i$ be the standard Hermitian form on $\mathbb{C}^k$.

Lemma 14.3. *For each* $g = (z, h)$, $g' = (z', h') \in N_\omega$ *we have*

$$g \cdot g' = \left(z + z', h + h' - \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Im}(z, z') \right).$$

Proof. This follows from the equality $\langle J(z), z' \rangle = - \operatorname{Im}(z, z')$. □

It follows that N_ω is the higher-dimensional Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}^k$, see [CDPT, sect. 2.1.2].

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We only need to consider the case $p = 1$ and to show that X is Möbius equivalent to $\partial_\infty \mathbb{C}\,\mathrm{H}^k$ taken with the canonical Möbius structure, $\dim X = n = 2k - 1$.

It follows from Proposition 11.5 that the dimension of the base B_ω is even, $\dim B_\omega = n - 1 = 2(k - 1)$. By Corollary 7.7, $k \geq 2$. In a given dimension a compact Ptolemy space that satisfies (E), (I) with $p = 1$ is uniquely determined up to a Möbius isomorphism by the lifting constant c, and this constant itself is uniquely determined by these properties, $c = 2$.

We give more detail for this argument, which are however straightforward. Let $Y = \partial_\infty \mathbb{C}\,\mathrm{H}^k$ be the boundary at infinity of the complex hyperbolic space $\mathbb{C}\,\mathrm{H}^k$ taken with the canonical Möbius structure. Since $\mathbb{C}\,\mathrm{H}^k$ is a CAT(-1)-space, the Möbius structure of Y is a compact Ptolemy space, and $\dim Y = 2k - 1$. By Propositions 14.2, Y satisfies properties (E) and (I).

We fix metrics from the Möbius structures of X, Y with infinitely remote points $\omega \in X$ and $\zeta \in Y$ respectively and introduce standard coordinates in X_ω, Y_ζ identifying these spaces with respective nilpotent groups of isometries N_ω, N_ζ. By Lemma 14.3, the multiplications laws in N_ω, N_ζ are identical, thus the groups are isomorphic via the isomorphism which associates to each other the elements with equal coordinates. Moreover, this isomorphism is an isometry between X_ω, Y_ζ because for any $x = (z, h)$, $x' = (z', h') \in X_\omega$ we have

$$|xx'|^4 = |z - z'|^4 + 16|h - h' - \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Im}(z\overline{z}')|^2 \tag{13}$$

by the distance formula (12) from Proposition 12.5 together with Proposition 13.9. $\square$

Remark 14.4. The distance on a Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}^k$ given by Eq. (13) is called the *Korányi gauge*, see [CDPT]. In our approach, this metric is a member of the canonical Möbius structure on the boundary at infinity $Y = \partial_\infty \mathbb{C}\,\mathrm{H}^k$, cp. [CDPT, sect. 3.4.5].

References

[Ba] J. Baez, The octonions, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 39, no.2 (2002), 145–205 (electronic)

[Bes] A. Besse, Einstein manifolds, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)], 10. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987. xii+510 pp. ISBN: 3-540-15279-2.

[Bor] A. Borel, Some remarks about Lie groups transitive on spheres and tori, Bull. AMS, 55 (1949), 580–587.

[BFW] S. Buckley, K. Falk, D. Wraith, Ptolemaic spaces and CAT(0), Glasg. Math. J. 51 (2009), no. 2, 301–314.

[BK] S. Buyalo, A. Kuznetsov, Boundary at infinity of symmetric rank one spaces, St. Petersburg Math. J. 21 (2010), no.5, 681–691; arXive:math.DG/0906.0779.

[BS] S. Buyalo, V. Schroeder, Elements of asymptotic geometry, EMS Monographs in Mathematics, 2007, 209 pages.

[CDPT] l. Capogna, D. Danielli, S. Pauls, J. Tyson, An introduction to the Heisenberg group and the sub-Riemannian isoperimetric problem. Progress in Mathematics, 259. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2007. xvi+223 pp. ISBN: 978-3-7643-8132-5; 3-7643-8132-9 .

[H-UL] J.-B. Hiriart-Urruty and C. Lemaréchal, Fundamentals of Convex analysis. Berlin: Springer, 2001.

[Kay] D. Kay, Ptolemaic metric spaces and the characterization of geodesics by vanishing metric curvature, Ph.D. thesis, Michigan State Univ., East Lansing, MI, 1963.

[FLS] T. Foertsch, A. Lytchak, V. Schroeder, Nonpositive curvature and the Ptolemy inequality, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 2007, no. 22, Art. ID rnm100, 15 pp.

[FS1] T. Foertsch, V. Schroeder, Hyperbolicity, CAT(-1)-spaces and Ptolemy inequality, arXiv:math/0605418v2, 2006.

[FS2] T. Foertsch, V. Schroeder, Ptolemy spaces with many circles, arXiv:math/1008.3250, 2010.

[Gol] W. Goldman, Complex hyperbolic geometry, Oxford Mathematical Monographs. Oxford Science Publications. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1999. xx+316 pp. ISBN: 0-19-853793-X

[MS] D. Montgomery and H. Samelson, Transformation groups of spheres, Annals of Math., 44 (1943), 454–470.

[Sch] I. Schoenberg, A remark on M. M. Day's characterization of inner-product spaces and a conjecture of L. M. Blumenthal, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 3 (1952) 961–964.

[Sieb] E. Siebert, Contractive automorphisms on locally compact groups, Math.Z.191 (1986), 73–90.